

United States Court of Appeals  
For the Seventh Circuit  
Chicago, Illinois 60604

November 21, 2025

**Before**

KENNETH F. RIPPLE, *Circuit Judge*

CANDACE JACKSON-AKIWUMI, *Circuit Judge*

JOSHUA P. KOLAR, *Circuit Judge*

Nos. 23-2134, 23-2216,  
23-2958, 23-3035, 24-1352,  
& 24-1884

UNITED STATES, *et al.*, ex. rel.,  
RONALD J. STRECK,  
*Petitioner-Appellee/Cross-Appellant,*

Appeals from the United States District  
Court for the Northern District of Illinois,  
Eastern Division.

*v.*

No. 1:14-cv-09412

ELI LILLY AND COMPANY,  
*Petitioner-Appellant/Cross-Appellee.*

Harry D. Leinenweber, *Judge.*

**ORDER**

Petitioner-appellee/cross-appellant, Ronald J. Streck, filed a petition for rehearing and for rehearing en banc on October 27, 2025. No judge in regular active service has requested a vote on the petition for rehearing en banc, and all members of the original panel have voted to deny panel rehearing.

Petitioner-appellant/cross-appellee, Eli Lilly and Company, also filed a petition for rehearing and for rehearing en banc on October 27, 2025. In its petition, Eli Lilly and Company argues the qui tam provision of the False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. § 3730, is unconstitutional. No judge in regular active service has requested a vote on the petition for rehearing en banc, and all members of the original panel, concluding Eli Lilly and

Nos. 23-2134, 23-2216, 23-2958, 23-3035, 24-1352, & 24-1884

Page 2

Company forfeited if not waived its constitutional argument, have voted to deny panel rehearing.

It is therefore ordered that the petitions for rehearing and for rehearing en banc are DENIED.