

Nos. 23-5343/5345

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

FILED
Apr 20, 2023
DEBORAH S. HUNT, Clerk

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY,)
)
Plaintiff-Appellant, (No. 23-5343),)
)
KENTUCKY CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, et al.,)
)
Plaintiffs-Appellants, (No. 23-5345),)
)
v.)
)
UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL)
PROTECTION AGENCY, et al.,)
)
Defendants-Appellees.)

ORDER

Before: GUY, KETHLEDGE, and BUSH, Circuit Judges.

In these related appeals, Plaintiffs the Commonwealth of Kentucky and several private-sector organizations appeal from a district court order dismissing both parties’ actions for lack of standing and denying them an injunction against enforcement of the Environmental Protection Agency’s final rule titled “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States,’” 88 Fed. Reg. 3004 (Jan. 18, 2023), which went into effect on March 20, 2023. Plaintiffs filed separate motions for an injunction pending appeal in the district court on April 4, 2023, which remain pending. They are now seeking an injunction pending appeal in this court.

“The purpose of [an] administrative stay is to give the court sufficient opportunity to consider the merits of the motion for a stay” *Arizona v. Biden*, 2022 U.S. App. LEXIS 9522,

Nos. 23-5343/5345

-2-

at *2 (6th Cir. Apr. 8, 2022) (order) (alteration in original) (quoting *Brady v. Nat'l Football League*, 638 F.3d 1004, 1005 (8th Cir. 2011) (order) (collecting cases)). Although we have not adopted a standard for when an administrative stay is appropriate, see *Breeze Smoke, LLC v. U.S. FDA*, No. 21-3902, slip op. at 1 (6th Cir. Oct. 27, 2021) (order), other circuits “have held that the ‘touchstone’ of this analysis is whether an administrative stay is ‘need[ed] to preserve the status quo,” *Arizona*, 2022 US App. LEXIS 9522, at *2 (alteration in original) (quoting *Nat'l Urb. League v. Ross*, 977 F.3d 698, 702 (9th Cir. 2020) (order)).

Here, motions for an injunction pending appeal remain pending before the district court. And there, the government has not identified any particular interest in immediate enforcement of the final rule. Given these circumstances, an administrative stay “is necessary to preserve the status quo and provide us with the opportunity to give ‘reasoned consideration’” to the plaintiffs’ motions for an injunction pending appeal. See *Arizona*, 2022 U.S. App. LEXIS 9522, at *3 (quoting *United States v. McGowan*, No. 20-1617, 2020 WL 3867418, at *1 (6th Cir. June 28, 2020) (order)).

Accordingly, an administrative stay of enforcement of the Agency’s final rule is **GRANTED** until May 10, 2023. Responses to the plaintiffs’ motions for an injunction pending appeal are to be filed no later than May 1, 2023, and replies no later than May 5, 2023.

ENTERED BY ORDER OF THE COURT



Deborah S. Hunt, Clerk

United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit

U.S. Mail Notice of Docket Activity

The following transaction was filed on 04/20/2023.

Case Name: KY v. EPA, et al

Case Number: 23-5343

Docket Text:

ORDER filed : An administrative stay of enforcement of the Agency's final rule is GRANTED until May 10, 2023. Responses to the plaintiffs' motions for an injunction pending appeal are to be filed no later than May 1, 2023, and replies no later than May 5, 2023. Ralph B. Guy, Jr., Circuit Judge; Raymond M. Kethledge, Circuit Judge and John K. Bush, Circuit Judge. [23-5343, 23-5345]

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document Description: Order

Notice will be sent to:

A copy of this notice will be issued to:

Mr. Robert R. Carr
Mr. Andrew J. Doyle
Mr. Charles E. English Jr.
Mr. Elliot W. Higgins
Ms. Sarah Izfar
Ms. Arielle Mourrain Jeffries
Ms. Lindsey Keiser
Mr. Harrison Gray Kilgore
Mr. Matthew Franklin Kuhn
Mr. Matthew Zane Leopold
Mr. Elbert Lin
Ms. Jenna Marie Lorence
Ms. Stephanie A. Maloney
Ms. Erica Nicole Peterson
Mr. Christopher L. Thacker
Mr. Brian C. Toth