Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP 1717 Main Street Suite 3200 Dallas, TX 75201-7347 Tel. 214.466.4000 Fax: 214.466.4001 www.morganlewis.com

Morgan Lewis

COUNSELORS AT LAW

Allyson N. Ho Partner 214.466.4180 aho@morganlewis.com

ORAL ARGUMENT SET FOR APRIL 4, 2013

January 30, 2013

BY HAND DELIVERY

Mr. Mark J. Langer Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit 333 Constitution Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001-2866

Re: Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America and Coalition for a Democratic Workplace v. National Labor Relations Board Case No. 12-5250

Dear Mr. Langer:

I write pursuant to Rule 28(j) regarding the Court's recent decision in *Noel Canning v. National Labor Relations Board*, No. 12-1115 (filed Jan. 25, 2013), which provides an additional, independent ground for affirming the district court's decision that the Board lacked a quorum when issuing the election rules challenged in this case.

In *Noel Canning*, this Court ruled that *all* intra-session Presidential recess appointments are unconstitutional. Slip op. 30. The majority additionally ruled that inter-session recess appointments can only take place for vacancies that "happen" (*i.e.*, originate) during that same recess. *Id.* at 30-32, 43-44. Because the unconstitutional appointments deprived the Board of the statutorily mandated quorum, the Court concluded that "its decision must be vacated." *Id.* at 30, 43.

Noel Canning compels affirmance in the instant case. According to the Board, it purportedly adopted the election rules at issue on December 16, 2011, with the "participation" of Members Pearce, Hayes, and Becker. NLRB Br. 13-20. Under *Noel Canning*, however, Member Becker's recess appointment on March 27, 2010, was unconstitutional both because (1) it occurred intra-

Mr. Mark J. Langer January 30, 2013 Page 2

session (during the second session of the 111th Congress, *see Congressional Directory for the 112th Congress* 536-38 (Dec. 1, 2011), http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CDIR-2011-12-01/pdf/CDIR-2011-12-01.pdf, and (2) it purported to fill a seat on the Board that became vacant during a previous inter-session recess, but with the appointment coming years after that prior recess ended.¹

Thus, even if Member Hayes sufficiently "participated" in the adoption of the Board's election rules for quorum purposes (which he did not, *see* Chamber Br. 12-17), the district court still correctly held the rule invalid for lack of quorum because at most, under *Noel Canning*, there were only two properly sitting Board members when the rule was purportedly adopted on December 16, 2011.²

Sincerely,

/s/ Allyson N. Ho

Allyson N. Ho

cc: Abby Propis Simms Joel Frank Dillard *Counsel for Appellant National Labor Relations Board* (via ECF)

ANH/ed

¹ The seat became "vacant" on either December 17, 2004, or January 1, 2008, depending on whether the recess appointment of Member Walsh is considered. *See Members of the NLRB since* 1935, http://www.nlrb.gov/members-nlrb-1935 (last visited Jan. 28, 2013).

² This argument goes to the Board's jurisdiction and is not waivable even though not raised in prior briefing. *See Noel Canning*, slip op. 10-13.