USCA Case	#14-1146
------------------	----------

AUG = 12014

FILED

	RECEIVED Mail Room	
	aug - 1 2014	
U	nited States Court of Appea District of Columbia Circuit	19 t

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS CLERK FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CHRCUIT

STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA, STATE OF ALABAMA, STATE OF INDIANA, STATE OF KANSAS, COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY, STATE OF LOUISIANA, STATE OF NEBRASKA, STATE OF OHIO, STATE OF OKLAHOMA, STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA, and STATE OF WYOMING

Petitioners,

v.

PETITION FOR REVIEW

Case No. <u>14-1146</u>

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY,

Respondent.

The States of West Virginia, Alabama, Indiana, Kansas, Louisiana, Nebraska, Ohio, Oklahoma, South Dakota, South Carolina, and Wyoming, and the Commonwealth of Kentucky, respectfully petition this Court, pursuant to Rule 15(a) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure and the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7401 *et seq.* ("CAA"), for review of a final settlement agreement pursuant to which United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") committed to proposing and then finalizing a rule requiring States to regulate existing coal-fired power plants under Section 111(d) of the CAA, *see* 42 U.S.C. § 7411(d). The settlement agreement is between EPA and various non-party States, governmental entities and private organizations who had threatened litigation against the agency. EPA published a notice of the proposed settlement agreement on December 30, 2010. *See* 75 Fed. Reg. 82,392 (Dec. 30, 2010). Following a notice and comment period, the settlement agreement was approved as final by EPA on March 2, 2011. *See* Memorandum from Scott Jordan, Air and Radiation Law Office, to Scott C. Fulton, General Counsel (March 2, 2011). On June 13, 2011, EPA modified the settlement agreement to change certain suggested dates for EPA's actions, without otherwise altering EPA's commitment to propose and then to finalize a Section 111(d) existing coal-fired power plants rule. This Court has jurisdiction over final actions of EPA pursuant to the CAA, § 307(b)(1), 42 U.S.C § 7607(b)(1).

The present petition is, at a minimum, timely under the Clean Air Act's statutory after-arising-ripeness exception. The CAA requires a petition for review to be brought "within sixty days from the date notice of such promulgation, approval, or action appears in the Federal Register." 42 U.S.C. § 7607(b)(1). The CAA specifically recognizes an exception to the 60-day requirement for claims that are "based solely" on grounds that occur after the 60-day period. *Id.* This Court has held that under this statutory exception, a party may bring a challenge to a final agency action within 60 days of an "occurrence of an event that ripens a

2

claim." Coal. for Responsible Regulation, Inc. v. EPA, 684 F.3d 102, 129 (D.C. Cir. 2012), aff'd in part and vacated in part on other grounds by Utility Air Reg. Grp. v. EPA, 134 S. Ct. 2427, 2444 (2014).

Petitioners' claim challenging the legality of the settlement agreement ripened, for purposes of the exception, when EPA declared its final position that it has legal authority to propose and adopt a rule under Section 111(d) regarding coal-fired power plants notwithstanding intervening events that have rendered such a rule clearly unlawful. On June 11, 2011, the Supreme Court explained that "EPA may not employ [Section 111(d)] if existing stationary sources of the pollutant in question are regulated under ... the 'hazardous air pollutants' program, § 7411(d) [Section 112]." Am. Elec. Power, Inc. v. Connecticut, 131 S. Ct. 2527, 2537 n.7 (2011). Then, on February 16, 2012, EPA finalized Section 112 regulations on "stationary sources" that included coal-fired power plants. See 77 Fed. Reg. 9,304 (Feb. 16, 2012). Notwithstanding the fact that these developments had rendered any Section 111(d) coal-fired power plants rule plainly unlawful, on June 2, 2014, EPA issued a legal memorandum explaining that EPA had determined that it retains the legal authority to issue just such a Section 111(d) rule.¹ Consistent with

¹ EPA, Legal Memorandum for Proposed Carbon Pollution Emission Guidelines for Existing Electric Utility Generating Units ("Legal Memorandum" or "Mem."), available at http://www2.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2014-06/documents/20140 602-legal-memorandum.pdf.

this legal memorandum and the settlement, on June 18, 2014, EPA announced in the Federal Register a proposed rule regarding coal-fired power plants under Section 111(d).²

In light of these developments, both elements of ripeness are now satisfied. It was not until EPA's announcement of its flawed view of its Section 111(d) authority that the "fitness of the issues" that Petitioners seek to raise against the legality of the settlement became ripe "for judicial resolution." *Abbott Labs. v. Gardner*, 387 U.S. 136, 149 (1967). In addition, the "hardship to the parties of withholding court consideration" now would be substantial because without this Court's prompt intervention, Petitioners will be forced to undertake burdensome measures in the coming months to meet the demands of the unlawful rule that EPA committed to proposing and then finalizing under the settlement agreement. *Id.*

Petitioners ask this Court: (1) to hold the settlement agreement unlawful to the extent that the settlement commits EPA to proposing a coal-fired power plant rule under Section 111(d); (2) to hold the settlement agreement unlawful to the extent that the settlement commits EPA to finalizing a coal-fired power plant rule under Section 111(d); (3) to enjoin EPA from complying with the settlement agreement by continuing the present ongoing comment period regarding EPA's

² 79 Fed. Reg. 34,830 (June 18, 2014).

proposed coal-fired power plants rule under Section 111(d); (4) to enjoin EPA from complying with the settlement agreement by finalizing a coal-fired power plants rule under Section 111(d); (5) to vacate the settlement agreement in relevant part; and (6) to grant such other relief as this Court deems appropriate.

Dated: July 31, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

Elbert Lin / MT

Patrick Morrisey Attorney General of West Virginia Elbert Lin Solicitor General Counsel of Record Misha Tseytlin Deputy Attorney General J. Zak Ritchie Assistant Attorney General State Capitol Building 1, Room 26-E Charleston, WV 25305 Tel. (304) 558-2021 Fax (304) 558-0140 Email: elbert.lin@wvago.gov Counsel for Petitioner State of West Virginia

Andrew Brasher / MT

Luther Strange Attorney General of Alabama Andrew Brasher Solicitor General *Counsel of Record* 501 Washington Ave. Montgomery, AL 36130 Tel. (334) 590-1029 Email: abrasher@ago.state.al.us Counsel for Petitioner State of Alabama

Jimothy Junk / MT Gregory F. Zoeller

Gregory F. Zoeller Attorney General of Indiana Timothy Junk Deputy Attorney General *Counsel of Record* Indiana Government Ctr. South, Fifth Floor 302 West Washington Street Indianapolis, IN 46205 Tel. (317) 232-6247 Email: tom.fisher@atg.in.gov *Counsel for Petitioner State of Indiana*

Jeffrey 1. Chanay / MT Derek Schmidt

Attorney General of Kansas Jeffrey A. Chanay Deputy Attorney General *Counsel of Record* 120 SW 10th Avenue, 3d Floor Topeka, KS 66612 Tel. (785) 368-8435 Fax (785) 291-3767 Email: jeff.chanay@ag.ks.gov *Counsel for Petitioner State of Kansas*

<u>Slan Riky</u> / MJ Jack Conway

Jack Conway Attorney General of Kentucky Sean Riley Chief Deputy Attorney General *Counsel of Record* 700 Capital Avenue Suite 118 Frankfort, KY 40601 Tel: (502) 696-5650 Email: Sean.Riley@ag.ky.gov Counsel for Petitioner Commonwealth of Kentucky

Megan K. Terrell / MT

James D. "Buddy" Caldwell Attorney General of Louisiana Megan K. Terrell Deputy Director, Civil Division *Counsel of Record* 1885 N. Third Street Baton Rouge, LS 70804 Tel. (225) 326-6705 Email: TerrellM@ag.state.la.us *Counsel for Petitioner State of Louisiana*

Katie Spohn / MT

Jon Bruning Attorney General of Nebraska Katie Spohn Deputy Attorney General *Counsel of Record* 2115 State Capitol Lincoln, NE 68509 Tel. (402) 471-2834 Email: Katie.spohn@nebraska.gov *Counsel for Petitioner State of Nebraska*

Gric E. Murphy / MT

Michael DeWine Attorney General of Ohio Eric E. Murphy State Solicitor Counsel of Record³ 30 E. Broad St., 17th Floor Columbus, OH 43215 Tel. (614) 466-8980 Email: eric.murphy@ohioattorneygeneral.gov Counsel for Petitioner State of Ohio

Patrick R. Wynick / MT

E. Scott Pruitt Attorney General of Oklahoma
Patrick R. Wyrick Solicitor General *Counsel of Record*P. Clayton Eubanks Deputy Solicitor General
313 N.E. 21st Street
Oklahoma City, OK 73105
Tel. (405) 521-3921
Email: Clayton.Eubanks@oag.ok.gov *Counsel for Petitioner State of Oklahoma*

James amory Smith, Jr/14

Alan Wilson Attorney General of South Carolina Robert D. Cook Solicitor General James Emory Smith, Jr. Deputy Solicitor General *Counsel of Record* P.O. Box 11549 Columbia, SC 29211 Tel. (803) 734-3680 Fax (803) 734-3677 Email: ESmith@scag.gov *Counsel for Petitioner State of South Carolina*

³ Application for admission forthcoming.

Roxanne Gredd / MI

Marty J. Jackley Attorney General of South Dakota Roxanne Giedd Deputy Attorney General *Counsel of Record* 1302 E. Highway 14, Suite 1 Pierre, SD 57501 Tel. (605) 773-3215 Email: roxanne.giedd@state.sd.us *Counsel for Petitioner State of South Dakota*

geremiah &. Williamson/MT

Péter K. Michael Attorney General of Wyoming James Kaste Deputy Attorney General Michael J. McGrady Senior Assistant Attorney General Jeremiah I. Williamson Assistant Attorney General *Counsel of Record* 123 State Capitol Cheyenne, WY 82002 Tel. (307) 777-6946 Fax (307) 777-3542 Email: jeremiah.williamson@wyo.gov *Counsel for Petitioner State of Wyoming*

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA, STATE OF ALABAMA, STATE OF INDIANA, STATE OF KANSAS, COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY, STATE OF LOUISIANA, STATE OF NEBRASKA, STATE OF OHIO, STATE OF OKLAHOMA, STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA, and STATE OF WYOMING, Petitioners.

v.

PETITION FOR REVIEW

Case No.

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY,

Respondent.

PETITIONERS' PROVISIONAL CERTIFICATE AS TO PARTIES, RULINGS, AND RELATED CASES

Pursuant to Circuit Rules 15(c)(3) and 28(a)(1), Petitioners state as follows:

(A) <u>Parties and Amici</u>:

The parties in this case are the State of West Virginia (Petitioner), the State of Alabama (Petitioner), the State of Indiana (Petitioner), the State of Kansas (Petitioner), the Commonwealth of Kentucky (Petitioner), the State of Louisiana (Petitioner), the State of Nebraska (Petitioner), the State of Ohio (Petitioner), the State of Oklahoma (Petitioner), the State of South Carolina (Petitioner), the State of South Dakota (Petitioner), the State of Wyoming (Petitioner), and the United States Environmental Protection Agency (Respondent). There are currently no intervenors or amici.

(B) <u>Rulings Under Review:</u>

Under review in this case is a settlement agreement between EPA and the States of New York, California, Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, New Mexico, Oregon, Rhode Island, Vermont, and Washington, the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, the District of Columbia, the City of New York, Natural Resources Defense Council, Sierra Club, and Environmental Defense Fund. The settlement was approved by EPA on March 2, 2011 and modified on June 13, 2011.

(C) <u>Related Cases</u>:

In re: Murray Energy Corporation, No. 14-1112.

Dated: July 31, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

Elbert Lin / MJ

Patrick Morrisey Attorney General of West Virginia Elbert Lin Solicitor General *Counsel of Record* Misha Tseytlin Deputy Attorney General J. Zak Ritchie

Assistant Attorney General State Capitol Building 1, Room 26-E Charleston, WV 25305 Tel. (304) 558-2021 Fax (304) 558-0140 Email: elbert.lin@wvago.gov Counsel for Petitioner State of West Virginia

Andrew Brasher / MT

Luther Strange Attorney General of Alabama Andrew Brasher Solicitor General *Counsel of Record* 501 Washington Ave. Montgomery, AL 36130 Tel. (334) 590-1029 Email: abrasher@ago.state.al.us *Counsel for Petitioner State of Alabama*

Timothy Junk 1 MT

Gregory F. Zoeller Attorney General of Indiana Timothy Junk Deputy Attorney General *Counsel of Record* Indiana Government Ctr. South, Fifth Floor 302 West Washington Street Indianapolis, IN 46205 Tel. (317) 232-6247 Email: tom.fisher@atg.in.gov *Counsel for Petitioner State of Indiana*

frey A. Chanay / MT Verek Schmidt

Attorney General of Kansas

Jeffrey A. Chanay Deputy Attorney General *Counsel of Record* 120 SW 10th Avenue, 3d Floor Topeka, KS 66612 Tel. (785) 368-8435 Fax (785) 291-3767 Email: jeff.chanay@ag.ks.gov *Counsel for Petitioner State of Kansas*

Jack Conway

Attorney General of Kentucky Sean Riley Chief Deputy Attorney General *Counsel of Record* 700 Capital Avenue Suite 118 Frankfort, KY 40601 Tel: (502) 696-5650 Email: Sean.Riley@ag.ky.gov *Counsel for Petitioner Commonwealth of Kentucky*

Megan X. Jerrell / MT James D. "Buddy" Caldwell

James D. "Buddy" Caldwell Attorney General of Louisiana Megan K. Terrell Deputy Director, Civil Division *Counsel of Record* 1885 N. Third Street Baton Rouge, LS 70804 Tel. (225) 326-6705 Email: TerrellM@ag.state.la.us *Counsel for Petitioner State of Louisiana*

Katie Spohn I MT

Jon Bruning Attorney General of Nebraska Katie Spohn Deputy Attorney General Counsel of Record 2115 State Capitol Lincoln, NE 68509 Tel. (402) 471-2834 Email: Katie.spohn@nebraska.gov Counsel for Petitioner State of Nebraska

Tric E. Murphy (MT

Michael DeWine Attorney General of Ohio Eric E. Murphy State Solicitor Counsel of Record⁴ 30 E. Broad St., 17th Floor Columbus, OH 43215 Tel. (614) 466-8980 Email: eric.murphy@ohioattorneygeneral.gov Counsel for Petitioner State of Ohio

Patrick R. Wyrich / MT E. Scott Pruitt

Attorney General of Oklahoma Patrick R. Wyrick Solicitor General Counsel of Record P. Clayton Eubanks Deputy Solicitor General 313 N.E. 21st Street Oklahoma City, OK 73105 Tel. (405) 521-3921

⁴ Application for admission forthcoming.

Email: Clayton.Eubanks@oag.ok.gov Counsel for Petitioner State of Oklahoma

<u>James Emory Smith, Jr. /M</u> Alan Wilson

Alan Wilson Attorney General of South Carolina Robert D. Cook Solicitor General James Emory Smith, Jr. Deputy Solicitor General *Counsel of Record* P.O. Box 11549 Columbia, SC 29211 Tel. (803) 734-3680 Fax (803) 734-3677 Email: ESmith@scag.gov *Counsel for Petitioner State of South Carolina*

Roxanne Giedd / MT

Marty J. Jackley Attorney General of South Dakota Roxanne Giedd Deputy Attorney General *Counsel of Record* 1302 E. Highway 14, Suite 1 Pierre, SD 57501 Tel. (605) 773-3215 Email: roxanne.giedd@state.sd.us *Counsel for Petitioner State of South Dakota*

remiah D. Williamson / MIT

Peter K. Michael Attorney General of Wyoming James Kaste Deputy Attorney General Michael J. McGrady Senior Assistant Attorney General Jeremiah I. Williamson Assistant Attorney General *Counsel of Record* 123 State Capitol Cheyenne, WY 82002 Tel. (307) 777-6946 Fax (307) 777-3542 Email: jeremiah.williamson@wyo.gov *Counsel for Petitioner State of Wyoming*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I will cause to be served a true copy of the Docketing Statement, Petition for Review, and Petitioners' Provisional Certificate as to Parties, Rulings, and Related Cases via U.S. mail on the 1st day of August, 2014, upon the following:

Regina A. McCarthy, Administrator United States Environmental Protection Agency Office of the Administrator, 1101A 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, DC 20460

United States Environmental Protection Agency Office of General Counsel, 2310A 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20460

Dated: July 31, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

Elbert Lin / MT

Elbert Lin Counsel for Petitioner State of West Virginia

USCA Case #14-1146 Document #1505986 UNITED STATES CO	
	Filed: 08/01/2014 OR DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRC
DISTRICT OF COLI	
333 Constitution . Washington, DC	
Phone: 202-216-7000 Fac	simila: 202 210 9520
	CLERK
AGENCY DOCKET	
Administrative Agency Review Proceedings (To be completed by appellant/petitioner)
	TE DOCKETED: 08-01-2014
3. CASE NAME (lead parties only) The State of West Vir	ginia v Environmental Protection Agency
4. TYPE OF CASE: Review Appeal Enforce	
5. IS THIS CASE REQUIRED BY STATUTE TO BE EX If YES, cite statute	redited? () Yes () No
6. CASE INFORMATION: a. Identify agency whose order is to be reviewed:	
	Environmental Protection Agency
 b. Give agency docket or order number(s): 	EPA-HQ-OGC-2010-1057
c. Give date(s) of order(s):	March 2, 2011
d. Has a request for rehearing or reconsideration been	
If so, when was it filled? By whom?	
Has the agency acted? \bigcirc Yes \bigcirc No If so, whe	
e. Identify the basis of appellant's/petitioner's claim of s Both the proposed rule and the final rule that El	standing. See D.C. Cir. Rule 15(c)(2):
settlement agreement each independently harm	the Petitioner States
1. Are any other cases involving the same underlying a	
	gency order pending in this Court or any other?
C Yes ● No If YES, identify case name(s), docke	
○ Yes ● No If YES, identify case name(s), docked	et number(s), and court(s)
 Yes No If YES, identify case name(s), docked g. Are any other cases, to counsel's knowledge, pendir 	et number(s), and court(s)
 Yes No If YES, identify case name(s), docked g. Are any other cases, to counsel's knowledge, pendir Court, or the Supreme Court which involve substantial 	et number(s), and court(s) ng before the agency, this Court, another Circuit ally the same issues as the instant case presents?
 C Yes ● No If YES, identify case name(s), docked g. Are any other cases, to counsel's knowledge, pendir Court, or the Supreme Court which involve substantia ● Yes ○ No If YES, give case name(s) and numb 	et number(s), and court(s) ng before the agency, this Court, another Circuit ally the same issues as the instant case presents?
 Yes No If YES, identify case name(s), docked g. Are any other cases, to counsel's knowledge, pendir Court, or the Supreme Court which involve substantial 	et number(s), and court(s) ng before the agency, this Court, another Circuit ally the same issues as the instant case presents?
 Yes No If YES, identify case name(s), docked g. Are any other cases, to counsel's knowledge, pendir Court, or the Supreme Court which involve substantia Yes No If YES, give case name(s) and number In re Murray Energy Corp., No. 14-1112 	et number(s), and court(s) ng before the agency, this Court, another Circuit ally the same issues as the instant case presents? per(s) of these cases and identify court/agency:
 Yes No If YES, identify case name(s), docked g. Are any other cases, to counsel's knowledge, pendir Court, or the Supreme Court which involve substantia Yes No If YES, give case name(s) and number In re Murray Energy Corp., No. 14-1112 h. Have the parties attempted to resolve the issues in the supreme to resolve the supreme to resolve the issues in the supreme to resolve to resolve the supreme	et number(s), and court(s) ng before the agency, this Court, another Circuit ally the same issues as the instant case presents? per(s) of these cases and identify court/agency: his case through arbitration, mediation, or any oth
 Yes No If YES, identify case name(s), docked g. Are any other cases, to counsel's knowledge, pendir Court, or the Supreme Court which involve substantia Yes No If YES, give case name(s) and number in re Murray Energy Corp., No. 14-1112 	et number(s), and court(s) ng before the agency, this Court, another Circuit ally the same issues as the instant case presents per(s) of these cases and identify court/agency: his case through arbitration, mediation, or any oth
 Yes No If YES, identify case name(s), docked g. Are any other cases, to counsel's knowledge, pendir Court, or the Supreme Court which involve substantia Yes No If YES, give case name(s) and number In re Murray Energy Corp., No. 14-1112 h. Have the parties attempted to resolve the issues in the supreme to resolve the supreme to resolve the issues in the supreme to resolve to resolve the supreme	et number(s), and court(s) ng before the agency, this Court, another Circuit ally the same issues as the instant case presents? per(s) of these cases and identify court/agency: his case through arbitration, mediation, or any oth
 ○ Yes ● No If YES, identify case name(s), docked g. Are any other cases, to counsel's knowledge, pendir Court, or the Supreme Court which involve substantia ● Yes ○ No If YES, give case name(s) and number In re Murray Energy Corp., No. 14-1112 h. Have the parties attempted to resolve the issues in the alternative for dispute resolution? ○ Yes ● No If Yes 	et number(s), and court(s) ng before the agency, this Court, another Circuit ally the same issues as the instant case presents? per(s) of these cases and identify court/agency: his case through arbitration, mediation, or any oth YES, provide program name and participation date
 ○ Yes ● No If YES, identify case name(s), docked g. Are any other cases, to counsel's knowledge, pendir Court, or the Supreme Court which involve substantia ● Yes ○ No If YES, give case name(s) and number In re Murray Energy Corp., No. 14-1112 h. Have the parties attempted to resolve the issues in the alternative for dispute resolution? ○ Yes ● No If Yes 	et number(s), and court(s) ng before the agency, this Court, another Circuit ally the same issues as the instant case presents? per(s) of these cases and identify court/agency: his case through arbitration, mediation, or any oth ZES, provide program name and participation date 17-31-2014
 Yes No If YES, identify case name(s), docked g. Are any other cases, to counsel's knowledge, pendir Court, or the Supreme Court which involve substantia Yes No If YES, give case name(s) and number In re Murray Energy Corp., No. 14-1112 h. Have the parties attempted to resolve the issues in the alternative for dispute resolution? Organ No. 14 Part Date 	et number(s), and court(s) ng before the agency, this Court, another Circuit ally the same issues as the instant case presents? per(s) of these cases and identify court/agency: his case through arbitration, mediation, or any oth YES, provide program name and participation date 17-31-2014 ral, State of West Virginia
 ○ Yes ● No If YES, identify case name(s), docked g. Are any other cases, to counsel's knowledge, pendir Court, or the Supreme Court which involve substantia ● Yes ○ No If YES, give case name(s) and number in re Murray Energy Corp., No. 14-1112 h. Have the parties attempted to resolve the issues in the alternative for dispute resolution? ○ Yes ● No If Yes Signature Elbert Lin, Solicitor General Address State Capitol, Bldg. 1, Room E-26, Charleston, WV 25305 	et number(s), and court(s) ng before the agency, this Court, another Circuit ally the same issues as the instant case presents? per(s) of these cases and identify court/agency: his case through arbitration, mediation, or any oth YES, provide program name and participation date 07-31-2014 ral, State of West Virginia
 Yes No If YES, identify case name(s), docked g. Are any other cases, to counsel's knowledge, pendir Court, or the Supreme Court which involve substantia Yes No If YES, give case name(s) and number In re Murray Energy Corp., No. 14-1112 h. Have the parties attempted to resolve the issues in the alternative for dispute resolution? Or Yes No If Yes Signature Elbert Lin, Solicitor General Address State Capitol, Bldg. 1, Room E-26, Charleston, WV 25305 E-Mail elbert.lin@wvago.gov 	et number(s), and court(s) ng before the agency, this Court, another Circuit ally the same issues as the instant case presents? per(s) of these cases and identify court/agency: this case through arbitration, mediation, or any other YES, provide program name and participation date (27-31-2014)
 ○ Yes ● No If YES, identify case name(s), docked g. Are any other cases, to counsel's knowledge, pendir Court, or the Supreme Court which involve substantia ● Yes ○ No If YES, give case name(s) and number in re Murray Energy Corp., No. 14-1112 h. Have the parties attempted to resolve the issues in the alternative for dispute resolution? ○ Yes ● No If Yes Signature Elbert Lin, Solicitor General Madress State Capitol, Bldg. 1, Room E-26, Charleston, WV 25305 	et number(s), and court(s) ng before the agency, this Court, another Circuit ally the same issues as the instant case presents? per(s) of these cases and identify court/agency: his case through arbitration, mediation, or any oth /ES, provide program name and participation date //-31-2014 ral, State of West Virginia