09/10/2012 ID: 8316256 DktEntry: 93-1 Page: 1 of 4 Case: 11-17707 (1 of 9)

#### NOT FOR PUBLICATION

SEP 10 2012

#### UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

#### FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

CTIA - THE WIRELESS ASSOCIATION,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, California,

Defendant - Appellee.

No. 11-17707

D.C. No. 3:10-cy-03224-WHA

MEMORANDUM\*

CTIA - THE WIRELESS ASSOCIATION,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, California,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 11-17773

D.C. No. 3:10-cv-03224-WHA

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of California

This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

Case: 11-17707 09/10/2012 ID: 8316256 DktEntry: 93-1 Page: 2 of 4 (2 of 9)

William Alsup, District Judge, Presiding

Argued and Submitted August 9, 2012 San Francisco, California

Before: SCHROEDER and CALLAHAN, Circuit Judges, and KORMAN, Senior District Judge.\*\*

This is an appeal and cross appeal from the district court's order preliminarily enjoining, in part, provisions of a San Francisco ordinance requiring cell phone sellers to make certain disclosures to consumers about radiofrequency energy emissions from cell phones. S.F. Ordinance 156-11 (1022). Under the standard established in *Zauderer v. Office of Disciplinary Counsel*, 471 U.S. 626 (1986), any governmentally compelled disclosures to consumers must be "purely factual and uncontroversial." *Id.* at 651.

The district court found the factual statements in the revised fact sheet were accurate and not misleading. Appellant CTIA correctly points out, however, that the revised fact sheet contains more than just facts. It also contains San Francisco's recommendations as to what consumers should do if they want to reduce exposure to radiofrequency energy emissions. This language could prove to be interpreted by consumers as expressing San Francisco's opinion that using

<sup>\*\*</sup> The Honorable Edward R. Korman, Senior United States District Judge for the Eastern District of New York, sitting by designation.

Case: 11-17707 09/10/2012 ID: 8316256 DktEntry: 93-1 Page: 3 of 4

(3 of 9)

cell phones is dangerous. The FCC, however, has established limits of radiofrequency energy exposure, within which it has concluded using cell phones is safe. See, e.g., Guidelines for Evaluating the Envt'l Effects of Radiofrequency Radiation, 11 F.C.C.R. 15123, 15184 (1996). Moreover, the findings made by the San Francisco Board of Supervisors on which the challenged ordinance is predicated acknowledges that "[t]here is a debate in the scientific community about the health effects of cell phones," and the district court observed that "San Francisco concedes that there is no evidence of cancer caused by cell phones." We cannot say on the basis of this record that the fact sheet, as modified by the district court, is both "purely factual and uncontroversial." Zauderer, 471 U.S. at 651. The court therefore erred in holding the city could compel distribution of the revised fact sheet.

The district court enjoined the original ordinance compelling distribution of broader materials. *Id.* San Francisco cross-appeals that order, seeking to enforce the ordinance in its entirety. Since the ordinance compels statements that are even more misleading and controversial than the revised fact sheet, the original injunction must be affirmed.

Case: 11-17707 09/10/2012 ID: 8316256 DktEntry: 93-1 Page: 4 of 4

(4 of 9)

The order preliminarily enjoining enforcement of the ordinance is AFFIRMED. The court's subsequent order modifying the injunction is VACATED.

The City and County of San Francisco's motion for judicial notice filed on January 25, 2012, is granted.

Costs will be awarded to the plainiff-appellant.

AFFIRMED in part, VACATED in part, and REMANDED.

Case: 11-17707 09/10/2012 ID: 8316256 DktEntry: 93-2 Page: 1 of 5 (5 of 9)

### **United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit**

#### Office of the Clerk

95 Seventh Street San Francisco, CA 94103

### **Information Regarding Judgment and Post-Judgment Proceedings**

#### Judgment

• This Court has filed and entered the attached judgment in your case. Fed. R. App. P. 36. Please note the filed date on the attached decision because all of the dates described below run from that date, not from the date you receive this notice.

## Mandate (Fed. R. App. P. 41; 9th Cir. R. 41-1 & -2)

• The mandate will issue 7 days after the expiration of the time for filing a petition for rehearing or 7 days from the denial of a petition for rehearing, unless the Court directs otherwise. To file a motion to stay the mandate, file it electronically via the appellate ECF system or, if you are a pro se litigant or an attorney with an exemption from using appellate ECF, file one original motion on paper.

Petition for Panel Rehearing (Fed. R. App. P. 40; 9th Cir. R. 40-1) Petition for Rehearing En Banc (Fed. R. App. P. 35; 9th Cir. R. 35-1 to -3)

# (1) A. Purpose (Panel Rehearing):

- A party should seek panel rehearing only if one or more of the following grounds exist:
  - ► A material point of fact or law was overlooked in the decision;
  - A change in the law occurred after the case was submitted which appears to have been overlooked by the panel; or
  - An apparent conflict with another decision of the Court was not addressed in the opinion.
- Do not file a petition for panel rehearing merely to reargue the case.

# B. Purpose (Rehearing En Banc)

• A party should seek en banc rehearing only if one or more of the following grounds exist:

- ► Consideration by the full Court is necessary to secure or maintain uniformity of the Court's decisions; or
- ► The proceeding involves a question of exceptional importance; or
- The opinion directly conflicts with an existing opinion by another court of appeals or the Supreme Court and substantially affects a rule of national application in which there is an overriding need for national uniformity.

### (2) Deadlines for Filing:

- A petition for rehearing may be filed within 14 days after entry of judgment. Fed. R. App. P. 40(a)(1).
- If the United States or an agency or officer thereof is a party in a civil case, the time for filing a petition for rehearing is 45 days after entry of judgment. Fed. R. App. P. 40(a)(1).
- If the mandate has issued, the petition for rehearing should be accompanied by a motion to recall the mandate.
- See Advisory Note to 9th Cir. R. 40-1 (petitions must be received on the due date).
- An order to publish a previously unpublished memorandum disposition extends the time to file a petition for rehearing to 14 days after the date of the order of publication or, in all civil cases in which the United States or an agency or officer thereof is a party, 45 days after the date of the order of publication. 9th Cir. R. 40-2.

#### (3) Statement of Counsel

• A petition should contain an introduction stating that, in counsel's judgment, one or more of the situations described in the "purpose" section above exist. The points to be raised must be stated clearly.

# (4) Form & Number of Copies (9th Cir. R. 40-1; Fed. R. App. P. 32(c)(2))

- The petition shall not exceed 15 pages unless it complies with the alternative length limitations of 4,200 words or 390 lines of text.
- The petition must be accompanied by a copy of the panel's decision being challenged.
- An answer, when ordered by the Court, shall comply with the same length limitations as the petition.
- If a pro se litigant elects to file a form brief pursuant to Circuit Rule 28-1, a petition for panel rehearing or for rehearing en banc need not comply with Fed. R. App. P. 32.

(6 of 9)

Case: 11-17707 09/10/2012 ID: 8316256 DktEntry: 93-2 Page: 3 of 5

• The petition or answer must be accompanied by a Certificate of Compliance found at Form 11, available on our website at www.ca9.uscourts.gov under *Forms*.

• You may file a petition electronically via the appellate ECF system. No paper copies are required unless the Court orders otherwise. If you are a pro se litigant or an attorney exempted from using the appellate ECF system, file one original petition on paper. No additional paper copies are required unless the Court orders otherwise.

### Bill of Costs (Fed. R. App. P. 39, 9th Cir. R. 39-1)

- The Bill of Costs must be filed within 14 days after entry of judgment.
- See Form 10 for additional information, available on our website at www.ca9.uscourts.gov under *Forms*.

#### **Attorneys Fees**

- Ninth Circuit Rule 39-1 describes the content and due dates for attorneys fees applications.
- All relevant forms are available on our website at www.ca9.uscourts.gov under *Forms* or by telephoning (415) 355-7806.

### **Petition for a Writ of Certiorari**

• Please refer to the Rules of the United States Supreme Court at www.supremecourt.gov

### **Counsel Listing in Published Opinions**

- Please check counsel listing on the attached decision.
- If there are any errors in a published <u>opinion</u>, please send a letter **in** writing within 10 days to:
  - ► West Publishing Company; 610 Opperman Drive; PO Box 64526; St. Paul, MN 55164-0526 (Attn: Kathy Blesener, Senior Editor);
  - ▶ and electronically file a copy of the letter via the appellate ECF system by using "File Correspondence to Court," or if you are an attorney exempted from using the appellate ECF system, mail the Court one copy of the letter.

(7 of 9)

of Costs				(Re	
Case: 11-17707	09/10/2012	ID: 8316256	DktEntry: 93-2	Page: 4 of 5	(8 of 9)

# **United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit**

### **BILL OF COSTS**

service, within late bill of cos	If you wish to file a bill of costs, it MUST be submitted on this form and filed, with the clerk, with proof of service, within 14 days of the date of entry of judgment, and in accordance with 9th Circuit Rule 39-1. A late bill of costs must be accompanied by a motion showing good cause. Please refer to FRAP 39, 28 U.S.C. § 1920, and 9th Circuit Rule 39-1 when preparing your bill of costs.								
		v.				9th	Cir. No.		
The Clerk is requested	to tax the fo	ollowing co	ests against:						
Cost Taxable under FRAP 39, 28 U.S.C. § 1920, 9th Cir. R. 39-1	FRAP 39, S.C. § 1920, Each Column Must Be Completed			ALLOWED To Be Completed by the Clerk					
	No. of Docs.	Pages per Doc.	Cost per Page*	TOTAL COST	No. of Docs.	Pages per Doc.	Cost per Page*	TOTAL COST	
Excerpt of Record			\$	\$			\$	\$	
Opening Brief			\$	\$			\$	\$	
Answering Brief			\$	\$			\$	\$	
Reply Brief			\$	\$			\$	\$	
Other**			\$	\$			\$	\$	

TOTAL:

Attorneys' fees cannot be requested on this form.

TOTAL: | \$ |

<sup>\*</sup> Costs per page may not exceed .10 or actual cost, whichever is less. 9th Circuit Rule 39-1.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Other: Any other requests must be accompanied by a statement explaining why the item(s) should be taxed pursuant to 9th Circuit Rule 39-1. Additional items without such supporting statements will not be considered.

Case: 11-17707 Form 10. Bill of Costs - Continued	09/10/2012	ID: 8316256	DktEntry: 93-2	Page: 5 of 5	(9 of 9)
I, were actually and necessarily perform			erjury that the servi		are taxed
Signature					
("s/" plus attorney's name if submitted  Date	ed electronical	ly)			
Name of Counsel:					
Attorney for:					
(To Be Completed by the Clerk)					
Date	Costs a	are taxed in the ar	mount of \$		
	Clerk o	of Court			
	By:			, Deputy Clerk	