1	Richard G. McCracken, SBN 062058		
2	Andrew J. Kahn, SBN 228589		
	Paul L. More, SBN 243492		
3	Yuval M. Miller, SBN 243492		
4	DAVIS, COWELL & BOWE, LLP		
-	595 Market Street, Suite 1400		
5	San Francisco, CA 94105		
6	Tel: (415) 597-7200		
7	Fax: (415) 597-7201		
.	Attorneys for Intervenor UNITE HERE Local	! 11	
8			
9	Michael N. Feuer, City Attorney, SBN 11152		
	James P. Clark, Chief Deputy City Attorney,		
10	Thomas H. Peters, Chief Assistant City Attor		
11	Ronald S. Whitaker, Managing Assistant City	-	SBN 110160
12	Sara Ugaz, Deputy City Attorney, SBN 2390		
	OFFICE OF THE LOS ANGELES CITY ATTORNEY		
13	200 North Main Street, City Hall East, Room	. 910	
14	Los Angeles, CA 90012		
15	Tel: (213) 473-6878 Fax: (213) 473-6818		
	Attorneys for Defendant City of Los Angeles		
16	Allotheys for Defendant City of Los Angeles		
17	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT	COURT
18	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIF	FORNIA – V	WESTERN DIVISION
19	AMERICAN HOTEL & LODGING	Casa No :	2:14-CV-09603-AB-SS
20	ASSOCIATION and ASIAN AMERICAN	Case No	2.14-C V-09003-AD-33
	HOTEL OWNERS ASSOCIATION,	JOINT SU	JPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN
21	HOTEL OWNERS ASSOCIATION,		ION TO PLAINTIFFS'
22	Plaintiffs,		FOR PRELIMINARY
23		INJUNCT	ION
	VS.		
24	CITY OF LOCANCELES	Date:	April 6, 2015
25	CITY OF LOS ANGELES,	Time:	10:00 a.m.
	Defendant.	Location:	,
26			312 N. Spring Street,
27		T 1	Los Angeles, CA 90012
28		Judge:	Honorable Andre Birotte, Jr.
	I	1 Complaint	Filed: December 16 2014

JOINT SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
Case No. 2:14-CV-09603-AB-SS

1011

12

14

13

1516

17

18

1920

21

2223

2425

26

28

27

Defendant City of Los Angeles and Intervenor-Defendant UNITE HERE Local 11 respectfully submit this supplemental brief, notifying the Court of the Second Circuit Court of Appeal's decision in *Concerned Home Care Providers, Inc. v. Cuomo*, No. 13-3790-CV, 2015 WL 1381380 (2d Cir. Mar. 27, 2015). This appeal was decided after Defendants filed their respective briefs in opposition to Plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction.

In Concerned Home Care Providers, the Second Circuit upheld the New York Wage Parity Act (the "Act"), which sets the minimum amount of total compensation that employers must pay home care aides in order to receive Medicaid reimbursements in New York City and Westchester, Suffolk, and Nassau Counties. N.Y. Pub. Health Law § 3614–c. The Second Circuit rejected a claim that the Act was preempted by the National Labor Relations Act under the *Machinists* doctrine. Concerned Home Care Providers, 2015 WL 1381380 at *4-7. The Second Circuit noted that "the Supreme Court has never applied *Machinists* preemption to a state law that does not regulate the mechanics of labor dispute resolution." Id. at *6; see id. at *4 ("The statute's concern with 'establishing an equitable *process* for determining terms and conditions of employment' does not extend to the 'particular *substantive terms* of the bargain that is struck.'") (emphasis in original) (citing Metro. Life v. Massachusetts, 471 U.S. 724, 753 (1985), in turn citing Archibald Cox, Recent Developments in Federal Labor Law Preemption, 41 Ohio St. L.J. 277, 297 (1980)). The Second Circuit held that even "assuming, arguendo, that there may be labor standards that are so finely targeted that they impermissibly intrude upon the collective-bargaining process, the Wage Parity Law . . . is no such law." Ibid.

The Court held that *Chamber of Commerce v. Bragdon*, 64 F.3d 497 (9th Cir. 1995), and *520 South Michigan Avenue Associates v. Shannon*, 549 F.3d 1119 (7th Cir. 2008) were readily distinguishable, "[e]ven assuming, *arguendo*, that these cases were correctly decided." *Ibid*. Finally, the Court found nothing untoward in the fact that the Act encouraged union lobbying for minimum-compensation standards, holding that such

1	lobbying is "present 'with regard to any state law that substantively regulates		
2	employment conditions.' Machinists preemption is not a license for courts to close		
3	political routes to workplace protections simply because those protections may also be		
4	the subject of collective bargaining." Concerned Home Care Providers, 2015 WL		
5	1381380 at *6 (quoting Fort Halifax Packing v. Coyne, 482 U.S. 1, 21-22 (1987)).		
6			
7	Dated: April 1, 2015 Respectfully submitted,		
8	DAVIS, COWELL & BOWE, LLP		
9			
10	By: <u>/s/ Paul L. More</u> Richard G. McCracken		
11	Andrew J. Kahn		
12	Paul L. More		
13	Yuval M. Miller		
14	Attorneys for Intervenor UNITE HERE Local 11		
15	OFFICE OF THE LOS ANGELES CITY ATTORNEY		
16			
17	By: /s/ Ronald S. Whitaker		
18	Michael N. Feuer		
19	James P. Clark Thomas H. Peters		
20	Ronald S. Whitaker		
	Sara Ugaz		
21 22	Attorneys for Defendant City of Los Angeles		
$\begin{bmatrix} 22 \\ 23 \end{bmatrix}$			
24			
25 26			
27 28			
٥ /			

1	Richard G. McCracken, SBN 062058		
	Andrew J. Kahn, SBN 228589		
2	Paul L. More, SBN 243492		
3	Yuval M. Miller, SBN 243492		
4	DAVIS, COWELL & BOWE, LLP		
	595 Market Street, Suite 1400		
5	San Francisco, CA 94105		
6	Tel: (415) 597-7200		
	Fax: (415) 597-7201		
7	E-mail: rmccracken@dcbsf.com		
8	ajk@dcbsf.com		
9	pmore@dcbsf.com		
-	ymiller@dcbsf.com		
10	Attorneys for Intervenor UNITE HERE Local 11		
11			
12	Michael N. Feuer, City Attorney, SBN 111529		
12	James P. Clark, Chief Deputy City Attorney, SBN 647780		
13	Thomas H. Peters, Chief Assistant City Attorney, SBN 163388		
14	Ronald S. Whitaker, Managing Assistant City Attorney, SBN 110160 Sara Ugaz, Deputy City Attorney, SBN 239031 OFFICE OF THE LOS ANGELES CITY ATTORNEY		
15			
16	200 North Main Street		
	City Hall East, Room 916		
17	Los Angeles, CA 90012		
18	Tel: (213) 473-6878		
19	Fax: (213) 473-6818		
-	E-mail: ronald.whitaker@lacity.org		
20			
21	Attorneys for Defendant City of Los Angeles		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

27

28