USCA Case #12-5413 Document #1441718

U.S. COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

Three Lafayette Centre 1155 21st Street, NW, Washington, DC 20581 Telephone: (202) 418-5120 Facsimile: (202) 418-5524 www.cftc.gov

Office of General Counsel

June 18, 2013

Via CM/ECF

Mark Langer, Clerk U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit E. Barrett Prettyman U.S. Courthouse 333 Constitution Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20001

Re: Investment Company Institute, et al. v. CFTC, No. 12-5413

Dear Mr. Langer:

The Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("CFTC" or "Commission") respectfully submits this letter pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 28(j), in response to Appellants' letter dated June 17, 2013. Appellants' letter cites two recent CFTC rule releases (regarding rules not before this Court) as support for their argument that "when regulating financial markets," an agency must "always" consider "[e]ffects on liquidity." (Reply at 1 (emphasis in original); see also Tr. of Oral Arg. (May 6, 2013) at 4.) That is not correct, and the CFTC's recent releases provide no support for that proposition.

Section 15a of the Commodity Exchange Act, 7 U.S.C. § 19a, lists five considerations the CFTC must take into account when promulgating a rule. See id. § 19a(a)(2). Liquidity is not among those considerations. Id. As discussed at argument, it is rational in some contexts for the CFTC to discuss the subject of liquidity. (Tr. of Oral Arg. at 22-23.) For the reasons given in the CFTC's brief, the Commission reasonably and sufficiently addressed liquidity in promulgating the rules challenged in this case. (Br. for the CFTC at 27-29.)

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Robert A. Schwartz

Jonathan L. Marcus General Counsel Robert A. Schwartz Assistant General Counsel Nancy R. Doyle Assistant General Counsel Martin B. White Assistant General Counsel Melissa Chiang Counsel

Commodity Futures Trading Commission 1155 21st Street NW Washington, DC 20581 (202) 418-5958 rschwartz@cftc.gov

Attorneys for Defendant-Appellee

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 25(d), I hereby certify that on this 18th day of June, 2013, the foregoing letter was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court for the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia using the CM/ECF system.

Service was accomplished on the following by the CM/ECF system to the following parties:

Eugene Scalia, <u>EScalia@gibsondunn.com</u> Scott P. Martin, <u>Smartin@gibsondunn.com</u> Attorneys for Plaintiffs-Appellants Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036 (202) 955-8500

Dennis M. Kelleher, <u>dkelleher@bettermarkets.com</u> Attorney for Amicus Curiae Better Markets, Inc. 1825 K. Street, NW Suite 1080 Washington, DC 20006 (202) 618-6464 Steven G. Bradbury, steven.bradbury@dechert.com
Attorney for Amicus Curiae Mutual Fund Directors Forum and certain individuals Dechert LLP 1900 K Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 261-3483

John M. Devaney, <u>JDevaney@perkinscoie.com</u>
Martin E. Lybecker,

<u>MLybecker@perkinscoie.com</u>
Attorneys for Amicus Curiae
National Futures Association
PERKINS COIE LLP
700 13th Street, NW Suite 600
Washington, DC 20005
Phone: 202-434-1624

June 18, 2013

/s/ Robert A. Schwartz

Robert A. Schwartz

Assistant General Counsel
Commodity Futures Trading Commission
1155 21st Street NW
Washington, DC 20581
(202) 418-5958