SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

SAN BERNARDINO JUSTICE CENTER 247 WEST THIRD STREET SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92415-0210	DE	GEIVEN	
		AN 0 9 2017 I III	
		שייייי	
	CASE NO. By	1516087	

LAW OFFICES OF JULIA AZRAEL 5200 LANKERSHIM BOULEVARD SUITE 850 NORTH HOLLYWOOD CA 91601

IMPORTANT CORRESPONDENCE

From the above entitled court, enclosed you will find:

COPY OF RULING ON SUBMITTED MATTER

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I am a Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court for the County of San Bernardino at the above listed address. I am not a party to this action and on the date and place shown below, I served a copy of the above listed notice:

- (Y) Enclosed in a sealed envelope mailed to the interested party addressed above, for collection and mailing this date, following standard Court practices.
- () Enclosed in a sealed envelope, first class postage prepaid in the U.S. mail at the location shown above, mailed to the interested party and addressed as shown above, or as shown on the attached listing.
- () A copy of this notice was given to the filing party at the counter () A copy of this notice was placed in the bin located at this office and identified as the location for the above law firm's collection of file stamped documents.

Date of Mailing: 01/05/17

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on 01/05/17 at San Bernardino, CA

						E	3Y:	J	ESS	CIC	Ά	GA	BLI	3				
															 	 	 	

MAILING COVER SHEET

COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO SUPERIOR COURT STATE OF CALIFORNIA MINUTE ORDER

CASE NO:

CIVDS1516007

DATE: 01/03/17

CASE TITLE:

GARCIA -V- MACY'S WEST STORE, ET AL

DEPT: S24 01/03/17 TIME: 8:30

Ruling on Submitted Matter

COMPLAINT TYPE: EMP

DONNA GUNNELL GARZA, JUDGE

Clerk: JESSICA GABLE

Court rules as follows on submitted matter:

AMBER GARCIA'S Motion FOR SUMMARY ADJUDICATION is Granted.

The Court grants motion for summary adjudication of the second cause of action on the ground that the papers submitted show there is no triable issue of material fact and that the moving party is entitled to a judgment as a matter of law.

Facts disputed: None.

Evidence considered: Declarations of Kirk D. Hanson, David E. Martin and Ragunathan Veeraraghavan and exhibits attached to the declarations.

Objections to Separate Statements:

The parties assert objections to the opposing party's undisputed facts in the separate statements. The Court finds these objections are improper as they address the facts rather than the evidence. Because undisputed facts and argument are not evidence, they cannot be objected to. These "evidentiary" objections are improper and are overruled.

Notice given by Judicial Assistant

Correspondence coversheet generated to mail COPY OF RULING ON SUBMITTED MATTER to counsel of record.

Action - Complete

=== MINUTE ORDER END ===

=== MINUTE ORDER END ===