# IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

STATE OF TEXAS, et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

No. 16-60118

UNITED STATES
ENVIRONMENTAL
PROTECTION AGENCY and
GINA McCARTHY, Administrator,
U.S. EPA,

Respondents.

# UNOPPOSED JOINT MOTION OF THE PARTIES FOR A 90-DAY STAY OF PROCEEDINGS IN ORDER TO ACCOMMODATE SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS

All parties to these consolidated cases<sup>1</sup> respectfully request that the Court stay all proceedings in these consolidated cases for a period of

<sup>1</sup> This joint motion is filed by all parties in these consolidated cases: Respondent U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") and EPA Administrator Gina McCarthy; Petitioners the State of Texas, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ"), and the Public Utility Commission of Texas ("PUCT"); Petitioners Luminant Generation Company LLC, Big Brown Power Company LLC, Luminant Mining Company LLC, Big Brown Lignite Company LLC, and Luminant Big Brown Mining Company LLC (collectively, "Luminant Petitioners"); Petitioner the Utility Air Regulatory Group; Petitioner Southwestern Public Service Company; Petitioner Coleto Creek Power, LP; Petitioner NRG Texas Power LLC; Petitioner Nucor Corporation; Respondent Intervenors Sierra Club and National Parks Conservation Association ("NPCA"); Petitioner-Intervenors Balanced Energy for Texas and Texas Footnote continued...

90 days in order to allow the parties to pursue settlement discussions. The requested stay includes all deadlines for briefing on the merits of the petitions for review, as well as the deadline for filing any petition for panel rehearing, or any petition for rehearing en banc, of the Court's July 15, 2016, Non-Dispositive Published Opinion ("July 15 Opinion"). The reasons for this motion are as follows:

- 1. Petitioners seek review of EPA's final action under the Clean Air Act ("CAA"), 42 U.S.C. §§ 7401-7671q, titled: "Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Texas and Oklahoma; Regional Haze State Implementation Plans; Interstate Visibility Transport State Implementation Plan to Address Pollution Affecting Visibility and Regional Haze; Federal Implementation Plan for Regional Haze" (hereinafter the "Final Rule"). The Final Rule was published at 81 Fed. Reg. 296 (Jan. 5, 2016).
- 2. Under the CAA and EPA's regulations, States are required to submit state implementation plans ("SIPs") containing emission

Mining and Reclamation Association; Petitioner-Intervenors Texas Association of Business et al.; Petitioner-Intervenor International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers ("IBEW") Local Union 2337 (collectively, "the Parties"). A full listing of the Parties is set forth in the signature block for this motion.

Case: 16-60118 Document: 00513642101 Page: 3 Date Filed: 08/17/2016

limits, schedules of compliance, and other measures necessary to make reasonable progress towards the national goal of preventing future, and remedying existing, anthropogenic impairment of air visibility at certain national parks and other designated areas known as "Class I areas." See 42 U.S.C. § 7491. In the Final Rule, EPA partially approved a SIP submitted by the State of Texas, but also disapproved parts of SIPs submitted by Texas and Oklahoma. Also in the Final Rule, EPA promulgated a Federal Implementation Plan ("FIP") to replace the parts of the Texas and Oklahoma SIPs that EPA disapproved. Among other things, EPA's FIP imposed limitations on emissions of sulfur dioxide from fifteen electric generating units in Texas.

3. Petitioners have all challenged EPA's Final Rule, and all of the petitions for review have been docketed together with this case, No. 16-60118.<sup>2</sup> Balanced Energy for Texas and Texas Mining and Reclamation Association, Texas Association of Business et al., and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Petitions for review of EPA's Final Rule have also been filed in the United States Courts of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit and the Tenth Circuit, and the relevant parties intend to request that all proceedings in those courts, which are currently abated, remain stayed as well.

IBEW Local Union 2337 intervened as Petitioner-Intervenors. The Sierra Club and NPCA intervened as Respondent-Intervenors.

- 4. On March 3, 2016, Petitioners Luminant Generation
  Company LLC et al., Southwestern Public Service Company, and Coleto
  Creek Power, LP, filed a motion to stay the Final Rule and to toll all
  compliance deadlines pending completion of judicial review of the Final
  Rule. On March 17, 2016, the State of Texas, TCEQ, and PUCT also
  filed a motion to stay the Final Rule and to toll all compliance deadlines
  pending completion of judicial review of the Final Rule.
- 5. On March 22, 2016, EPA moved to dismiss the petitions for review in this Court for lack of jurisdiction, or, alternatively, to transfer the petitions to the District of Columbia Circuit.
- 6. On July 15, 2016, this Court issued its Opinion, in which it denied EPA's motion to dismiss or transfer and granted the motions to stay EPA's Final Rule in its entirety, including the emission control requirements, pending completion of judicial review.
- 7. Any petition for panel rehearing, or rehearing en banc, with respect to the Court's July 15 Opinion is currently due by August 29, 2016. See Fed. R. App. P. 35(c), 40(a)(1). In addition, on July 18, 2016,

the Court issued a briefing schedule under which Petitioners' merits briefs are due by August 29, 2016. If the present motion is granted, both of these deadlines will be stayed; any petition for panel rehearing, or rehearing en banc, would be due November 28, 2016; and the expiration of the 90-day period would trigger the beginning of merits briefing, with Petitioners' opening merits briefs due January 10, 2017.

8. The Parties desire to engage in settlement discussions regarding resolution of the litigation. The Parties are currently coordinating an in-person settlement meeting to occur within the next several weeks. The Parties do not believe that it would be possible to engage in fruitful settlement discussions at the same time they are briefing either the merits of the petitions for review or any petitions for rehearing. A stay of the proceedings is therefore necessary in order to allow settlement discussions to go forward. Any settlement will likely take some time to achieve due to the number of parties and facilities involved and the complexity of the underlying statutory and regulatory CAA scheme. In addition, any proposed settlement agreement would be subject to approval by government officials and the public notice and comment procedures set forth in CAA section 113(g), 42 U.S.C. §

7413(g) before it could be finalized. The Parties therefore request a 90-day stay of all of the proceedings, including both merits briefing and the deadline for filing any petitions for rehearing, in order to allow the Parties to focus their efforts on settlement, as opposed to active litigation.

9. The Parties' requested stay is in the interest of judicial economy. If the Parties reach a complete settlement, there may be no need for the Court to consider either the merits of the petitions for review or any petitions for rehearing of the July 15 Opinion that may be filed. The requested stay will also conserve the resources of the Parties. If the Parties are able to settle these cases, briefing on the merits or petitions for rehearing may be unnecessary. A stay of the deadline for filing any petitions for rehearing, including any petitions for rehearing en banc, is therefore warranted under the "most compelling reasons" criterion of Circuit Rule 35.4. In addition, it is possible that the type of settlement that the Parties may be able to reach in these cases would allow the Parties to avoid potential additional disputes. The requested stay is therefore especially warranted because it may obviate the need

to litigate the merits of these cases and also allow the Parties to avoid potential future litigation that might otherwise be filed in this Court.

10. In accordance with Fifth Circuit Rule 27.4, counsel for one or more of the undersigned movants has coordinated with counsel for each of the Parties and are authorized to state that this joint motion is unopposed.

For all these reasons, the Parties request that the Court stay all proceedings in these consolidated cases for 90 days, to and including November 28, 2016, in order to accommodate the Parties' settlement discussions. Should the Parties conclude that settlement discussions are advancing and fruitful, but that more time is necessary to complete discussions, they would seek an additional stay prior to November 28, 2016. The Parties further request that the Court at this time set a deadline of November 28, 2016, for the filing of any petition for rehearing or rehearing en banc of the Court's July 15 Opinion, and a deadline of January 10, 2017, for the filing of Petitioners' opening merits briefs.

Respectfully submitted,

DATED: August 17, 2016 JOHN C. CRUDEN
Assistant Attorney General

s/ David A. Carson
DAVID A. CARSON
DUSTIN J. MAGHAMFAR
U.S. Department of Justice
Environment & Nat'l Res. Div.
Environmental Defense Section
999 18<sup>th</sup> Street
Suite 370 – South Terrace
Denver, CO 80202
(303) 844-1349

> Ken Paxton Attorney General of Texas

Jeffrey C. Mateer First Assistant Attorney General

Scott A. Keller Solicitor General

#### s/ Lisa A. Bennett

Lisa A. Bennett Assistant Solicitor General lisa.bennett@ texasattorneygeneral.gov

Kellie E. Billings-Ray Assistant Attorney General

Office of the Attorney General P.O. Box 12548 (MC 059) Austin, Texas 78711-2548 Tel.: (512) 936-1700

Fax: (512) 474-2697

Counsel for Petitioners State of Texas, Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, and Public Utility Commission of Texas

s/ P. Stephen Gidiere III
P. Stephen Gidiere III
BALCH & BINGHAM LLP
1901 6th Ave. N., Ste. 1500
Birmingham, Alabama 35203
205-251-8100
sgidiere@balch.com

David W. Mitchell BALCH & BINGHAM LLP 601 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Suite 825 South Washington, D.C. 20002

Stephanie Z. Moore Vice President and General Counsel Luminant Generation Company LLC 1601 Bryan Street 22nd Floor Dallas, Texas 75201

Daniel J. Kelly Vice President and Associate General Counsel Energy Future Holdings Corp. 1601 Bryan Street 43rd Floor Dallas, Texas 75201

Counsel for Luminant Generation Company LLC, Big Brown Power Company LLC, Luminant Mining Company LLC, Big Brown Lignite Company LLC, and Luminant Big Brown Mining Company LLC

s/ Debra J. Jezouit
Debra J. Jezouit
DC Bar No. 433921
William M. Bumpers
DC Bar No. 385282
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.
The Warner
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004-2400
202-639-7728 (phone)
202-585-1032 (fax)
debra.jezouit@bakerbotts.com
william.bumpers@bakerbotts.com

Ann M. Seha Minn. Bar No. 0157235 XCEL ENERGY SERVICES INC. 414 Nicollet Mall 5th Floor Minneapolis, MN 55401-1993 612-215-4619 (phone) 612-215-4544 (fax) ann.m.seha@xcelenergy.com

Counsel for Southwestern Public Service Company

s/Norman W. Fichthorn
Norman W. Fichthorn
Aaron M. Flynn
HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP
2200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037
202-955-1500
nfichthorn@hunton.com
flynna@hunton.com

Counsel for the Utility Air Regulatory Group

s/ Derek R. McDonald

Derek R. McDonald Carlos R. Romo BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. 98 San Jacinto Boulevard Suite 1500 Austin, Texas 78701 512-322-2667 (phone) 512-322-8342 (fax) derek.mcdonald@bakerbotts.com

Counsel for Coleto Creek Power, LP

Aaron Streett
Aaron M. Streett
Matthew L. Kuryla
Devi Chandrasekaran
RAKER BOTTS I. I. P.

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. One Shell Plaza 910 Louisiana Street

Houston, TX 77002-4995

713-229-1855

aaron.streett@bakerbotts.com

### Counsel for NRG Texas Power LLC

s/ David R. Taggart

David R. Taggart

Texas Bar No. 00793102

Natalie J. Taylor

La. Bar No. 31282

BRADLEY MURCHISON KELLY & SHEA

LLC

401 Edwards Street, Suite 1000

Shreveport, LA 71101-5529

318-227-1131 (phone)

318-227-1141 (fax)

dtaggart@bradleyfirm.com

ntaylor@bradleyfirm.com

Mark H. Allison

Ark. Bar. No. 85001

DOVER DIXON HORNE, PLLC

**Suite 3700** 

425 West Capitol Avenue

Little Rock, Arkansas 72201

501-375-9151 (phone)

501-375-6484 (fax)

mallison@ddh-ar.com

## Counsel for Nucor Corporation

s/ Mark Walters

Mark Walters
Michael Nasi
JACKSON WALKER L.L.P.
100 Congress Avenue, Suite 1100
Austin, Texas 78701
512-236-2000 (phone)
512-236-2002 (facsimile)
mwalters@jw.com
mnasi@jw.com

Counsel for Balanced Energy for Texas and the Texas Mining and Reclamation Association

s/ Eugene M. Trisko

Eugene M. Trisko
LAW OFFICES OF EUGENE M. TRISKO
P.O. Box 596
Berkeley Springs, WV 25411

Tel: (304) 258-1977

Tel: (301) 639-5238 (cell) emtrisko7@gmail.com

Counsel for for Local Union 2337 of the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers

> s/ C. Frederick Beckner III Roger R. Martella, Jr. C. Frederick Beckner III Joel F. Visser SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 1501 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20005 (202) 736-8000

Counsel for Texas Association of Business, Bay City Chamber of Commerce & Agriculture, Baytown Chamber of Commerce, Cedar Park Chamber of Commerce, Clear Lake Area Chamber of Commerce, Corpus Christi Chamber of Commerce, Frisco Chamber of Commerce, Grapevine Chamber of Commerce, Greater Angleton Chamber of Commerce, Greater Beaumont Chamber of Commerce, Greater Hewitt Chamber of Commerce, Greater Irving-Las Colinas Chamber of Commerce, Greater Waco Chamber of Commerce, Henderson Area Chamber of Commerce, Lake Houston Area Chamber of Commerce, Lubbock Chamber of Commerce, Mineral Wells Chamber of Commerce, Port Arthur Chamber of Commerce, Rockwall Area Chamber of Commerce, San Angelo Chamber of Commerce, South Padre Island Chamber of Commerce, Texas City-La Marque Chamber of Commerce, Tyler Area Chamber of Commerce, Victoria Chamber of Commerce, and the Chamber of

Commerce of the United States of America

Of Counsel:

Steven P. Lehotsky Sheldon Gilbert U.S. Chamber Litigation Center 1615 H Street, NW Washington, DC 20062 (202) 463-5337

Counsel for Petitioner-Intervenor the Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America

s/ Mary Whittle
Mary Whittle
Earthjustice
3904 Bonnell Drive
Austin, TX 78731
(512) 537-2791 (phone)

mwhittle@earthjustice.org

Matthew Gerhart
Earthjustice
633 17<sup>th</sup> St, Suite 1600
Denver, CO 80202
(303) 996-9612 (phone)
(303) 623-8083 (facsimile)
mgerhart@earthjustice.org

Counsel for Sierra Club and National Parks Conservation Association

s/ Joshua D. Smith
Joshua D. Smith
Sierra Club
85 Second Street, 2nd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105
(415) 977-5560 (phone)
(415) 977-5793 (facsimile)
joshua.smith@sierraclub.org

Elena Saxonhouse Sierra Club 85 Second Street, 2nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 977-5765 (phone) (415) 977-5793 (facsimile) elena.saxonhouse@sierraclub.org

Counsel for Sierra Club

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 

I hereby certify that the foregoing Unopposed Joint Motion of the

Parties for a 90-Day Stay of Proceedings in Order to Accommodate

Settlement Discussions was electronically filed with the Clerk of the

Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of said

filing to the attorneys of record, who are required to have registered

with the Court's CM/ECF system.

Date: August 17, 2016

/s/ David A. Carson

DAVID A. CARSON

Counsel for Respondent EPA

18