

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI  
ABERDEEN DIVISION

JIM HOOD, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI,  
ex rel. THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

PLAINTIFF

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:12-CV-00179-GHD-DAS

BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY;  
SANOFI-AVENTIS U.S. L.L.C.; SANOFI-AVENTIS, U.S., INC.;  
And SANOFI-SYNTHELABO, INC.


DEFENDANTS

ORDER REMANDING CASE TO STATE COURT

In light of the United States Supreme Court case, *Mississippi ex rel. Hood v. AU Optronics Corp.*, — U.S. —, 134 S. Ct. 736, 187 L. Ed. 2d 654 (Jan. 14, 2014), the Court revisits the motion to remand filed by the Plaintiff, Jim Hood, Attorney General of the State of Mississippi, *ex rel.* the State of Mississippi. As in *AU Optronics*, in the case *sub judice*, because the State of Mississippi is the only named plaintiff in the action, the case must be remanded to state court. *See id.* at 739.

ACCORDINGLY, pursuant to *Mississippi ex rel. Hood v. AU Optronics Corp.*, — U.S. —, 134 S. Ct. 736, 187 L. Ed. 2d 654 (Jan. 14, 2014), the case *sub judice* is hereby REMANDED to the Circuit Court of Chickasaw County, Mississippi.

SO ORDERED, this, the 24<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2014.

  
SENIOR JUDGE