SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

	IN	THE	SUPI	REME	COURT	OF	THE	U	NITED	STATI	ΞS
						-		-			
ENCINO	ГОМ	TORCA	ARS,	LLC	,)			
			Pet	itio	ner,)			
		v.)	No.	16-136	52
HECTOR	NAV	/ARRC), E	ΓAL	• ,)			
			Resp	onde	ents.)			

Pages: 1 through 64

Place: Washington, D.C.

Date: January 17, 2018

HERITAGE REPORTING CORPORATION

Official Reporters
1220 L Street, N.W., Suite 206
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 628-4888
www.hrccourtreporters.com

1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
2	
3	ENCINO MOTORCARS, LLC,)
4	Petitioner,)
5	v.) No. 16-1362
6	HECTOR NAVARRO, ET AL.,
7	Respondents.)
8	
9	Washington, D.C.
10	Wednesday, January 17, 2018
11	
12	The above-entitled matter came on for oral
13	argument before the Supreme Court of the United States
14	at 10:05 a.m.
15	
16	APPEARANCES:
17	PAUL D. CLEMENT, Washington, D.C.; on behalf of
18	the Petitioner
19	JAMES A. FELDMAN, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; on
20	behalf of the Respondents
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CONTENTS	
2	ORAL ARGUMENT OF:	PAGE:
3	PAUL D. CLEMENT	
4	On behalf of the Petitioner	3
5	ORAL ARGUMENT OF:	
6	JAMES A. FELDMAN	
7	On behalf of the Respondents	30
8	REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF:	
9	PAUL D. CLEMENT	
10	On behalf of the Petitioner	58
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(10:05 a.m.)
3	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: We'll hear
4	argument first this morning in Case 16-1362,
5	Encino Motorcars versus Navarro.
6	Mr. Clement.
7	ORAL ARGUMENT OF PAUL D. CLEMENT
8	ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER
9	MR. CLEMENT: Mr. Chief Justice, and
10	may it please the Court:
11	Service advisors are salespeople
12	primarily engaged in servicing automobiles.
13	Service advisors are plainly salespeople, and
14	what they sell and what they are primarily
15	engaged in is the servicing of automobiles.
16	Thus, Respondents and the nation's
17	100,000 service advisors come within the
18	literal disjunctive text of the FLSA exemption
19	for any salesman, partsman, or mechanic
20	primarily engaged in selling or servicing
21	automobiles, trucks, or farm implements.
22	That is
23	JUSTICE GINSBURG: Is is there any
24	other category that the statute lists three
25	categories: Salesmen, partsmen, and mechanics.

1	And you say the service advisor is
2	should fit under salesmen. Is there any other
3	person that's not specifically enumerated
4	that's subsumed under one of these categories?
5	MR. CLEMENT: There there is,
6	Justice Ginsburg, if what you mean is sort of
7	an occupation that was well understood at the
8	time that Congress passed this exemption in
9	1966. The example would be automobile body
10	repairmen. It was treated as a separate
11	category, for example, in the Occupational
12	Outlook Handbook that my friends on the other
13	side like so much, but yet the the Labor
14	Department has consistently treated repairmen
15	in the body shop as covered by the exemption,
16	just like mechanics in the service shop.
17	So I think that goes a long way to
18	showing that it's not like Congress was
19	consulting this handbook at all or had this
20	conception that it was going with a
21	three-to-three correspondence with existing
22	occupations and what it was trying to capture
23	in the statute.
24	JUSTICE KAGAN: Mr. Clement
25	JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: How about

Т	JUSTICE KAGAN: you said the
2	literal meaning. And you have some good
3	arguments, but I have to tell you I don't think
4	that that's the best one of them.
5	Just if you think of what servicing
6	means this is one dictionary, but basically
7	all dictionaries say the same thing it means
8	to perform services of maintenance, supply,
9	repair, installation as to service a car.
10	So it actually means to do the work,
11	to do the repair, to do the maintenance I
12	think would be the most ordinary understanding
13	of what servicing means.
14	So it does seem as though, for all the
15	arguments that you do have, that one cuts
16	against you, that, you know, just the ordinary
17	meaning of what it means to be engaged in
18	servicing automobiles is to be repairing,
19	maintaining, fixing cars.
20	MR. CLEMENT: Well, Your Honor, I
21	mean, I'd love to talk to you about some of the
22	other arguments you seem to like better, but
23	let me try to push back a little bit on this
24	one, which is I'm the the first to admit
25	that servicing in some statutes can have a

- 1 relatively narrow compass and includes only,
- 2 you know, essentially turning the wrenches
- 3 yourself.
- But in other contexts, it can have a
- 5 broader meaning, supplying the service,
- 6 providing the service. And here we know that
- 7 Congress used the term in that latter, broader
- 8 sense, because of the inclusion of partsmen,
- 9 because partsmen are not engaged in getting
- 10 under the hood and turning the wrenches.
- 11 The best place to look at what a
- 12 partsman does is the Labor Department
- regulation that's been on the books since 1970.
- 14 It specifically talks about what a partsman is
- primarily engaged in, and that is the
- 16 requisitioning, stocking, and dispensing of
- 17 parts.
- 18 JUSTICE KAGAN: So I -- that is one of
- 19 the better arguments, I think, is what -- what
- 20 "partsman" is doing in this, but I think I'm
- 21 still suggesting, before we get on to that,
- that if you took partsman out of this statute,
- I don't really think that you'd have a leg to
- stand on here, that we would just naturally
- 25 mean, well, servicing automobiles is like

- 1 fixing them.
- 2 And what -- and the service providers,
- 3 they have something to do with servicing, to be
- 4 sure, but they're not doing the servicing.
- 5 What they're doing is selling service products.
- 6 MR. CLEMENT: Well, Your Honor, I
- 7 think if "partsman" were not in the statute, I
- 8 would have a weaker argument. I think I still
- 9 might have a leg to stand on, especially if
- 10 there were four decades of industry reliance on
- 11 a position.
- But I do think that the partsman can't
- 13 be ignored and I do think it's actually a
- 14 mistake to sort of look at servicing in
- isolation from the inclusion of partsmen in the
- 16 statute because --
- 17 JUSTICE GINSBURG: But if we look --
- if we look, Mr. Clement, at how partsmen got in
- 19 there, I mean, there is the legislative history
- that Senator Bayh said the partsmen are woken
- 21 up at 4 in the morning because some piece of
- 22 farm equipment needs to be repaired. And then
- there was somebody else who backed that up. So
- those senators at least thought of the partsman
- as somebody who would work irregular hours.

1	Now, they may not have they may
2	have been talking about a specific category of
3	partsmen, the ones ones who attend to farm
4	equipment, but they use the statute uses the
5	general title.
6	MR. CLEMENT: Well, it does more than
7	use the general title, Justice Ginsburg. It
8	also I think there's no argument that the
9	statute only covers farm implement partsmen.
10	Based on its disjunctive structure, it
11	certainly covers, I think, automobile partsmen
12	and partsmen at a truck dealership. And I
13	think equally importantly, it covers it without
14	regard to whether they work outside or have
15	unusual hours.
16	And so I think what you have here is a
17	classic example of where Congress was impelled
18	to include partsmen in the statute based on
19	some very specific concerns of specific
20	senators about farm dealer partsmen, but then
21	they expanded the statute quite a bit more
22	substantially to cover all of the partsmen.
23	One other just footnote on that piece
24	of the legislative action, I think it's
25	actually interesting that when Congress first

- 1 proposed adding partsmen, they proposed adding
- partsmen who were selling or servicing farm
- 3 implements.
- 4 And so I think what that shows is that
- 5 Congress may have actually had with selling or
- 6 servicing not necessarily two siloed,
- 7 disjunctive terms but sort of the broader
- 8 process of selling or servicing, because I
- 9 don't think partsmen ever sold the farm
- 10 implements themselves.
- 11 JUSTICE KAGAN: One of the amicus
- 12 briefs talks about what partsmen did
- 13 historically and makes the case that what
- 14 partsmen did when this statute was passed is a
- 15 little bit different from what -- or a lot
- 16 different from what a partsman does now, in the
- 17 sense that when this statute was passed, there
- 18 were not readily available, ready-made,
- off-the-shelf parts. And what partsmen really
- 20 did was kind of get under the hood and try to
- 21 strip engines and play with the parts and
- 22 adjust parts. And, you know, it was very much
- 23 more part of the repair process than somebody
- 24 who was taking things off the shelf.
- MR. CLEMENT: Justice Kagan, I know

- 1 there's an amicus brief that says that. I
- 2 think -- you know, we're here to ask you to
- 3 mostly look at the plain text of the statute,
- 4 but if you're interested in looking at
- 5 something, I do think that occupational
- 6 handbook from 1966 has a couple of pages about
- 7 what partsmen did back then, and I don't think
- 8 it really maps up with what the -- the amicus
- 9 brief says, which is to say, even back in 1966,
- 10 sure, there might be an occasion on which they
- 11 had to fix some part or got under the hood, but
- in the main, what they did then is exactly what
- 13 the Labor Department identified, which is
- 14 they're behind the counter, they're making sure
- that when you do a repair and you need a new
- spark plug or a new fan belt, that they
- 17 actually have it in stock.
- 18 And that is, I think, classically what
- 19 a partsman does. I don't think that it really
- 20 puts them really in the same place as the
- 21 mechanics. I mean, it's interesting, if you
- 22 want to look at those photos at the back of the
- 23 red brief that come from that occupational
- 24 handbook, I think it's telling that the service
- 25 advisor and the mechanic are the two photos

- 1 where the hood's up. The -- the counterman,
- 2 partsman, he's behind the counter, which, you
- 3 know, I don't mean to say that's where he or
- 4 she belongs, but that is where they typically
- 5 are, and it's pretty far removed from the
- 6 action, which is why I think the partsmen
- 7 really are the clue to interpreting this
- 8 statute to have this broader compass of
- 9 services.
- 10 JUSTICE KAGAN: So can I try a
- 11 hypothetical on you? And the hypothetical
- 12 statute is designed to match this one in
- 13 structure and -- and -- and to present the same
- 14 question. So here's the language: Any
- salesman, designer, or seamstress primarily
- 16 engaged in selling or making dresses. All
- 17 right?
- So there we -- we know the seamstress
- 19 is involved in making dresses. We know the
- 20 salesman is involved in selling dresses. The
- 21 designer is the partsman. And you could say,
- look, the designer, they're not actually
- 23 sitting there with the needle, but they're
- 24 still sort of making the dresses. That's the
- 25 partsman.

1 And then the question is, would you 2 really say that the salesman is making the 3 dresses, too? MR. CLEMENT: I'm not sure I would, 4 Your Honor, but I think there are reasons for 5 that, which is I think making dresses is a 6 7 narrower term, frankly, than servicing. I think servicing is a broader term. 8 9 I also think that designers are, frankly, more integral to the making of the 10 dresses than the partsman is to any kind of 11 12 narrow concession of services --JUSTICE BREYER: That is exactly why 13 14 I've -- I was interested. You said you're primarily relying on literally. 15 Well, I think in 10 minutes the two of 16 17 us could think of hundreds of examples maybe, or at least 50, where just, look, any 18 seamstress or customer who makes or wears 19 20 dresses. Hey, they don't mean the seamstress 21 22 who wears dresses. They mean the customer who 23 wears dresses and the seamstress makes the Any professor or student who teaches 24 dresses.

or learns at this university, they don't mean

- 1 the professor who learns at the university.
- 2 They mean the professor who teaches and the
- 3 student who learns.
- Any salesman who -- what's the word,
- 5 you know, sells or -- sells cars or -- we --
- 6 you see the -- but I think there's so many
- 7 examples like that, that that seems to be the
- 8 natural meaning. You have two words over here
- 9 that are verbs, two words over here that are
- 10 nouns. The first seems to go with the first,
- 11 the second with the second.
- 12 And if I just gave you this and you
- 13 knew nothing else about it and you were just
- 14 looking at the literal words, I would have
- thought on a bet you would have said that's the
- 16 interpretation.
- 17 MR. CLEMENT: Well, Justice Breyer,
- 18 there's a couple of things. Most of the
- 19 examples that you are suggesting, I think, have
- 20 a two-to-two correspondence --
- JUSTICE BREYER: Yeah.
- MR. CLEMENT: -- not a three-to-two
- 23 correspondence. And I do think that makes a
- 24 big difference in the real world.
- The second thing is I do think, I

- 1 mean, I'm not here to tell you that there
- 2 aren't disjunctive series followed by
- 3 disjunctive series where you do have matching.
- 4 JUSTICE BREYER: Yeah.
- 5 MR. CLEMENT: Now, I think often that
- 6 is because the matching really excludes the
- 7 other cases or produces a null set. I think it
- 8 also depends a little bit on sort of what's
- 9 going on.
- 10 With your professor and student
- 11 hypothetical, if you do, you know, if that was
- 12 all tethered to a requirement as to who gets
- issued a library card, if you had a professor
- 14 who was sort of visiting that semester and only
- 15 learning and not teaching, would they really
- deny him a library card?
- 17 JUSTICE BREYER: No, no. Okay.
- 18 JUSTICE KAGAN: But, Mr. Clement --
- 19 JUSTICE BREYER: But then you go to
- 20 her second point, which the second point was
- 21 what about the purposes as Congress reveals
- 22 them?
- JUSTICE KAGAN: Could we --
- 24 JUSTICE BREYER: You can see in the --
- 25 sorry?

```
1
               JUSTICE KAGAN: Could I ask your
 2
      indulgence --
 3
               JUSTICE BREYER: Yeah.
               JUSTICE KAGAN: -- just before you
 4
      talk about purposes?
 5
 6
               Just, you know, my -- what my
 7
      hypothetical was designed to do, and I came up
      with a bunch of them and I'll spare you --
 8
 9
               (Laughter.)
10
               MR. CLEMENT:
                             Thank you.
               JUSTICE KAGAN: -- is to have a
11
12
      three-to-two correspondence. Your answer back
13
      to Justice Breyer was right away: Well, your
14
      hypotheticals have a two-to-two correspondence
15
      and that's different.
               My hypothetical had a three-to-two
16
17
      correspondence and you had to do a little bit
      of stretching to get one of the -- the middle
18
      term in.
19
20
               But the question is does that force
      you to stress -- to stretch so much that you
21
      get the first term in, when the first term
22
23
      pretty naturally pairs with the other, with the
      -- with the selling word, and that's what
24
      you're asking us to do.
25
```

1 And I think to put the point more 2 generally, the fact that you have to do a 3 little bit of stretching to get partsmen in does not compel you to do a lot of stretching 4 to get salesmen in. 5 But, Justice Kagan, and 6 MR. CLEMENT: 7 I -- I want to try to get back to the purpose of questions, but I do think that the degree of 8 9 stretching you have to do to get partsmen in is not a significant difference between how much 10 stretching you have to do to get the service 11 12 advisors in. And I think that's really the key. 13 14 mean, maybe in your hypothetical, which you 15 designed a lot of them and you probably gave me one of the best ones, and I think that's 16 17 because --JUSTICE KAGAN: Now you're daring me. 18 19 (Laughter.) MR. CLEMENT: Well, no, no, but -- but 20 -- but I take it that because you really 21 22 thought there was a big gap between the 23 designers and the salespeople in terms of the 24 stretching. And I just don't think that's the case with the partsmen. And I really do think 25

1 -- I mean, the partsmen, I mean, God love the 2 partsmen, but they're pretty far removed from the action of turning the wrenches. 3 I actually think that if you did an 4 empirical test as to who got under the hood 5 more often, the service advisors would win. 6 7 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Mr. Clement --JUSTICE GINSBURG: Well, why can't you 8 9 say that this, the partsmen, they're exempt 10 because Congress put them there specifically and you don't have to match them with anything. 11 12 Congress may have been overbroad because they started with the farm equipment people. 13 14 So, if we just look at the two others, why should we stretch service advisor to come 15 within the mechanic who's actually servicing 16 17 when we know that the service advisor doesn't even possess the skills to be engaged in 18 19 servicing? MR. CLEMENT: So, Justice Ginsburg, I 20 don't -- I don't think the service advisor is 21 2.2 differently situated from the partsman in terms 23 of having the skills to go under the hood and turn the wrenches himself or herself. 24

I think, as to the answer to your

2.5

1 question about why don't we just say, hey, the 2 partsmen are in because the partsmen are in, because the structure of the statute doesn't 3 let you say just the partsmen are in. 4 partsmen are in because they are primarily 5 engaged in selling or servicing automobiles. 6 7 Now, I take it that the partsmen don't sell the automobiles, so they must be in either 8 9 because selling or servicing is just sort of a catch-all that gets everything that the 10 dealership basically does, or it's because we 11 12 have a broad enough conception of servicing to 13 include the partsmen. 14 Now, the way that I understand this Court to interpret statutes is, once you 15 interpret a statutory term to have a certain 16 17 breadth, I mean, that's what it has, even in like Clark v. Martinez, even when you have to 18 stretch the language of the Constitution to 19 avoid a -- of a statute to avoid a 20 constitutional problem --21 2.2 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Do you have an 23 example of a partsman that is not engaged in 24 servicing automobiles, say a partsman in

another office and he -- downtown from the

2.5

- dealership, and he just picks up the phone and
- 2 orders parts or something?
- 3 MR. CLEMENT: Sure. I mean, first of
- 4 all, you could have a partsman who's not
- 5 employed by an automobile dealership at all,
- 6 that they're just an independent partsman or
- 7 they work at AutoZone or something like that.
- 8 I don't think they're covered by the terms of
- 9 the statute.
- 10 So I do think you have to interpret
- 11 the statute. So you tether partsman to a term
- 12 like servicing. And then, once you interpret
- it to have a certain breadth, I don't think it
- 14 can shrink back down so it can be wide as to
- partsmen but narrow as to service advisors.
- 16 And so I do think then the service
- 17 advisors do come comfortably within the text of
- 18 the statute, and we haven't talked about the
- 19 fact that for four decades they've been treated
- 20 that way, which I think --
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: Well, I wanted to
- 22 ask you, you mentioned in your argument that
- there's been decades of reliance.
- 24 If we want to adopt that argument and
- say, well, there's been reliance here, it's a

- 1 close question, we're not sure, it's ambiguous,
- what case do I cite to show that reliance bears
- 3 on the interpretation of the statute?
- 4 MR. CLEMENT: I think you'd cite,
- 5 among other things, you could cite the
- 6 Christopher case, the Christopher against
- 7 SmithKline case, which is another FLSA case.
- 8 And this Court averted to the reliance interest
- 9 both in deciding not to apply our deference but
- 10 also in interpreting the statute.
- 11 And I think the principle isn't, you
- 12 know, well, if for four decades people have got
- it wrong, we'll get it wrong.
- I think the principle is, you know, as
- 15 -- as the -- as the Seventh Circuit said in the
- 16 Yi case, which Christopher cited, I mean, it's
- 17 -- it's no mean feat to conclude that an agency
- 18 has been in open, notorious violation of the
- 19 FLSA for four decades.
- 20 And I think the behavior of the
- 21 industry is some evidence of what those terms
- 22 meant.
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: The agency, as I
- 24 understand it, the agency gave up after two
- 25 circuits rejected its position. So the agency

- 1 acquiesced in the Fourth and Fifth Circuit
- 2 position.
- 3 But last time around, I noticed your
- 4 argument about the massive retroactive
- 5 liability. And I said, well, what about this
- 6 provision that says someone who relies in good
- 7 faith on the agency position doesn't have
- 8 retroactive liability?
- 9 MR. CLEMENT: Well, it's -- I think
- 10 that the issue, I'm happy to discuss that,
- 11 that's the Portal-to-Portal-Act affirmative
- 12 defense, I think our reliance on that is even
- more complicated now because it doesn't just
- 14 allow for no damages when you've relied on the
- 15 agency in the abstract. It specifically talks
- 16 about relying on agency regulations.
- 17 So at least since 2011 when you had
- 18 the last change in administrative position, I
- don't think we'd be able to rely on the
- 20 Portal-to-Portal Act affirmative defense to say
- 21 we're not subject to liability.
- The other thing my friends on the
- other side say is that, well, you know, there's
- this other exemption, 207(i), that will help at
- 25 least those that were paid on a majority basis

- 1 for a commission.
- Now, there's a couple of things about
- 3 that. I mean, I think the most important one
- 4 is there -- there -- I don't know the
- 5 exact number, but there are a sizable number of
- 6 people in the industry who are paid majority
- 7 salary, and so they'd have to be restructured.
- 8 But the other thing is it's a little
- 9 bit rich for my friends on the other side to
- 10 say: Don't worry about this because of 7(i).
- I mean, when they filed this complaint, they
- must have had some theory as to why we weren't
- 13 already covered by 7(i).
- So I think that just shows that what
- 15 -- what the industry has relied on for four
- 16 decades is not some combination of the
- 17 Portal-to-Portal Act in 207(i). What the
- 18 agency -- what the industry's relied on is the
- 19 idea that in this context, you know, it is this
- 20 exemption that exempts all service advisors,
- 21 not just those that are paid primarily on a
- 22 commission.
- JUSTICE KAGAN: When I think of these
- three categories of workers, so service
- 25 providers, partsmen, mechanics, to coin a

- 1 couple of silly kind of words, a service
- 2 advisor is customer-facing. You know, it's --
- 3 the -- the primary -- the primary job is to
- 4 deal with customers, to sell them things, to
- 5 liaison with them, to make sure they're happy.
- 6 Mechanics and also partsmen are
- 7 car-facing. You know, their job is to do stuff
- 8 with the car. And, you know, in different
- 9 ways, the partsmen is more helping, but their
- 10 -- their focus is on the automobile, whereas
- 11 the service provider's focus is on the
- 12 customer.
- 13 That seems to me a pretty big divide,
- 14 suggesting that the service providers are
- 15 really, you know, salesmen, not servicers.
- MR. CLEMENT: Well, Your Honor, a
- 17 couple of things.
- 18 First of all, you probably anticipate
- 19 that I'm going to take you back to the partsmen
- 20 again because I do think describing the
- 21 partsmen as just vehicle-facing really
- 22 mis-describes what they do. And I would ask
- you, if you have the time, to look at that 1966
- 24 entrance on the auto partsman counterman,
- 25 because what it talks about is, you know, they

- 1 really -- sometimes they sell direct parts to
- 2 retail customers. So sometimes they too are
- 3 customer-facing.
- 4 And that's all part of what they are
- 5 primarily engaged in, which is not just facing
- 6 the car. It's really their responsibility is
- 7 the parts, whether it's the mechanic that wants
- 8 the parts for a particular repair or whether
- 9 it's some outside customer who wants to buy a
- 10 part because they're a do-it-yourselfer. Now
- 11 -- so I really think the partsmen are in the
- middle in a way that does really give servicing
- a broad compass.
- 14 The other thing I would say is I don't
- think you can underestimate the degree to which
- these three occupations, especially in light of
- 17 the way the industry has structured itself for
- 18 the last four decades, really do go together.
- 19 There are many dealerships, as I understand it,
- 20 who -- essentially, the commission is a pot
- 21 that is shared by the service advisors, the
- 22 partsmen, and by the mechanics that all work at
- 23 some dealerships. They all get paid on a
- 24 commission, and it all comes out of the same
- 25 pot, which, of course, gets to the common sense

- of the matter, which is, if the service
- advisors don't do their job, there's not much
- of a job for the partsmen or the mechanics to
- do. There's no -- there's no work to do if the
- 5 service advisors --
- 6 JUSTICE KAGAN: Is -- is everybody
- 7 who's in a service department -- does everybody
- 8 count as primarily engaged in servicing
- 9 automobiles who's in a service department, the
- 10 receptionist, the filers?
- 11 MR. CLEMENT: The answer is I'm not
- 12 sure. I think probably not. But I also don't
- think it matters much because, of course, to be
- 14 exempt, you not only have to be primarily
- 15 engaged in servicing, you also have to be a
- 16 salesperson, a partsman, or a mechanic.
- So if you take somebody like a car --
- 18 a car porter, you know, are they primarily
- 19 engaged in servicing? I think the definition
- of partsman is probably broad enough to say
- 21 yes. You might disagree with me. But, either
- 22 way, they're not exempt.
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: What about an
- 24 automobile upholsterer?
- MR. CLEMENT: Again, I would say that

- that's somebody who might be primarily engaged
- in servicing, but they wouldn't be covered
- 3 because they're not a mechanic, they're not a
- 4 partsman, and they're not a salesperson.
- 5 JUSTICE KAGAN: How about if the
- 6 service advisor --
- 7 JUSTICE GORSUCH: What role do -- what
- 8 role do the -- the three objects at the end of
- 9 the sentence play in your interpretation? We
- 10 haven't discussed those yet.
- MR. CLEMENT: Well, I hope they play
- this role, Your Honor, which is I think it's
- 13 common ground among -- between the parties that
- those are distributed to each other noun/gerund
- 15 combination. So nobody's here saying, well,
- the first goes with the first when it comes to
- the object, so the only people that are exempt
- 18 are the farm dealer mechanics.
- 19 And I think that just shows -- it may
- 20 be really odd -- anything is possible, of
- 21 course, but it would be really odd if the way
- 22 you read the statute is with -- the nouns
- vis- α -vis the gerunds, you apply this reddendo
- 24 principle, but with respect to the gerunds
- vis- α -vis the objects, you apply the normal

- 1 "or" means "or" principle. 2 I think the real way to apply this statute -- and, honestly, I think what is the 3 only thing that really ought to be left of the 4 reddendo canon is the commonsense principle 5 that when you have these disjunctive series, if 6 7 they combine in a way that really is something like the null set, you ignore it. You don't 8 lose a lot of sleep over it, and it's fine 9 because, you know, I'm not here to tell you 10 there are mechanics who are primarily engaged 11 12 in selling automobiles. 13 But since there aren't any, you don't
- 14 really have to lose any sleep over it and you shouldn't construe the statute primarily based 15 on the fact that there's a null set with one 16 17 combination, especially when there's 100,000 flesh-and-blood examples of salesmen who are 18 primarily engaged in servicing. 19
- JUSTICE GORSUCH: What about our 20 narrow construction canon? 21

2.2

MR. CLEMENT: Well, that's an 23 interesting question, Your Honor. I mean, you 24 know, the Ninth Circuit applied that, and I suppose that, you know, the Ninth -- the canon 25

- 1 that the Ninth Circuit applied and the one it
- 2 derived from one of this Court -- Court's older
- 3 cases talks about being plainly and
- 4 unmistakably within the exemption.
- Now, I'm a big enough believer in my
- 6 argument here that I think maybe we even meet
- 7 the plain and unmistakable test, but I also
- 8 think, as we've urged the Court, that it may be
- 9 time to put that canon to rest.
- 10 And I'm not suggesting that the FLSA
- should be interpreted differently from any
- 12 other statute. It's a general principle of
- 13 statutory construction that exemptions are not
- 14 construed to swallow the rule.
- I think that's a perfectly sensible
- 16 rule of construction. But I do think to sort
- of amp that up to the degree that it is -- has
- to be plain and unmistakable to come within the
- 19 exemption really is contrary to the way this
- 20 Court generally interprets statutes.
- I don't think it makes a lot of sense,
- 22 especially if you remember that a lot of these
- 23 exemptions are being passed much later in
- history than the 1938 enactment of the FLSA.
- 25 So even if you accept the proposition

- that, in 1938, Congress had an unalloyed
- interest in being remedial in the FLSA, I don't
- 3 know why that would inform your interpretation
- 4 of an exemption enacted in 1966 for the express
- 5 purpose of at least having some employees not
- 6 covered by the FLSA.
- 7 And I do think that this would be an
- 8 odd statute to have this plain and unmistakable
- 9 test when it's riddled with exemptions. So, if
- 10 there were ever one statute where you'd say,
- okay, Congress, yeah, it had a very important
- 12 purpose, it was a worthy purpose, but it didn't
- 13 pursue it at all costs, it would be this
- 14 statute.
- 15 If you look at 213, which has the
- various exemptions to both the minimum wage
- laws and the overtime laws, as I count it up,
- 18 there are 31 exemptions to the -- to the -- to
- 19 the minimum wage and overtime laws just in 213,
- and there are other exemptions in other places
- in the statute. So what an odd statute to say
- that the way we're going to interpret this is
- only with a thumb on the scale in favor of the
- 24 coverage and against the exemptions.
- 25 If there are no further questions, I'd

- 1 reserve my time.
- 2 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you,
- 3 counsel.
- 4 Mr. Feldman.
- 5 ORAL ARGUMENT OF JAMES A. FELDMAN
- 6 ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENTS
- 7 MR. FELDMAN: Mr. Chief Justice, and
- 8 may it please the Court:
- 9 The exemption in this case is for any
- 10 salesman, partsman, or mechanic primarily
- 11 engaged in selling or servicing automobiles.
- 12 The most obvious reason why or the clearest
- 13 reason why service advisors don't come within
- 14 that exemption is they don't service
- 15 automobiles.
- 16 In our brief, we cite five -- I think
- 17 the five most authoritative dictionaries of the
- 18 English language, and they define "service" --
- 19 "to service" as to maintain or repair. You
- 20 maintain -- you don't maintain or repair a car,
- in the way people would ordinarily speak, with
- 22 a pad or a clipboard and a pencil or a
- 23 telephone, which are the primary tools that
- 24 service advisors use.
- 25 You do it back in the back of the shop

- when you're actually working on the car.
- 2 Service advisors don't do that and, therefore,
- 3 they -- they don't service cars. They don't
- 4 repair or maintain them.
- 5 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, I guess
- 6 sometimes, though, I mean, they do look under
- 7 the hood sometimes, right? You bring the car
- 8 up, you know, it's making this noise, they go
- 9 out and at least listen to the noise, and
- 10 sometimes they can say right away: Oh, that's
- 11 probably this. And then they send something
- down, and whether the customer is still there
- or comes back later, all that they do in the --
- the mechanics or the partsmen, send it up and
- then plug it in and it's right.
- 16 So, I mean, in certain situations, the
- 17 advisor would play the primary role in fixing
- the problem, not when it's more complex, maybe
- 19 not typically, but certainly in what I suppose
- 20 would be many occasions.
- MR. FELDMAN: I -- I don't think so.
- 22 I mean, actually, I think what the advisor does
- is make a guess, but really -- of what might be
- 24 wrong based on what the customer says. I mean,
- 25 sometimes the customer goes in and says: I

- 1 want a 20,000-mile check -- servicing. And the
- 2 service advisor just sends it back and says we
- 3 need a 20-mile -- 20,000-mile servicing. Now,
- 4 maybe the mechanic --
- 5 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: That's a
- 6 different -- that's a different example.
- 7 MR. FELDMAN: -- finds something. But
- 8 even --
- 9 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Sometimes -- I
- 10 -- I guess what I'm saying, sometimes the
- 11 primary decision in servicing the car is going
- to be made by the service advisor, at least the
- initial thing. You know, send something down.
- 14 The -- the mechanic may well look at
- it himself or herself, but the service advisor
- says it's a distributor cap or whatever, and it
- 17 turns out it is, they put it on, and it's done.
- 18 MR. FELDMAN: Yeah, I -- I think
- 19 actually the service advisor's job -- they may
- 20 make a guess as to what's wrong and give the
- 21 customer -- say, well, it might be the
- 22 distributor cap. But it's up to the mechanic
- 23 to actually figure out what's wrong, not the
- 24 service advisor.
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: Suppose the service

- 1 advisor meets the customer in the morning and
- 2 he said: I'm a service advisor. I'm here to
- 3 supervise and to plan the servicing of your
- 4 automobile. Is that correct for him to say?
- 5 MR. FELDMAN: I -- well, actually, I
- 6 don't think so. I don't think they supervise
- 7 the servicing of the automobile. I think they
- 8 serve a communications function. They don't in
- 9 any sense supervise the mechanic.
- 10 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Well, how about to
- 11 arrange for?
- MR. FELDMAN: Yes, I think it is
- 13 correct to say they arrange for it. But I
- 14 think there -- there's a huge difference
- 15 between --
- 16 JUSTICE KENNEDY: But if -- if that's
- 17 true, it seems to me he's engaged in servicing
- 18 the automobile.
- 19 MR. FELDMAN: No, I don't think so
- 20 because I -- I think there's a huge difference,
- 21 as a matter of -- he's definitely not servicing
- the automobile just because he's arranging for
- 23 it. There's many people who arrange for the
- 24 provision of services but don't perform the
- 25 service themselves.

1 If somebody is -- I will take the 2 example of some salesmen. This is particularly true of the difference between sales and 3 service, which are pretty much two distinct 4 categories. If somebody's going door to door 5 6 and selling house painting services, says, you 7 know, do you want your house painted, that person is selling and maybe arranging for 8 painting the house, but they are not painting 9 the house. If somebody's at a --10 JUSTICE KENNEDY: But if they -- but 11 12 if they picked out the color and -- and -- and 13 advised all about the quality of the paint, 14 paint to use, and different costs, and scheduled the timing and so forth, I think they 15 could be -- they could be supervising the 16 17 painting of the house. MR. FELDMAN: Well, I mean, actually 18 supervising service, supervising a repair also 19 20 isn't the same thing as repairing. But I do think that it's quite clear, and you can look 21 2.2 at the machinist's brief, these people in no 23 sense supervise the mechanics. They tell the 24 mechanics what the problem is and what the customer wants and it's primarily to serve as a 25

- 1 communication link. It's up to the mechanic or
- 2 whoever else working is working on it in the
- 3 back to figure out what to do.
- 4 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, but, I
- 5 mean, just in -- and maybe this isn't the best
- 6 guide to the interpretation, but it's sort of
- 7 common understanding if you over several years
- 8 dropped your car off whenever you're supposed
- 9 to or whenever it's broken and -- and you talk
- 10 to Fred about getting it fixed, and -- and
- 11 somebody comes to you later and says something
- 12 -- I've got a problem with my car, you would
- say my service guy is Fred, go see him.
- 14 It's just sort of your general
- 15 reaction is that is part of the service -- not
- only that, it's the part, the only part that
- 17 you have experience with. But you would think
- of Fred as the person who services your car,
- 19 no?
- 20 MR. FELDMAN: I -- I -- I would
- 21 just respectfully disagree. I actually think
- 22 --
- 23 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: It's
- 24 different, go to different shops, I guess?
- 25 MR. FELDMAN: I -- I think you would

- 1 -- I think you would think of Fred as the
- 2 person you would give the car to. The person
- 3 to service is to maintain a repair, and the
- 4 person in the back who actually works on the
- 5 car is the person who services the car.
- 6 JUSTICE BREYER: You can read it that
- 7 way. I have no doubt that might even be the
- 8 most natural reading. Suppose the word were
- 9 involved in instead of engaged in?
- 10 MR. FELDMAN: Involved in would bring
- 11 it much closer and actually --
- 12 JUSTICE BREYER: Yeah, it would. And
- so what we're doing is we're trying to parse
- the difference between "engaged in" and
- 15 "involved in" in a fairly technical statute
- involving one of 40,000 different kinds of
- workers as part of a very general statute.
- Now, that to me rings a bell that if
- this isn't a question for an agency, what is?
- Now, all right. So, if I'm thinking
- 21 that, maybe no one else is, I'm only speaking
- 22 for myself, I was sort of surprised that nobody
- 23 in the Ninth Circuit referred to a doctrine
- that nobody refers to anymore. It's called
- 25 primary jurisdiction. And it can be used to

- 1 ask the relevant department to file a brief,
- 2 and nobody did that.
- 3 MR. FELDMAN: No.
- 4 JUSTICE BREYER: Now, suppose I --
- 5 suppose -- suppose I -- I think, gee, I don't
- 6 know the answer to this question. It's highly
- 7 technical. I do look to purpose. The purpose,
- 8 apparently, was that they put these mechanics
- 9 in there because they had farm equipment
- somewhere, that people go in the middle of the
- 11 night, so they didn't have fixed hours.
- 12 Whether that was so or not, I don't know.
- 13 And maybe the service person would
- 14 call at 2 in the morning and tell the farmer
- he's coming, in which case he doesn't have
- 16 fixed hours, so he should be exempt too.
- I don't know. So suppose I start --
- 18 you see the attitude? Have you any advice for
- 19 me at all?
- MR. FELDMAN: Yeah, I do.
- 21 (Laughter.)
- MR. FELDMAN: In the first place, if
- 23 you look at the -- if you look at the car
- 24 dealership, it's not the case that there are
- 25 three main people in the car dealership: The

- 1 salesmen, the partsmen, and the mechanics.
- JUSTICE BREYER: Uh-huh, uh-huh.
- 3 MR. FELDMAN: There's salesmen who
- 4 sell financing, insurance, and warranties.
- 5 There -- not cars.
- There's salesmen who sell underbody
- 7 coatings and other accessories like that.
- 8 There's lube technicians. There's body people
- 9 who work on painting, on upholstery, on body
- 10 repairs. There's car jockeys. There's
- 11 dispatchers who allocate the work. So there's
- many different people who work at a car dealer.
- 13 Congress picked out only three and
- 14 they picked out those three for a particular
- 15 reason. And if you look at actually the things
- 16 that we cite, all three of those share
- 17 something in common, and service advisors not
- 18 only don't share that but have a different
- 19 quality that makes them much more likely to be
- 20 in the statute.
- JUSTICE BREYER: Well, if the
- 22 mechanics are in there because they did call
- farmers at 2 in the morning, did the service
- 24 advisor call the farmer too?
- 25 MR. FELDMAN: There's -- as far as I

1 know in the history of the auto industry --2 JUSTICE BREYER: No? MR. FELDMAN: -- there's never been an 3 example of a service advisor who worked off 4 site and probably very rarely, if ever, 5 6 irregular hours. Those were the keys to why 7 Congress wanted partsmen and mechanics in there. 8 9 They're also clearly the most 10 important reason why they wanted salesmen in there, because salesmen in those days and still 11 12 today at luxury dealerships, I'm told, salesmen 13 will come and bring the car to you to go take a 14 test drive. And car salesmen --15 JUSTICE GINSBURG: How about Congress got it wrong in what they perceived the 16 17 partsman job was? They were right about the partsman who work on farm equipment, but they 18 19 were wrong about the partsman who work on automobiles, who works regular hours. 20 21 MR. FELDMAN: I don't think they were 2.2 wrong. I think what they decided is they 23 wanted to include partsmen and mechanics, who I 24 think reasonably are both said to be servicing cars, and they then decide to include the whole 25

- 1 categories and not just limit it to -- to farm
- 2 implements or a particular kind of mechanic or
- 3 partsman, as long as they're servicing cars.
- 4 That was the limitation.
- 5 JUSTICE KAGAN: But your definition of
- 6 servicing, which I think is a very natural one,
- 7 I mean, and not just, you know, natural, it's
- 8 the dictionary definition of servicing, but it
- 9 has to cope with this partsmen word.
- 10 How does -- how do partsmen fit within
- 11 your definition of servicing?
- 12 MR. FELDMAN: Well, I do -- I think
- partsmen are reasonably said to be servicing
- 14 cars. If the mechanic is -- needs a fan belt,
- is working on a car and walks over two steps or
- 16 five steps or 10 steps to pick up a fan belt
- off the wall and bring it back to the car, I
- think the mechanic is that whole time repairing
- 19 or maintaining the car.
- 20 And what the partsman is doing is
- 21 taking over a function, one part of the
- function of what the mechanic does, and instead
- the partsman's doing it. And that's why it
- 24 works very closely, as we talk about in the
- 25 brief, they work very closely with parts --

- 1 with mechanics. They try to get the parts to
- them in the order in which they need them on
- 3 complex repairs and so on.
- 4 And I think that it's very reasonable
- 5 too. And -- and I guess also a car is nothing
- 6 but the sum of its parts. And for all those
- 7 reasons I think it's very reasonable to say
- 8 that they are servicing cars.
- 9 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, but
- 10 diagnosis is part of the servicing as well, and
- 11 the service advisors at least do that. I mean,
- 12 the mechanic isn't going to know what -- he
- 13 needs to know what the problem is. And the
- 14 service advisor will spend a fair amount of
- 15 time trying to get a description from the
- 16 customer -- sometimes it's easy, you know, the
- 17 -- the car won't start. Other times, it's --
- it's -- it's -- it's going to be harder, and
- 19 they need to get a good description.
- 20 You know, is the -- is the -- the --
- 21 the person who takes down your symptoms at the
- doctor's office before the doctor comes in, is
- 23 -- is she or he part of the medical treatment?
- MR. FELDMAN: I -- I think actually
- 25 the relevant question is, is he or she

- 1 providing the treatment? And I would say no.
- 2 They're giving an initial guess.
- 3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: No, no, the
- 4 statute talks about being engaged in. So is
- 5 that person engaged in the medical treatment?
- 6 MR. FELDMAN: I don't think he's
- 7 engaged in treating. He -- he may be -- may be
- 8 a part of the process of --
- 9 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I guess maybe
- 10 it's the --
- 11 JUSTICE KENNEDY: But the dictionary
- definition of engaged says to do or take part
- in something.
- MR. FELDMAN: Right. And -- and, you
- know, there is, as far as engaged in goes, this
- 16 Court has, I mean, I actually did a Lexis
- 17 search, and this Court does -- has used the
- 18 term 500 times. It's used about 2,000 times in
- 19 the U.S. Code.
- It's used in the FLSA. In actually
- one of the provisions, in 2 -- 213(d) in the
- same statute, it's engaged in the delivery of
- 23 newspapers. It's an extremely common word.
- 24 And I can't find any instance in which
- 25 any court has ever construed it to mean

- 1 anything other than what Black's Law Dictionary
- 2 says, which is to do something customarily or
- 3 regularly or continually.
- 4 It doesn't mean doing something that's
- 5 ancillary to that activity. So if you're --
- 6 203(d) talks about engaged in the delivery of
- 7 newspaper, and there's an exemption for people
- 8 like that.
- 9 If you're calling somebody up on the
- 10 phone and saying: Would you like your home
- delivery of your newspaper, I don't think that
- 12 person is covered under that provision. And if
- this Court were to construe "engaged in" to be
- 14 a term of breadth like that in this case, I
- think it would actually upset a lot of settled
- 16 expectations across broad areas of the law.
- When Congress wants to include --
- wants to broaden out a term, it uses terms like
- 19 necessary and -- consequent and necessary to,
- 20 which -- I'm sorry, necessary and incidental
- 21 to, which it uses in a couple of the FLSA
- 22 provisions that we cite, or it uses terms like
- 23 "the process of," which it uses regularly
- throughout the U.S. Code.
- 25 But I don't think there's any basis to

- take in this statute, which is very, actually,
- 2 finely written, to take the word servicing or
- 3 engaged in servicing and say: Well, no, no,
- 4 they mean something, like, in the criminal law,
- 5 you might say someone is an accessory before
- 6 the fact if they helped the crime before it's
- 7 committed or after the fact if they help it
- 8 afterwards.
- 9 I don't think -- but -- but in the
- 10 criminal law, actually, if you didn't have 18
- 11 U.S.C. Section 2, which made those people
- 12 liable as principals, they wouldn't be liable
- 13 for the crime.
- And I do think it's the same principle
- 15 here. When they're talking about engaged in
- 16 servicing, they're talking about the people who
- 17 service, not the people who I would concede do
- 18 things that are necessary and incidental to the
- 19 servicing. There's many people who do that.
- 20 JUSTICE KAGAN: Mr. Feldman, when you
- 21 talked about the purposes, you focused on the
- 22 fact that service advisors work on-site and
- 23 don't work irregular hours.
- I believe that Mr. Clement's argument,
- and he'll correct me if I'm wrong, focuses on

- 1 the fact of commissions, that these people,
- 2 like other kinds of salesmen and like
- 3 mechanics, are often compensated through
- 4 commission schemes.
- 5 What about that?
- 6 MR. FELDMAN: I -- I think that
- 7 commissions are actually completely irrelevant
- 8 to this provision. There's many other people
- 9 at the car dealership, including some -- many
- of the ones who I mentioned who are paid on
- 11 commissions, who are not exempt. There's many
- 12 people at car dealerships and throughout the
- economy who are exempt and who are not paid on
- 14 commissions.
- In fact, if -- where Congress was
- 16 interested in commissions as a basis for an
- 17 exemption, they provided one in 207(i). If
- 18 you're paid more than 50 percent on commissions
- 19 and you make more than one and a half times the
- 20 minimum wage, then you can get -- you can be
- 21 exempt under that provision.
- It is possible that at least going
- 23 forward -- and I would correct my friend -- at
- the time of the complaint, one thing that was
- true here that's alleged in the complaint is

- that the dealership was not, up until recently,
- 2 it says, keeping track of the time and the
- 3 hours spent.
- 4 So, actually, they would have had a
- 5 hard time keeping track -- even though they're
- 6 required by law to do that, they would have had
- 7 a hard time keeping -- making out the 207(i)
- 8 exemption. But -- but going forward, it may be
- 9 in this case that these people are covered by
- 10 207(i).
- 11 You know, they're -- as far as the
- 12 reliance interest that my friend mentioned, I
- 13 actually think the reliance argument cuts
- 14 exactly the other way. In -- when this Court
- 15 had the case before, they were -- the question
- 16 that the Court said it was interest -- it was
- 17 concerned with about reliance was whether the
- 18 19 -- people had been relying on the 1978 DOL
- 19 letter and whether the agency in 2011 was
- 20 required to give some explanation of why it
- 21 changed its mind.
- 22 That's actually -- so that question is
- 23 no longer in the case. The regulation, the
- 24 Court said, is not controlling here.
- 25 But what has happened is, in 2011, DOL

- 1 did tell everybody that it thought service
- 2 advisors are not exempt from the -- from the --
- 3 are not exempt. And in 2015, the Ninth Circuit
- 4 decided a case. In 2016, about two years ago,
- 5 this Court remanded the case to the Ninth
- 6 Circuit.
- 7 By that time and long before that,
- 8 probably from 2000 -- in fact, I know from 2011
- 9 on, dealerships were being informed that
- 10 service advisors -- advisors might well be
- 11 covered here and that there is -- they might --
- 12 you might -- they might be entitled to
- 13 overtime. And it was certain --
- 14 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Well, could you add
- to that, or correct me if I'm wrong, that in
- 16 the two circuit cases that ruled against the
- 17 FLSA, the government had taken the opposite
- 18 position?
- 19 MR. FELDMAN: That's right. That's
- 20 right. But I -- I think my point about
- 21 reliance is if you actually -- there's a
- 22 two-year statute of limitations here.
- 23 Everybody has known since 2011, and certainly
- 24 since two years ago when this Court decided the
- 25 case last time, that there -- that these people

- 1 might be entitled to overtime.
- There -- as far as I can tell, there's
- 3 been two cases at most, and I'm not sure about
- 4 both of them, one in the District of Arizona
- 5 and one in the Western District -- I think it's
- 6 the Western District of New York, that have
- 7 been filed claiming that service advisors are
- 8 entitled to overtime. That's it, two cases.
- 9 So I think that in the -- the logical
- 10 inference to be drawn is that most
- 11 dealerships -- some dealerships are probably
- 12 paying overtime right now to service advisors.
- 13 In fact, I know that some are. Some
- 14 dealerships -- many, many dealerships, probably
- 15 the vast majority of them, have made -- have
- arranged things so they come within the 207(i)
- 17 exemption.
- 18 And the reliance now, what really is
- 19 -- what -- what this case easily could be about
- is whether dealerships can stop paying overtime
- 21 to people whom they're currently paying and
- 22 whether dealerships can change the terms of
- their arrangements with service advisors so
- 24 that the people who have -- have been coming
- under 207(i), they can -- they don't have to

- 1 comply with the limitations of 207(i). They
- 2 don't have to comply with the minimum -- one
- and a half times the minimum wage and so on.
- 4 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Mr. -- Mr. Feldman,
- 5 I -- I don't understand from what you said
- 6 whether you are disagreeing with me that there
- 7 would be no retroactive liability because
- 8 employers relied in good faith on what had been
- 9 the agency's position.
- 10 MR. FELDMAN: The -- I -- I agree with
- 11 you that would -- there could be good-faith
- 12 reliance that -- in this case, I think there
- would be good-faith reliance up until 2011.
- 14 Then the complaint here was filed in 2012. So
- we would -- could only go back as far as 2011.
- 16 There would be good-faith reliance.
- But the point is that isn't going to
- 18 be relevant to future cases. There's only two
- 19 cases, I think, as far as I'm aware. Maybe
- there's another one that I haven't been able to
- 21 find, but I've tried to look for them.
- There's only two cases currently
- pending, but I think really the Court should be
- 24 very careful about giving a lot of weight to --
- to claims of reliance where what well might be

- 1 happening is people are paying overtime and
- 2 bringing their service advisors in 207(i) and
- 3 what they really want to do is stop paying the
- 4 overtime and stop bringing their service
- 5 advisors within 207(i).
- 6 And so I don't think the -- the
- 7 reliance issue that my friend discussed, I just
- 8 don't think that's a reason to decide the case
- 9 that way.
- 10 JUSTICE KAGAN: Mr. Feldman, the
- 11 Solicitor General is not here in a case in
- which one would expect the government to be
- 13 here. Do you know whether there's any activity
- 14 taking place in the Department of Labor with
- 15 respect to this issue?
- 16 MR. FELDMAN: I don't know. I -- I
- just don't know about that.
- 18 Yeah, I would make one -- one other
- 19 point about the purpose -- the purposes of the
- 20 statute. So one of them was, these three
- 21 people and especially auto salesmen, which is
- 22 where -- what Petitioner says the service
- 23 advisors are, the three categories that
- 24 Congress included are people who work irregular
- 25 hours and off-site where it's hard to keep

- 1 track of people's hours.
- Now, the service advisors have a
- 3 different -- another feature. First of all,
- 4 they never work off-site. They rarely work
- 5 irregular hours. But there's another feature
- of how they work that cuts in the opposite
- 7 direction.
- 8 The complaint in this case alleges
- 9 that service advisors -- the service advisors
- in this case work 55 hours a week. Now, what
- 11 Congress wanted to do in the Fair Labor Act was
- to set minimum standards of working conditions.
- 13 And at the very least, they did -- they thought
- 14 that should be 40 hours a week and that should
- be basically what people are expected to work.
- Now, mechanics and partsmen, as well
- 17 as, you know, warranty salesmen, lube
- 18 technicians, all the other people at the auto
- 19 dealership work an occasional overtime hour,
- 20 but these people, this is their standard week
- 21 after week, regular hours, 55 hours.
- 22 Congress when it -- Congress, in the
- 23 FLSA, thought that that was -- that was the
- 24 kind of thing they didn't want to have happen
- and, particularly because there was a second

- 1 purpose of the maximum hours of the overtime
- 2 requirement, which is they wanted the people
- 3 who are -- instead of employing somebody for 55
- 4 hours, they wanted to say: Well, go hire
- 5 somebody else to fill in that extra time,
- 6 because they wanted to expand employment
- 7 opportunities at the same time as they were,
- 8 you know, legislating in favor of --
- 9 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Well, but if -- if
- 10 you have a service advisor in the morning and
- 11 then a different one in the afternoon, that's a
- 12 completely different -- changed dynamic from
- the same person saying we found a little
- 14 problem and we went ahead and did X, Y, or
- 15 whatever.
- MR. FELDMAN: Well, I mean, I -- I
- 17 just would say one is that does happen
- 18 sometimes. Two is another way to deal with
- 19 this is to have fewer days worked and you can
- 20 have longer hours. There's many occupations
- 21 that work that way. You can -- you can share
- the work around. You can give people time off
- in the middle of the day. Okay? This
- dealership doesn't do any of those things.
- 25 They -- it's a -- it's a 55-hour week.

- 1 And that's exactly the purpose of the F --
- these are people that come directly within the
- 3 purposes of the FLSA. And it's no coincidence
- 4 that Congress didn't include them in the
- 5 statute when it included salesmen, partsmen,
- 6 and mechanics.
- 7 Another -- I would say also that
- 8 Petitioner's argument is primarily -- is that
- 9 service advisors are salesmen. And, actually,
- on page 5 of the reply brief, Petitioner says
- 11 they're salesmen because they are principally
- involved in selling. And that's what you would
- 13 expect salesmen to do.
- But that does create a logical problem
- for Petitioner because, if you're principally
- involved in -- you can't be principally
- involved or it's hard to be principally
- involved in two different things, especially
- 19 two things as different as selling and
- 20 servicing.
- So, if they're principally involved in
- 22 selling, which is what Petitioner says makes
- them a salesman, and I think what would make
- them a salesman if they were, then it's -- it's
- impossible to say that they're -- then it's

- 1 hard to turn around and say: No, no, they're
- 2 principally engaged in servicing.
- 3 The -- the two categories are distinct
- 4 in the statute. And as examples I gave are the
- 5 house painter or the -- a -- a travel agent
- 6 who's selling guided tours is -- you know, or a
- 7 AAA person who's selling you roadside
- 8 assistance. All those people are selling you
- 9 things. They're not doing them because the
- idea of selling something is a fundamentally
- 11 different concept than the idea of actually
- doing it. And that's a problem -- a problem
- 13 that I don't think Petitioner can escape.
- I mean, that is the reason why what
- 15 Congress did is they -- they put service
- advisors with all the other people in the auto
- dealership who I mentioned who are not exempt
- 18 and who get overtime.
- 19 I think even Petitioner doesn't
- 20 actually believe at least in one respect that
- 21 -- that service advisors are principally
- 22 engaged in selling -- in servicing automobiles.
- 23 There are people at the dealership who sell
- 24 underbody coatings and assorted paint sealants,
- 25 upholstery treatments, tire treatments. Those

- 1 people are definitely salesmen. That is their
- 2 job, is to sell.
- 3 They -- those -- those things are all
- 4 services that are provided to the car. And yet
- 5 Petitioner has conceded from the beginning of
- 6 this litigation that those people who do that
- 7 kind of selling, that they are not covered by
- 8 the statute.
- 9 And I think that just is a natural
- 10 conclusion that Petitioner draws because I
- 11 think that's the natural way to read this
- 12 statute.
- 13 Petitioner -- my friend did refer to
- 14 the -- said that the Department of Labor has
- taken the position that auto -- that auto body
- 16 repair people are not covered by the -- the
- 17 statute. I think that that is actually
- 18 mistaken.
- 19 The only cite -- source for that is in
- 20 a footnote in the reply brief. It's a 1968
- opinion letter by Department of Labor, and what
- 22 Department of Labor said there -- first of all,
- 23 the opinion letter stood for the proposition
- and addressed the question of whether auto
- 25 painters are covered by the statute, are

- 1 exempt, and concluded that they're not exempt.
- But, secondly, it did then talk about
- a category it called body and fender mechanics.
- 4 And it suggested that they are not -- that they
- 5 are exempt under this statute.
- 6 Well, I just think it's worthwhile
- 7 looking at what happened to that. In 1970,
- 8 body and fender mechanics were included in the
- 9 original version of the -- of the regulation as
- 10 an example of the kind of people who are
- 11 considered mechanics and could be exempt.
- In 1973, three years later, I think it
- was the first revision of it, they kept the
- list of people who are mechanics the same and
- removed body and fender mechanics. So I think
- the only thing you can conclude is the
- 17 Department of Labor has not concluded and there
- isn't a history of saying that even body and
- 19 fender mechanics are not covered, but whether
- they're covered or not would be a different
- 21 question, but at least auto body repairmen are
- 22 not.
- 23 Congress picked three distinct
- 24 professions who were well recognized at the
- time of the statute and said, you know, we want

- 1 those occupations, specific occupations to be
- exempt for the reasons that I said, that
- 3 service advisors were a well-recognized
- 4 occupation at that time.
- 5 They -- they were recognized in the
- 6 Occupational Outlook Handbook. They had been
- 7 recognized in NLRB decisions from the 1940s on,
- 8 in industry publications. And there's a reason
- 9 why they're a distinct occupation, because if
- 10 you look at the jobs that they do, it's
- 11 actually a completely different job than the
- job that's done by -- by auto salesmen, who are
- the people who Congress undoubtedly wanted to
- 14 include.
- The one other thing I'd like to say is
- 16 about the distributive or reddendo canon. I
- 17 mean, we don't -- we -- what that canon stands
- 18 for is the proposition that when you have two
- 19 lists like this that you have to make match up,
- 20 as -- as dates -- as -- as in the Simms case,
- is actually a great example, where you have
- three in the first category and two in the
- 23 second and you have to match them up, it is
- common, and there are or's, and they're
- 25 connected by the word "or," it's common in the

- 1 English language to say, well, we match up the
- 2 ones that actually fit according to the
- 3 context, but we don't have to struggle and
- 4 strain to twist the ordinary meanings of words
- or something like that to try to barely find a
- 6 way in which everything in the first list has
- 7 to match with everything in the second.
- 8 And that is all that that canon means.
- 9 It means that it's perfectly acceptable and was
- 10 what Congress, I think, did, is to say salesmen
- 11 match up with sells there, and are logically
- related, they're semantically related, that's
- what Congress intended to do, and it matches
- 14 perfectly.
- 15 Partsmen and mechanics match up with
- 16 servicing. Salesmen don't match up with
- 17 servicing and, in fact, insofar as someone is a
- 18 salesman, they're almost certainly not a
- 19 service person.
- If there are no further questions.
- 21 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you,
- 22 counsel.
- Five minutes, Mr. Clement.
- 24 REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF PAUL D. CLEMENT
- ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER

1 MR. CLEMENT: Thank you, Mr. Chief 2 Justice, and may it please the Court: Just a few points in rebuttal. First 3 of all, I'd like to start with the reliance 4 issue. I don't think it is factually accurate 5 6 at all to say that when the 2011 regulation 7 came in, without any explanation that was ultimately deemed procedurally invalid by this 8 9 Court, that dealerships just stopped what they were doing and changed 40 years of practices. 10 If that had happened, this suit would 11 12 not have happened. I mean, the reality is that across the country, based on that unexplained 13 14 regulation, dealerships continued their traditional practices. That's why the reliance 15 interests are all on our side of this case. 16 17 Now, there are also reliance interests for the treatment of body repairmen who have 18 from the very beginning been treated as exempt 19 20 at dealerships. Now, there is the letter that we cite 21 2.2 that talks about how painters are not covered 23 and body repairmen are covered. It also cites legislative history -- I'll grant you the 24 legislative history was more focused --25

1 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Mr. Clement, how 2 -- Mr. Clement, your new definition, your more expansive definition, tell me how many more 3 people that are involved in the Service 4 Department will be covered. 5 6 Will it include the dispatchers who 7 tell people -- who don't do anything but assign the work, or the lubesmen, the upholsterers, 8 9 all those other people who right now for 40 years, or more or less, have been paid a 10 salary, are they now going to be subject to 11 12 automobile dealerships saying: Given this new definition, we can forego paying them minimum 13 14 wages? 15 MR. CLEMENT: No, Justice Sotomayor. We're here -- we're on the status quo team. We 16 17 don't -- we're not trying to get some change --JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Well, that's very 18 19 nice, but tell me your -- but your definition 20 21 MR. CLEMENT: Sure, sure. 2.2 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- your definition 23 might very well include them --24 MR. CLEMENT: It might --2.5 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- because you're

- 1 basically saying anybody involved in servicing
- 2 is covered.
- MR. CLEMENT: No, that's where --
- 4 that's where, with all due respect, you're
- 5 wrong. We're -- we're giving you a definition
- of servicing, but we also say it works in the
- 7 statute together with salesperson, partsman,
- 8 and mechanic.
- 9 So, in order to be covered, you have
- 10 to be both. You have to be one of those three
- 11 categories of employee, and you have to be
- 12 primarily engaged in servicing.
- So nothing's going to change for the
- 14 non-mechanics, the non-salespeople, the
- 15 non-partsmen. Things are going to stay the
- 16 same because we have -- we have a definition of
- 17 servicing that has to include partsmen, that
- includes service advisors, and service advisors
- 19 are included not because -- just because they
- are primarily engaged in servicing but because
- 21 they are also salespeople.
- So I just wanted to say that the
- legislative history is specific as to fender
- 24 and bodymen. They -- they were -- they were
- 25 meant to be exempt mechanics. They're treated

- 1 as exempt. I hope we don't have another change
- 2 to deal with.
- 3 Under the status quo, body repairmen
- 4 are exempt. Mechanics, traditional mechanics
- 5 are exempt. Partsmen are exempt, and sales
- 6 advisors are exempt, and there are real
- 7 reliance interests on that.
- 8 As to the Labor Department's position,
- 9 they're obviously not here. Footnote 9 of our
- 10 reply brief points out that they have put an
- 11 advisory out that they are not going to take
- any enforcement actions against sales advisors
- 13 until this Court rules in this case, which I
- 14 think just underscores that the reliance
- interest, the status quo, is in our favor.
- There's no current regulatory effort
- 17 to go after service advisors. And there really
- 18 hasn't been one from the Labor Department
- 19 itself since about 1978.
- 20 And, Justice Breyer, if you're looking
- 21 for a tie-breaker with an agency somewhere, I
- think it's four decades of acquiescence.
- 23 That's the last valid action from the Labor
- 24 Department. The 2011 regulation was deemed
- 25 procedurally invalid.

1 So the last word for them is 1978 2 opinion letter, 1987 enforcement manual. For -- for 40 years everybody has understood 3 service advisors to be exempt, whether you 4 think about that as the --5 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Were there two 6 7 circuits that ruled in favor of the employees? Was -- was that within the 40-year period? 8 9 Didn't the agency take the position in -- in those two cases that --10 MR. CLEMENT: That, Justice Kennedy --11 12 JUSTICE KENNEDY: -- they were not 13 covered? 14 MR. CLEMENT: -- is really what starts the 40 years of reliance. So the first of 15 those cases was a Fifth Circuit case called 16 17 Deel Motors. That was an enforcement action brought by the Labor Department that the Labor 18 19 Department lost. 20 Shortly thereafter they also lost in the Sixth Circuit, and that's when they started 21 22 acquiescing. The Fourth Circuit case comes along later, and that's a private party action. 23 It's not an enforcement action. 24

So we do have, you know, roughly, at

2.5

- 1 least since 1978, we've had acquiescence from
- 2 the Labor Department, which gives rise to all
- 3 of these reliance interests.
- 4 In terms of the purpose of the
- 5 statute, I really thought it was interesting
- 6 that my friend on the other side emphasized the
- 7 fact that the service advisors worked 55-hour
- 8 weeks because Congress, when it dealt with
- 9 people who by the nature of their job worked
- 10 long weeks, they had one of two reactions to
- 11 that.
- One of it, they said: Boy, that's
- awful, and we want to have more workers, so
- we're going to limit them to 40.
- The other is they said: Oh, yeah,
- 16 that's the way it is in that industry, and
- those people are underpaid, so we're going to
- 18 give them an exemption. That's exactly what
- 19 they did with service advisors. Thank you,
- 20 Your Honor.
- 21 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you,
- 22 counsel. The case is submitted.
- 23 (Whereupon, at 11:02 a.m., the case
- 24 was submitted.)

	Offici
1	able [2] 21:19 49:20
<u> </u>	above-entitled [1] 1:
10 [2] 12 :16 40 :16	abstract [1] 21:15
10:05 [2] 1:14 3:2	accept [1] 28:25
100,000 [2] 3: 17 27: 17 11:02 [1] 64: 23	acceptable [1] 58:9
16-1362 [1] 3:4	accessories [1] 38:7
17 13 1:10	accessory [1] 44:5
18 [1] 44 :10	according [1] 58:2
19 [1] 46 :18	accurate [1] 59:5
1938 [2] 28 :24 29 :1	acquiesced [1] 21:1
1940s [1] 57:7	acquiescence [2] 62
1966 [5] 4 :9 10 :6,9 23 :23 29 :4	acquiescing [1] 63:2
1968 [1] 55:20	across [2] 43:16 59:1
1970 [2] 6 :13 56 :7	Act [3] 21:20 22:17 51 action [7] 8:24 11:6 1
1973 [1] 56:12	17,23,24
1978 [4] 46 :18 62 :19 63 :1 64 :1	actions [1] 62: 12
1987 [1] 63:2	activity [2] 43:5 50:13
2	actually [36] 5:10 7:1
	17 11 :22 17 :4,16 31 :
2 [4] 37 :14 38 :23 42 :21 44 :11	23 33 :5 34 :18 35 :21
2,000 [1] 42:18	15 41 :24 42 :16,20 4 3
20,000-mile [2] 32 :1,3 20-mile [1] 32 :3	45 :7 46 :4,13,22 47 :2
	20 55: 17 57: 11,21 58
2000 [1] 47:8	add [1] 47:14
2011 9 21: 17 46: 19,25 47: 8,23 49: 13,15 59: 6 62: 24	adding [2] 9:1,1
2012 [1] 49:14	addressed [1] 55:24
2015 [1] 47:3	adjust [1] 9:22
2016 [1] 47:4	administrative [1] 2
2018 [1] 1:10	admit [1] 5:24
203(d [1] 43:6	adopt [1] 19:24
207(i [10] 21 :24 22 :17 45 :17 46 :7,	advice [1] 37:18
10 48 :16,25 49 :1 50 :2,5	advised [1] 34:13
213 [2] 29 :15,19	advisor [19] 4:1 10:2
213(d [1] 42:21	23 :2 26 :6 31 :17,22 3
3	33:1,2 38:24 39:4 41
	advisor's [1] 32:19
3 [1] 2:4	advisors [41] 3:11,13 6 19:15,17 22:20 24:
30 [1] 2 :7 31 [1] 29 :18	13,24 31 :2 38 :17 41 :
	2,10,10 48 :7,12,23 5
4	9,9 53 :9 54 :16,21 57
4 [1] 7 :21	62 :6,12,17 63 :4 64 :7
40 [6] 51 :14 59 :10 60 :9 63 :3,15 64 :	advisory [1] 62:11
14	affirmative [2] 21:11
40,000 [1] 36: 16	afternoon [1] 52:11
40-year [1] 63: 8	afterwards [1] 44:8
5	agency [12] 20:17,23,
5 [1] 53 :10	15,16 22 :18 36 :19 46
50 [2] 12 :18 45 :18	9
500 [1] 42 :18	agency's [1] 49:9
55 [3] 51 :10,21 52 :3	agent [1] 54:5
55-hour [2] 52:25 64:7	ago [2] 47:4,24
58 [1] 2 :10	agree [1] 49:10
7	ahead [1] 52:14
	AL [1] 1:6
7(i [2] 22: 10,13	alleged [1] 45:25
9	alleges [1] 51:8
9 [1] 62 :9	allocate [1] 38:11
	allow [1] 21:14 almost [1] 58:18
A	already [1] 22:13
a.m [3] 1:14 3:2 64:23	ambiguous [1] 20:1
AAA [1] 54: 7	ambiguous [1] 20:1

entitled [1] 1:12 t [1] 21:15 [1] 28:25 able [1] 58:9 ories [1] 38:7 ory [1] 44:5 ng [1] 58:2 e [1] 59:5 ced [1] 21:1 scence [2] 62:22 64:1 scina [1] 63:22 [2] 43:16 59:13 **1:**20 **22**:17 **51**:11 7] 8:24 11:6 17:3 62:23 63: [1] 62:12 [2] 43:5 50:13 / [36] 5:10 7:13 8:25 9:5 10: 2 **17:**4,16 **31:**1,22 **32:**19, **34**:18 **35**:21 **36**:4.11 **38**: 4 **42**:16.20 **43**:15 **44**:1.10 :4.13.22 **47**:21 **53**:9 **54**:11. 7 **57**:11.21 **58**:2 7:14 [2] 9:1.1 sed [1] 55:24 1] 9:22 strative [1] 21:18 11 5:24 11 19:24 11 37:18 d [1] 34:13 [19] **4**:1 **10**:25 **17**:15 17 21 :6 **31**:17 22 **32**:2 12 15 24 8:24 39:4 41:14 52:10 's [1] **32:**19 's [41] 3:11.13.17 16:12 17: 17 **22:**20 **24:**21 **25:**2.5 **30: 1:**2 **38**:17 **41**:11 **44**:22 **47**: 48:7,12,23 50:2,5,23 51:2, **54**:16,21 **57**:3 **61**:18,18 17 **63:**4 **64:**7,19 v [1] 62:11 live [2] 21:11.20 on [1] 52:11 rds [1] 44:8 [12] **20**:17.23.24.25 **21**:7. 2:18 36:19 46:19 62:21 63: 's [1] 49:9 1] **54:**5 **7**:4,24 1] 49:10 11 52:14 [1] 45:25 [1] 51:8 e [1] 38:11 **21**:14 [1] 58:18 [1] 22:13

among [2] 20:5 26:13 amount [1] 41:14 amp [1] 28:17 ancillary [1] 43:5 another [8] 18:25 20:7 49:20 51:3, 5 **52**:18 **53**:7 **62**:1 answer [4] 15:12 17:25 25:11 37:6 anticipate [1] 23:18 anybody [1] 61:1 apparently [1] 37:8 APPEARANCES [1] 1:16 applied [2] 27:24 28:1 apply [4] 20:9 26:23,25 27:2 areas [1] 43:16 aren't [2] 14:2 27:13 argument [17] 1:13 2:2,5,8 3:4,7 7 8 8:8 19:22,24 21:4 28:6 30:5 44: 24 46:13 53:8 58:24 arguments [4] 5:3,15,22 6:19 Arizona [1] 48:4 around [3] 21:3 52:22 54:1 arrange [3] 33:11,13,23 arranged [1] 48:16 arrangements [1] 48:23 arranging [2] 33:22 34:8 assign [1] 60:7 assistance [1] 54:8 assorted [1] 54:24 attend [1] 8:3 attitude [1] 37:18 authoritative [1] 30:17 auto [10] 23:24 39:1 50:21 51:18 **54:**16 **55:**15.15.24 **56:**21 **57:**12 automobile [10] 4:9 8:11 19:5 23: 10 25:24 33:4.7.18.22 60:12 automobiles [14] 3:12 15 21 5:18 **6:**25 **18:**6.8.24 **25:**9 **27:**12 **30:**11. 15 39:20 54:22 AutoZone [1] 19:7 available [1] 9:18 averted [1] 20:8 avoid [2] 18:20,20 aware [1] 49:19 away [2] 15:13 31:10 awful [1] 64:13 В back [16] 5:23 10:7,9,22 15:12 16: 7 19:14 23:19 30:25,25 31:13 32: 2 35:3 36:4 40:17 49:15

backed [1] 7:23 barely [1] 58:5 Based [5] 8:10.18 27:15 31:24 59: basically [4] 5:6 18:11 51:15 61:1 basis [3] 21:25 43:25 45:16 Bayh [1] 7:20 bears [1] 20:2 beginning [2] 55:5 59:19 behalf [8] 1:17,20 2:4,7,10 3:8 30: 6 58:25 behavior [1] 20:20 behind [2] 10:14 11:2

believe [2] 44:24 54:20

believer [1] 28:5 bell [1] 36:18 belongs [1] 11:4 belt [3] 10:16 40:14,16 best [4] 5:4 6:11 16:16 35:5 bet [1] 13:15 better [2] 5:22 6:19 between [6] 16:10,22 26:13 33:15 34:3 36:14 bia [4] 13:24 16:22 23:13 28:5 bit [7] 5:23 8:21 9:15 14:8 15:17 **16**:3 **22**:9 Black's [1] 43:1 body [13] 4:9,15 38:8,9 55:15 56:3, 8,15,18,21 59:18,23 62:3 bodymen [1] 61:24 books [1] 6:13 both [5] 20:9 29:16 39:24 48:4 61: Boy [1] 64:12 breadth [3] 18:17 19:13 43:14 BREYER [16] 12:13 13:17.21 14:4. 17.19.24 **15**:3.13 **36**:6.12 **37**:4 **38**: 2.21 39:2 62:20 brief [10] 10:1.9.23 30:16 34:22 37: 1 40:25 53:10 55:20 62:10 briefs [1] 9:12 bring [4] 31:7 36:10 39:13 40:17 bringing [2] 50:2,4 broad [4] 18:12 24:13 25:20 43:16 broaden [1] 43:18 broader [5] 6:5.7 9:7 11:8 12:8 broken [1] 35:9 brought [1] 63:18 bunch [1] 15:8 buy [1] 24:9 C

call [3] 37:14 38:22.24 called [3] 36:24 56:3 63:16 calling [1] 43:9 came [3] 1:12 15:7 59:7 canon [7] 27:5,21,25 28:9 57:16, 17 **58:**8 cap [2] 32:16,22 capture [1] 4:22 car [29] 5:9 23:8 24:6 25:17,18 30: 20 31:1,7 32:11 35:8,12,18 36:2,5, 5 **37**:23,25 **38**:10,12 **39**:13,14 **40**: 15,17,19 **41**:5,17 **45**:9,12 **55**:4 car-facing [1] 23:7 card [2] 14:13.16 careful [1] 49:24 cars [8] 5:19 13:5 31:3 38:5 39:25 40:3,14 41:8 Case [31] 3:4 9:13 16:25 20:2,6,7,7, 16 **30**:9 **37**:15,24 **43**:14 **46**:9,15, 23 47:4,5,25 48:19 49:12 50:8,11 **51:**8,10 **57:**20 **59:**16 **62:**13 **63:**16, 22 64:22,23 cases [10] 14:7 28:3 47:16 48:3,8 49:18.19.22 63:10.16

catch-all [1] 18:10

categories [8] 3:25 4:4 22:24 34:

amicus [3] 9:11 10:1,8

5 **40**:1 **50**:23 **54**:3 **61**:11 category [5] 3:24 4:11 8:2 56:3 57: certain [4] 18:16 19:13 31:16 47: certainly [4] 8:11 31:19 47:23 58: change [5] 21:18 48:22 60:17 61: 13 **62**:1 changed [3] 46:21 52:12 59:10 check [1] 32:1 CHIEF [15] 3:3.9 30:2.7 31:5 32:5. 9 35:4,23 41:9 42:3,9 58:21 59:1 64:21 Christopher [3] 20:6,6,16 Circuit [11] 20:15 21:1 27:24 28:1 36:23 47:3,6,16 63:16,21,22 circuits [2] 20:25 63:7 cite [8] 20:2,4,5 30:16 38:16 43:22 **55**:19 **59**:21 cited [1] 20:16 cites [1] 59:23 claiming [1] 48:7 claims [1] 49:25 Clark [1] 18:18 classic [1] 8:17 classically [1] 10:18 clear [1] 34:21 clearest [1] 30:12 clearly [1] 39:9 CLEMENT [42] 1:17 2:3,9 3:6,7,9 4:5,24 5:20 7:6,18 8:6 9:25 12:4 **13**:17.22 **14**:5.18 **15**:10 **16**:6.20 **17**:7.20 **19**:3 **20**:4 **21**:9 **23**:16 **25**: 11.25 26:11 27:22 58:23.24 59:1 60:1.2.15.21.24 61:3 63:11.14 Clement's [1] 44:24 clipboard [1] 30:22 close [1] 20:1 closely [2] 40:24,25 closer [1] 36:11 clue [1] 11:7 coatings [2] 38:7 54:24 Code [2] 42:19 43:24 coin [1] 22:25 coincidence [1] 53:3 color [1] 34:12 combination [3] 22:16 26:15 27: combine [1] 27:7 come [9] 3:17 10:23 17:15 19:17 **28**:18 **30**:13 **39**:13 **48**:16 **53**:2 comes [6] 24:24 26:16 31:13 35: 11 41:22 63:22 comfortably [1] 19:17 coming [2] 37:15 48:24 commission [5] 22:1,22 24:20,24 45.4 commissions [6] 45:1,7,11,14,16, committed [1] 44:7 common [7] 24:25 26:13 35:7 38: 17 **42**:23 **57**:24.25

communication [1] 35:1 communications [1] 33:8 compass [3] 6:1 11:8 24:13 compel [1] 16:4 compensated [1] 45:3 complaint [5] 22:11 45:24,25 49: 14 **51**:8 completely [3] 45:7 52:12 57:11 complex [2] 31:18 41:3 complicated [1] 21:13 comply [2] 49:1,2 concede [1] 44:17 conceded [1] 55:5 concept [1] 54:11 conception [2] 4:20 18:12 concerned [1] 46:17 concerns [1] 8:19 concession [1] 12:12 conclude [2] 20:17 56:16 concluded [2] 56:1.17 conclusion [1] 55:10 conditions [1] 51:12 Congress [27] 4:8.18 6:7 8:17.25 9:5 14:21 17:10.12 29:1.11 38:13 39:7.15 43:17 45:15 50:24 51:11. 22.22 53:4 54:15 56:23 57:13 58: 10.13 64:8 connected [1] 57:25 consequent [1] 43:19 considered [1] 56:11 consistently [1] 4:14 **Constitution** [1] **18**:19 constitutional [1] 18:21 construction [3] 27:21 28:13.16 construe [2] 27:15 43:13 construed [2] 28:14 42:25 consulting [1] 4:19 context [2] 22:19 58:3 contexts [1] 6:4 continually [1] 43:3 continued [1] 59:14 contrary [1] 28:19 controlling [1] 46:24 cope [1] 40:9 correct [5] 33:4,13 44:25 45:23 47: correspondence [6] 4:21 13:20. 23 15:12 14 17 costs [2] 29:13 34:14 counsel [3] 30:3 58:22 64:22 count [2] 25:8 29:17 counter [2] 10:14 11:2 counterman [2] 11:1 23:24 country [1] 59:13 couple [6] 10:6 13:18 22:2 23:1,17 **43**:21 course [3] 24:25 25:13 26:21 COURT [22] 1:1,13 3:10 18:15 20: 8 **28**:2,8,20 **30**:8 **42**:16,17,25 **43**: 13 **46**:14,16,24 **47**:5,24 **49**:23 **59**: 2.9 62:13 Court's [1] 28:2 cover [1] 8:22

coverage [1] 29:24

41:16 deal [3] 23:4 52:18 62:2 dealer [3] 8:20 26:18 38:12 37:24.25 45:9 46:1 51:19 52:24 54:17,23 dealerships [15] 24:19,23 39:12 **59**:9,14,20 **60**:12 dealt [1] 64:8 19 22:16 24:18 62:22 decide [2] 39:25 50:8 decided [3] 39:22 47:4,24 decidina [1] 20:9 decision [1] 32:11 decisions [1] 57:7 Deel [1] 63:17 deemed [2] 59:8 62:24 defense [2] 21:12,20 deference [1] 20:9 define [1] 30:18 definitely [2] 33:21 55:1 12 **60**:2,3,13,19,22 **61**:5,16 degree [3] 16:8 24:15 28:17 delivery [3] 42:22 43:6,11 denv [1] 14:16 Department [17] 4:14 6:12 10:13 17 **60**:5 **62**:18,24 **63**:18,19 **64**:2 Department's [1] 62:8 depends [1] 14:8 derived [1] 28:2

covered [19] 4:15 19:8 22:13 26:2 **29**:6 **43**:12 **46**:9 **47**:11 **55**:7,16,25 56:19,20 59:22,23 60:5 61:2,9 63: covers [3] 8:9,11,13 create [1] 53:14 crime [2] 44:6.13 criminal [2] 44:4 10 current [1] 62:16 currently [2] 48:21 49:22 customarily [1] 43:2 customer [11] 12:19.22 23:12 24: 9 31:12,24,25 32:21 33:1 34:25 customer-facing [2] 23:2 24:3 customers [2] 23:4 24:2 cuts [3] 5:15 46:13 51:6 D D.C [2] 1:9,17 damages [1] 21:14 daring [1] 16:18 dates [1] 57:20 day [1] 52:23 davs [2] 39:11 52:19

dealership [12] 8:12 18:11 19:1.5 **45**:12 **47**:9 **48**:11,11,14,14,20,22 decades [8] 7:10 19:19,23 20:12, definition [12] 25:19 40:5,8,11 42: 25:7.9 37:1 50:14 55:14.21.22 56: designed [3] 11:12 15:7 16:15

dictionaries [2] 5:7 30:17 dictionary [4] 5:6 40:8 42:11 43:1 difference [6] 13:24 16:10 33:14, 20 34:3 36:14 different [20] 9:15,16 15:15 23:8 **32**:6,6 **34**:14 **35**:24,24 **36**:16 **38**: 12,18 **51:**3 **52:**11,12 **53:**18,19 **54:** 11 56:20 57:11 differently [2] 17:22 28:11 direct [1] 24:1 direction [1] 51:7 directly [1] 53:2 disagree [2] 25:21 35:21 disagreeing [1] 49:6 discuss [1] 21:10 discussed [2] 26:10 50:7 disjunctive [6] 3:18 8:10 9:7 14:2, 3 27:6 dispatchers [2] 38:11 60:6 dispensing [1] 6:16 distinct [4] 34:4 54:3 56:23 57:9 distributed [1] 26:14 distributive [1] 57:16 distributor [2] 32:16.22 District [3] 48:4.5.6 divide [1] 23:13 do-it-vourselfer [1] 24:10 doctor [1] 41:22 doctor's [1] 41:22 doctrine [1] 36:23 doing [10] 6:20 7:4,5 36:13 40:20, 23 43:4 54:9,12 59:10 DOL [2] 46:18 25 done [2] 32:17 57:12 door [2] 34:5 5 doubt [1] 36:7 down [4] 19:14 31:12 32:13 41:21 downtown [1] 18:25 drawn [1] 48:10 draws [1] 55:10 dresses [11] 11:16,19,20,24 12:3, 6,11,20,22,23,24 drive [1] 39:14 dropped [1] 35:8 due [1] 61:4 dynamic [1] 52:12

each [1] 26:14 easily [1] 48:19 easv [1] 41:16 economy [1] 45:13 effort [1] 62:16 either [2] 18:8 25:21 emphasized [1] 64:6 empirical [1] 17:5 employed [1] 19:5 employee [1] 61:11 employees [2] 29:5 63:7 employers [1] 49:8 employing [1] 52:3 employment [1] 52:6 enacted [1] 29:4 enactment [1] 28:24

describing [1] 23:20

description [2] 41:15,19

designer [3] 11:15,21,22

designers [2] 12:9 16:23

diagnosis [1] 41:10

commonsense [1] 27:5

ENCINO [2] 1:3 3:5 end [1] 26:8 enforcement [4] 62:12 63:2,17,24 engaged [35] 3:12,15,20 5:17 6:9, 15 11:16 17:18 18:6,23 24:5 25:8, 15,19 26:1 27:11,19 30:11 33:17 **36**:9,14 **42**:4,5,7,12,15,22 **43**:6,13 44:3,15 54:2,22 61:12,20 engines [1] 9:21 English [2] 30:18 58:1 enough [3] 18:12 25:20 28:5 entitled [3] 47:12 48:1.8 entrance [1] 23:24 enumerated [1] 4:3 equally [1] 8:13 equipment [5] 7:22 8:4 17:13 37: 9 39:18 escape [1] 54:13 especially [6] 7:9 24:16 27:17 28: 22 50:21 53:18 essentially [2] 6:2 24:20 ET [1] 1:6 even [12] 10:9 17:18 18:17.18 21: 12 28:6.25 32:8 36:7 46:5 54:19 everybody [5] 25:6,7 47:1,23 63:3 everything [3] 18:10 58:6,7 evidence [1] 20:21 exact [1] 22:5 exactly 5 10:12 12:13 46:14 53:1 64:18 example [9] 4:9,11 8:17 18:23 32: 6 **34**:2 **39**:4 **56**:10 **57**:21 examples [5] 12:17 13:7,19 27:18 54:4 excludes [1] 14:6 exempt [24] 17:9 25:14.22 26:17 **37**:16 **45**:11,13,21 **47**:2,3 **54**:17 **56**:1,1,5,11 **57**:2 **59**:19 **61**:25 **62**:1, **five** [4] **30**:16,17 **40**:16 **58**:23 4,5,5,6 63:4 exemption [15] 3:18 4:8,15 21:24 22:20 28:4,19 29:4 30:9,14 43:7 45:17 46:8 48:17 64:18 exemptions [7] 28:13,23 29:9,16, 18,20,24 exempts [1] 22:20 existing [1] 4:21 expand [1] 52:6 expanded [1] 8:21 **expansive** [1] **60:**3 expect [2] 50:12 53:13 expectations [1] 43:16 expected [1] 51:15 experience [1] 35:17 explanation [2] 46:20 59:7 express [1] 29:4 extra [1] 52:5 extremely [1] 42:23 facing [1] 24:5

fact [12] 16:2 19:19 27:16 44:6.7. 22 45:1.15 47:8 48:13 58:17 64:7 factually [1] 59:5

fair [2] 41:14 51:11 fairly [1] 36:15 faith [2] 21:7 49:8 fan [3] 10:16 40:14,16 far [8] 11:5 17:2 38:25 42:15 46:11 48:2 49:15.19 farm [12] 3:21 7:22 8:3,9,20 9:2,9 **17**:13 **26**:18 **37**:9 **39**:18 **40**:1

farmer [2] 37:14 38:24 farmers [1] 38:23 favor [4] 29:23 52:8 62:15 63:7 feat [1] 20:17 feature [2] 51:3.5

FELDMAN [34] 1:19 2:6 30:4.5.7 **31:**21 **32:**7,18 **33:**5,12,19 **34:**18 **35**:20,25 **36**:10 **37**:3,20,22 **38**:3, 25 **39**:3,21 **40**:12 **41**:24 **42**:6,14 **44**:20 **45**:6 **47**:19 **49**:4,10 **50**:10, 16 52:16

fender 5 56:3,8,15,19 61:23 few [1] 59:3 fewer [1] 52:19 Fifth [2] 21:1 63:16 figure [2] 32:23 35:3 file [1] 37:1 filed [3] 22:11 48:7 49:14

filers [1] 25:10 fill [1] 52:5 financing [1] 38:4 find [3] 42:24 49:21 58:5 finds [1] 32:7 fine [1] 27:9

finely [1] 44:2 first [19] 3:4 5:24 8:25 13:10.10 15: 22 22 **19**:3 **23**:18 **26**:16 16 **37**:22 **51**:3 **55**:22 **56**:13 **57**:22 **58**:6 **59**:3 **63:**15

fit [3] 4:2 40:10 58:2 fix [1] 10:11 fixed [3] 35:10 37:11,16

fixing [3] 5:19 7:1 31:17 flesh-and-blood [1] 27:18 FLSA [12] 3:18 20:7,19 28:10,24

29:2,6 42:20 43:21 47:17 51:23 **53**:3

focus [2] 23:10 11 focused [2] 44:21 59:25 focuses [1] 44:25 followed [1] 14:2

footnote [3] 8:23 55:20 62:9 force [1] 15:20

forego [1] 60:13 forth [1] 34:15 forward [2] 45:23 46:8 found [1] 52:13

four [7] 7:10 19:19 20:12.19 22:15 24:18 62:22

Fourth [2] 21:1 63:22 frankly [2] 12:7.10 Fred [4] 35:10.13.18 36:1 friend [5] 45:23 46:12 50:7 55:13

friends [3] 4:12 21:22 22:9

function [3] 33:8 40:21.22 fundamentally [1] 54:10 further [2] 29:25 58:20 future [1] 49:18

gap [1] 16:22 gave [4] 13:12 16:15 20:24 54:4 qee [1] 37:5 general [6] 8:5,7 28:12 35:14 36: 17 **50:**11 generally [2] 16:2 28:20 gerunds [2] 26:23,24 qets [3] 14:12 18:10 24:25 aettina [2] 6:9 35:10 GINSBURG [9] 3:23 4:6 7:17 8:7 17:8.20 20:23 39:15 49:4 give [6] 24:12 32:20 36:2 46:20 52: 22 64:18 Given [1] 60:12 gives [1] 64:2 giving [3] 42:2 49:24 61:5 God [1] 17:1 good-faith [3] 49:11,13,16

GORSUCH [3] 17:7 26:7 27:20 got 6 7:18 10:11 17:5 20:12 35: 12 39:16 government [2] 47:17 50:12

grant [1] 59:24 great [1] 57:21 ground [1] 26:13 guess [8] 31:5,23 32:10,20 35:24

41:5 **42:**2,9 quide [1] 35:6 quided [1] 54:6

Н

guy [1] **35:**13

half [2] 45:19 49:3

Handbook [5] 4:12,19 10:6,24 57: happen [2] 51:24 52:17 happened [4] 46:25 56:7 59:11,12 happening [1] 50:1 happy [2] 21:10 23:5

hard [5] 46:5.7 50:25 53:17 54:1 harder [1] 41:18 he'll [1] 44:25 hear [1] 3:3

HECTOR [1] 1:6 help [2] 21:24 44:7 helped [1] 44:6 helping [1] 23:9

herself [2] 17:24 32:15 highly [1] 37:6

himself [2] 17:24 32:15 hire [1] 52:4 historically [1] 9:13

history [7] 7:19 28:24 39:1 56:18 **59**:24,25 **61**:23

home [1] 43:10 honestly [1] 27:3

Honor [7] 5:20 7:6 12:5 23:16 26: 12 27:23 64:20

hood [6] 6:10 9:20 10:11 17:5.23 31:7

hood's [1] 11:1 hope [2] 26:11 62:1 hour [1] 51:19

hours [18] 7:25 8:15 37:11,16 39:6, 20 44:23 46:3 50:25 51:1,5,10,14, 21.21 52:1.4.20

house [6] **34**:6,7,9,10,17 **54**:5 huge [2] 33:14,20

hundreds [1] 12:17 hypothetical [6] 11:11,11 14:11

15:7.16 **16**:14

hypotheticals [1] 15:14

idea [3] 22:19 54:10.11 identified [1] 10:13 ignore [1] 27:8 ignored [1] 7:13 impelled [1] 8:17 **implement** [1] 8:9 implements [4] 3:21 9:3,10 40:2

important [3] 22:3 29:11 39:10 importantly [1] 8:13

impossible [1] 53:25 incidental [2] 43:20 44:18 include [10] 8:18 18:13 39:23.25 43:17 53:4 57:14 60:6.23 61:17 included [4] 50:24 53:5 56:8 61:

includes [2] 6:1 61:18 including [1] 45:9 inclusion [2] 6:8 7:15 independent [1] 19:6 indulgence [1] 15:2

industry [8] 7:10 20:21 22:6,15 24: 17 **39:1 57:**8 **64:**16

industry's [1] 22:18 inference [1] 48:10 inform [1] 29:3 informed [1] 47:9 initial [2] 32:13 42:2 insofar [1] 58:17 installation [1] 5:9 instance [1] 42:24 instead [3] 36:9 40:22 52:3

insurance [1] 38:4 integral [1] 12:10

intended [1] 58:13

interest [5] 20:8 29:2 46:12.16 62:

interested [3] 10:4 12:14 45:16 interesting [4] 8:25 10:21 27:23 **64:**5

interests [4] 59:16,17 62:7 64:3 interpret [5] 18:15,16 19:10,12 29:

interpretation [5] 13:16 20:3 26:9 29:3 35:6

interpreted [1] 28:11 interpreting [2] 11:7 20:10 interprets [1] 28:20 invalid [2] 59:8 62:25

involved [12] 11:19,20 36:9,10,15 **53**:12,16,17,18,21 **60**:4 **61**:1 involving [1] 36:16 irregular [5] 7:25 39:6 44:23 50: 24 **51**:5 irrelevant [1] 45:7 isn't [7] 20:11 34:20 35:5 36:19 41: 12 49:17 56:18 isolation [1] 7:15 issue [4] 21:10 50:7.15 59:5 issued [1] 14:13 itself [2] 24:17 62:19

JAMES [3] 1:19 2:6 30:5

January [1] 1:10 iob [10] 23:3.7 25:2.3 32:19 39:17 **55:2 57:11,12 64:9** iobs [1] 57:10 iockeys [1] 38:10 jurisdiction [1] 36:25 JUSTICE [81] 3:3,9,23 4:6,24,25 5: 1 **6:**18 **7:**17 **8:**7 **9:**11,25 **11:**10 **12:** 13 **13**:17,21 **14**:4,17,18,19,23,24 **15**:1.3.4.11.13 **16**:6.18 **17**:7.8.20 18:22 19:21 20:23 22:23 25:6.23 26:5.7 27:20 30:2.7 31:5 32:5.9. 25 **33**:10.16 **34**:11 **35**:4.23 **36**:6. 12 37:4 38:2,21 39:2,15 40:5 41:9 42:3.9.11 44:20 47:14 49:4 50:10 **52**:9 **58**:21 **59**:2 **60**:1,15,18,22,25 **62**:20 **63**:6,11,12 **64**:21

KAGAN [19] 4:24 5:1 6:18 9:11,25 **11**:10 **14**:18,23 **15**:1,4,11 **16**:6,18 22:23 25:6 26:5 40:5 44:20 50:10 keep [1] 50:25 keeping [3] 46:2,5,7 KENNEDY [13] 18:22 19:21 25:23 32:25 33:10,16 34:11 42:11 47:14 **52:**9 **63:**6.11.12 kept [1] 56:13 key [1] 16:13 keys [1] 39:6 kind [7] 9:20 12:11 23:1 40:2 51: 24 55:7 56:10 kinds [2] 36:16 45:2 known [1] 47:23

Labor [15] 4:13 6:12 10:13 50:14 **51**:11 **55**:14,21,22 **56**:17 **62**:8,18, 23 63:18,18 64:2 language [4] 11:14 18:19 30:18 58:1 last [6] 21:3,18 24:18 47:25 62:23 63:1 later [5] 28:23 31:13 35:11 56:12 63:23 latter [1] 6:7 Laughter [3] 15:9 16:19 37:21 Law [5] 43:1,16 44:4,10 46:6 laws [3] 29:17,17,19

31:9 **32**:12 **41**:11 **45**:22 **51**:13 **54**: 20 56:21 64:1 left [1] 27:4 leg [2] 6:23 7:9 legislating [1] 52:8 legislative [5] 7:19 8:24 59:24,25 61:23 less [1] 60:10 letter [5] 46:19 55:21.23 59:21 63: 2 Lexis [1] 42:16 liability [4] 21:5,8,21 49:7 liable [2] 44:12,12 liaison [1] 23:5 library [2] 14:13,16 light [1] 24:16 likely [1] 38:19 limit [2] 40:1 64:14 limitation [1] 40:4 limitations [2] 47:22 49:1 link [1] 35:1 list [2] 56:14 58:6 listen [1] 31:9 lists [2] 3:24 57:19 literal [3] 3:18 5:2 13:14 literally [1] 12:15 litigation [1] 55:6 little [7] 5:23 9:15 14:8 15:17 16:3 22:8 52:13 LLC [1] 1:3 logical [2] 48:9 53:14 logically [1] 58:11 long [4] 4:17 40:3 47:7 64:10 longer [2] 46:23 52:20 look [20] 6:11 7:14.17.18 10:3.22 11:22 12:18 17:14 23:23 29:15 31: 6 32:14 34:21 37:7,23,23 38:15 49:21 57:10 looking [4] 10:4 13:14 56:7 62:20 lose [2] 27:9.14 lost [2] 63:19.20 lot [8] 9:15 16:4,15 27:9 28:21,22 43:15 49:24 love [2] 5:21 17:1 lube [2] 38:8 51:17 lubesmen [1] 60:8 luxury [1] 39:12

learns [3] 12:25 13:1.3

least [13] 7:24 12:18 21:17.25 29:5

machinist's [1] 34:22 made [3] 32:12 44:11 48:15 main [2] 10:12 37:25 maintain [5] 30:19,20,20 31:4 36: maintaining [2] 5:19 40:19 maintenance [2] 5:8,11 majority [3] 21:25 22:6 48:15 manual [1] 63:2 many [13] 13:6 24:19 31:20 33:23 **38**:12 **44**:19 **45**:8.9.11 **48**:14.14 **52**:20 **60**:3 maps [1] 10:8

Martinez [1] 18:18 massive [1] 21:4 match [9] 11:12 17:11 57:19,23 58: 1,7,11,15,16 matches [1] 58:13 matching [2] 14:3,6 matter [3] 1:12 25:1 33:21 matters [1] 25:13 maximum [1] 52:1 mean [37] 4:6 5:21 6:25 7:19 10: 21 11:3 12:21.22.25 13:2 14:1 16: 14 17:1.1 18:17 19:3 20:16.17 22: 3,11 **27:**23 **31:**6,16,22,24 **34:**18 **35**:5 **40**:7 **41**:11 **42**:16,25 **43**:4 **44**: 4 **52**:16 **54**:14 **57**:17 **59**:12 meaning [4] 5:2,17 6:5 13:8 meanings [1] 58:4 means [8] 5:6,7,10,13,17 27:1 58: 89 meant [2] 20:22 61:25 mechanic [18] 3:19 10:25 17:16 **24**:7 **25**:16 **26**:3 **30**:10 **32**:4.14.22 33:9 35:1 40:2,14,18,22 41:12 61: mechanics [31] 3:25 4:16 10:21 **22**:25 **23**:6 **24**:22 **25**:3 **26**:18 **27**: 11 **31**:14 **34**:23,24 **37**:8 **38**:1,22 39:7,23 41:1 45:3 51:16 53:6 56:3 8,11,14,15,19 **58:**15 **61:**25 **62:**4,4 medical [2] 41:23 42:5 meet [1] 28:6 meets [1] 33:1 mentioned [4] 19:22 45:10 46:12 54:17 middle [4] 15:18 24:12 37:10 52: might [16] 7:9 10:10 25:21 26:1 31 23 32:21 36:7 44:5 47:10,11,12, 12 48:1 49:25 60:23.24 mind [1] 46:21

minimum [7] 29:16,19 45:20 49:2, 3 51:12 60:13 minutes [2] 12:16 58:23 mis-describes [1] 23:22 mistake [1] 7:14 mistaken [1] 55:18 morning [6] 3:4 7:21 33:1 37:14 38:23 52:10 most [9] 5:12 13:18 22:3 30:12.17 **36:**8 **39:**9 **48:**3.10 mostly [1] 10:3

25:2,13 28:23 34:4 36:11 38:19 must [2] 18:8 22:12

much [10] 4:13 9:22 15:21 16:10

MOTORCARS [2] 1:3 3:5

Motors [1] 63:17

myself [1] 36:22

narrow [4] 6:1 12:12 19:15 27:21 narrower [1] 12:7 nation's [1] 3:16 natural [6] 13:8 36:8 40:6.7 55:9.

naturally [2] 6:24 15:23 nature [1] 64:9 NAVARRO [2] 1:6 3:5 necessarily [1] 9:6 necessary [4] 43:19,19,20 44:18 need [4] 10:15 32:3 41:2.19 needle [1] 11:23 needs [3] 7:22 40:14 41:13 never [2] 39:3 51:4 new [5] 10:15.16 48:6 60:2.12 newspaper [2] 43:7.11 newspapers [1] 42:23 nice [1] 60:19 night [1] 37:11 Ninth [6] 27:24,25 28:1 36:23 47:3, NLRB [1] 57:7 nobody [3] 36:22,24 37:2 nobody's [1] 26:15 noise [2] 31:8.9 non-mechanics [1] 61:14 non-partsmen [1] 61:15 non-salespeople [1] 61:14 normal [1] 26:25 nothing [2] 13:13 41:5 nothing's [1] 61:13 noticed [1] 21:3 notorious [1] 20:18 noun/gerund [1] 26:14 nouns [2] 13:10 26:22 null [3] 14:7 27:8,16 number [2] 22:5,5

0 object [1] 26:17 objects [2] 26:8,25 obvious [1] 30:12 obviously [1] 62:9 occasion [1] 10:10 occasional [1] 51:19 occasions [1] 31:20 occupation [3] 4:7 57:4.9 Occupational [4] 4:11 10:5,23 57: occupations [5] 4:22 24:16 52:20 57:1,1 odd [4] 26:20,21 29:8,21 off-site [2] 50:25 51:4 off-the-shelf [1] 9:19 office [2] 18:25 41:22 often [3] 14:5 17:6 45:3 Okav [3] 14:17 29:11 52:23 older [1] 28:2 on-site [1] 44:22 once [2] 18:15 19:12 one [40] 4:4 5:4,6,15,24 6:18 8:23 9:11 11:12 15:18 16:16 22:3 27: 16 28:1,2 29:10 36:16,21 40:6,21 42:21 45:17,19,24 48:4,5 49:2,20 **50**:12,18,18,20 **52**:11,17 **54**:20 **57**: 15 **61:**10 **62:**18 **64:**10,12 ones [5] 8:3.3 16:16 45:10 58:2 only [17] 6:1 8:9 14:14 25:14 26:17 27:4 29:23 35:16,16 36:21 38:13,

learning [1] 14:15

18 **49**:15.18.22 **55**:19 **56**:16 open [1] 20:18 opinion [3] 55:21,23 63:2 opportunities [1] 52:7 opposite [2] 47:17 51:6 or's [1] 57:24 oral [5] 1:12 2:2,5 3:7 30:5 order [2] 41:2 61:9 orders [1] 19:2 ordinarily [1] 30:21 ordinary [3] 5:12,16 58:4 original [1] **56**:9 other [32] 3:24 4:2,12 5:22 6:4 8: 23 14:7 15:23 20:5 21:22.23.24 22:8,9 24:14 26:14 28:12 29:20, 20 38:7 41:17 43:1 45:2,8 46:14 **50**:18 **51**:18 **54**:16 **57**:15 **60**:9 **64**: 6 15 others [1] 17:14 ought [1] 27:4 out [13] 6:22 24:24 31:9 32:17.23 34:12 35:3 38:13.14 43:18 46:7 62:10 11 Outlook [2] 4:12 57:6 outside [2] 8:14 24:9 over [7] 13:8,9 27:9,14 35:7 40:15, overbroad [1] 17:12 overtime [12] 29:17,19 47:13 48:1, 8,12,20 **50**:1,4 **51**:19 **52**:1 **54**:18

Р

pad [1] 30:22 PAGE [2] 2:2 53:10 pages [1] 10:6 paid [8] 21:25 22:6,21 24:23 45:10 13.18 60:10 paint [3] 34:13,14 54:24 painted [1] 34:7 painter [1] 54:5 painters [2] 55:25 59:22 painting [5] 34:6,9,9,17 38:9 pairs [1] 15:23 parse [1] 36:13 part [13] 9:23 10:11 24:4,10 35:15, 16,16 36:17 40:21 41:10,23 42:8, particular [3] 24:8 38:14 40:2 particularly [2] 34:2 51:25 parties [1] 26:13 parts [11] 6:17 9:19,21,22 19:2 24: 1.7.8 40:25 41:1.6 partsman [31] 3:19 6:12.14.20.22 7:7.12.24 9:16 10:19 11:2.21.25 **12**:11 **17**:22 **18**:23,24 **19**:4,6,11 23:24 25:16,20 26:4 30:10 39:17, 18,19 40:3,20 61:7 partsman's [1] 40:23 partsmen [54] 3:25 6:8,9 7:15,18, 20 8:3,9,11,12,18,20,22 9:1,2,9,12 14,19 **10**:7 **11**:6 **16**:3,9,25 **17**:1,2, 9 18:2,2,4,5,7,13 19:15 22:25 23: 6.9.19.21 **24**:11.22 **25**:3 **31**:14 **38**: 1 **39**:7,23 **40**:9,10,13 **51**:16 **53**:5

58:15 **61**:17 **62**:5 party [1] 63:23 passed [4] 4:8 9:14,17 28:23 PAUL [5] 1:17 2:3,9 3:7 58:24 paying [6] 48:12,20,21 50:1,3 60: pencil [1] 30:22 pending [1] 49:23 Pennsylvania [1] 1:19 people [47] 17:13 20:12 22:6 26: 17 30:21 33:23 34:22 37:10.25 38: 8.12 **43**:7 **44**:11.16.17.19 **45**:1.8. 12 46:9,18 47:25 48:21,24 50:1, 21,24 **51**:15,18,20 **52**:2,22 **53**:2 **54**:8,16,23 **55**:1,6,16 **56**:10,14 **57**: 13 60:4,7,9 64:9,17 people's [1] 51:1 perceived [1] 39:16 percent [1] 45:18 perfectly [3] 28:15 58:9,14 perform [2] 5:8 33:24 period [1] 63:8 person [14] 4:3 34:8 35:18 36:2.2. 4.5 **37**:13 **41**:21 **42**:5 **43**:12 **52**:13 Petitioner [15] 1:4,18 2:4,10 3:8 **50**:22 **53**:10,15,22 **54**:13,19 **55**:5, 10.13 58:25 Petitioner's [1] 53:8 Philadelphia [1] 1:19 phone [2] 19:1 43:10 photos [2] 10:22,25 pick [1] 40:16 picked [4] 34:12 38:13,14 56:23 picks [1] 19:1 piece [2] 7:21 8:23 place [4] 6:11 10:20 37:22 50:14 places [1] 29:20 plain [4] 10:3 28:7,18 29:8 plainly [2] 3:13 28:3 plan [1] 33:3 play [4] 9:21 26:9,11 31:17 please [3] 3:10 30:8 59:2 pluq [2] 10:16 31:15 point [6] 14:20,20 16:1 47:20 49: 17 50:19 points [2] 59:3 62:10 Portal-to-Portal [2] 21:20 22:17 Portal-to-Portal-Act [1] 21:11 porter [1] 25:18 position [10] 7:11 20:25 21:2,7,18 47:18 49:9 55:15 62:8 63:9 possess [1] 17:18 possible [2] 26:20 45:22 pot [2] 24:20.25 practices [2] 59:10,15 present [1] 11:13 pretty 5 11:5 15:23 17:2 23:13 34: primarily [21] 3:12,14,20 6:15 11: 15 **12**:15 **18**:5 **22**:21 **24**:5 **25**:8.14. 18 **26**:1 **27**:11.15.19 **30**:10 **34**:25

53:8 **61:**12.20

primary [6] 23:3,3 30:23 31:17 32:

principally [7] 53:11,15,16,17,21 **54:**2,21 principals [1] 44:12 principle [7] 20:11,14 26:24 27:1, 5 28:12 44:14 private [1] 63:23 probably [9] 16:15 23:18 25:12,20 31:11 39:5 47:8 48:11.14 problem [9] 18:21 31:18 34:24 35: 12 **41**:13 **52**:14 **53**:14 **54**:12.12 procedurally [2] 59:8 62:25 process [4] 9:8,23 42:8 43:23 produces [1] 14:7 products [1] 7:5 professions [1] 56:24 professor [5] 12:24 13:1,2 14:10, proposed [2] 9:1,1 proposition [3] 28:25 55:23 57:18 provided [2] 45:17 55:4 provider's [1] 23:11 providers [3] 7:2 22:25 23:14 providing [2] 6:6 42:1 provision [5] 21:6 33:24 43:12 45: 8.21 provisions [2] 42:21 43:22 publications [1] 57:8 purpose [10] 16:7 29:5,12,12 37:7, 7 50:19 52:1 53:1 64:4 purposes [5] 14:21 15:5 44:21 50: 19 **53**:3 pursue [1] 29:13 push [1] 5:23 put [7] 16:1 17:10 28:9 32:17 37:8 **54**:15 **62**:10 puts [1] 10:20 Q quality [2] 34:13 38:19 question [13] 11:14 12:1 15:20 18: 1 20:1 27:23 36:19 37:6 41:25 46:

question [13] 11:14 12:1 15:20 1 1 20:1 27:23 36:19 37:6 41:25 40 15,22 55:24 56:21 questions [3] 16:8 29:25 58:20 quite [2] 8:21 34:21 quo [3] 60:16 62:3,15

R

rarely [2] 39:5 51:4

reaction [1] 35:15

reactions [1] 64:10 read [3] 26:22 36:6 55:11 readily [1] 9:18 reading [1] 36:8 ready-made [1] 9:18 real [3] 13:24 27:2 62:6 reality [1] 59:12 really [32] 6:23 9:19 10:8,19,20 11: 7 12:2 14:6,15 16:13,21,25 23:15, 21 24:1,6,11,12,18 26:20,21 27:4, 7,14 28:19 31:23 48:18 49:23 50: 3 62:17 63:14 64:5 reason [7] 30:12,13 38:15 39:10 50:8 54:14 57:8

reasonable [2] 41:4.7 reasonably [2] 39:24 40:13 reasons [3] 12:5 41:7 57:2 REBUTTAL [3] 2:8 58:24 59:3 recently [1] 46:1 receptionist [1] 25:10 recognized [3] 56:24 57:5,7 red [1] 10:23 reddendo [3] 26:23 27:5 57:16 refer [1] 55:13 referred [1] 36:23 refers [1] 36:24 regard [1] 8:14 regular [2] 39:20 51:21 regularly [2] 43:3,23 regulation [6] 6:13 46:23 56:9 59: 6.14 62:24 regulations [1] 21:16 regulatory [1] 62:16 rejected [1] 20:25 related [2] 58:12.12 relatively [1] 6:1 relevant [3] 37:1 41:25 49:18 reliance [23] 7:10 19:23.25 20:2.8 21:12 46:12.13.17 47:21 48:18 49: 12,13,16,25 **50**:7 **59**:4,15,17 **62**:7, 14 63:15 64:3 relied [4] 21:14 22:15,18 49:8 relies [1] 21:6 rely [1] 21:19 relying [3] 12:15 21:16 46:18 remanded [1] 47:5 remedial [1] 29:2 remember [1] 28:22 removed [3] 11:5 17:2 56:15 repair [11] 5:9.11 9:23 10:15 24:8 **30**:19.20 **31**:4 **34**:19 **36**:3 **55**:16 repaired [1] 7:22 repairing [3] 5:18 34:20 40:18 repairmen [6] 4:10,14 56:21 59: 18.23 62:3 repairs [2] 38:10 41:3 reply [3] 53:10 55:20 62:10 required [2] 46:6,20 requirement [2] 14:12 52:2 requisitioning [1] 6:16 reserve [1] 30:1 respect [4] 26:24 50:15 54:20 61: respectfully [1] 35:21 Respondents [5] 1:7,20 2:7 3:16 **30**:6 responsibility [1] 24:6 rest [1] 28:9 restructured [1] 22:7 retail [1] 24:2 retroactive [3] 21:4,8 49:7 reveals [1] 14:21 revision [1] 56:13 rich [1] 22:9 riddled [1] 29:9 rinas [1] 36:18 rise [1] 64:2 roadside [1] 54:7

surprised [1] 36:22

Official - Subject to Final Review

ROBERTS [12] 3:3 30:2 31:5 32:5. 9 35:4,23 41:9 42:3,9 58:21 64:21 role [4] 26:7,8,12 31:17 roughly [1] 63:25 rule [2] 28:14,16 ruled [2] 47:16 63:7 rules [1] 62:13

salary [2] 22:7 60:11 sales [3] 34:3 62:5,12 salesman [9] 3:19 11:15.20 12:2 13:4 30:10 53:23.24 58:18 Salesmen [24] 3:25 4:2 16:5 23: 15 **27:**18 **34:**2 **38:**1.3.6 **39:**10.11. 12.14 **45**:2 **50**:21 **51**:17 **53**:5.9.11. 13 55:1 57:12 58:10.16 salespeople [4] 3:11,13 16:23 61: salesperson [3] 25:16 26:4 61:7 same [11] 5:7 10:20 11:13 24:24 34:20 42:22 44:14 52:7,13 56:14 61:16 saying [7] 26:15 32:10 43:10 52: 13 **56**:18 **60**:12 **61**:1 says [15] 10:1,9 21:6 31:24,25 32: 2.16 34:6 35:11 42:12 43:2 46:2 50:22 53:10 22 scale [1] 29:23 scheduled [1] 34:15 schemes [1] 45:4 sealants [1] 54:24 seamstress [5] 11:15,18 12:19,21 search [1] 42:17 second [8] 13:11,11,25 14:20,20 **51**:25 **57**:23 **58**:7 secondly [1] 56:2 Section [1] 44:11 see [4] 13:6 14:24 35:13 37:18 seem [2] 5:14 22 seems [4] 13:7.10 23:13 33:17 sell [8] 3:14 18:8 23:4 24:1 38:4,6 **54:**23 **55:**2 selling [23] 3:20 7:5 9:2,5,8 11:16, 20 15:24 18:6,9 27:12 30:11 34:6, 8 **53**:12,19,22 **54**:6,7,8,10,22 **55**:7 sells [3] 13:5,5 58:11 semantically [1] 58:12 semester [1] 14:14 Senator [1] 7:20 senators [2] 7:24 8:20 send [3] 31:11.14 32:13 sends [1] 32:2 sense [6] 6:8 9:17 24:25 28:21 33: 9 34:23 sensible [1] 28:15 sentence [1] 26:9 separate [1] 4:10 series [3] 14:2,3 27:6 serve [2] 33:8 34:25 Service [81] 3:11.13.17 4:1.16 5:9

14 24:21 25:1,5,7,9 26:6 30:13,14, 18,19,24 31:2,3 32:2,12,15,19,24, 25 **33**:2,25 **34**:4,19 **35**:13,15 **36**:3 **37**:13 **38**:17,23 **39**:4 **41**:11,14 **44**: 17,22 **47:**1,10 **48:**7,12,23 **50:**2,4, 22 **51:**2,9,9 **52:**10 **53:**9 **54:**15,21 **57**:3 **58**:19 **60**:4 **61**:18,18 **62**:17 63:4 64:7.19 servicers [1] 23:15 services [8] 5:8 11:9 12:12 33:24 **34**:6 **35**:18 **36**:5 **55**:4 servicing [59] 3:12,15,20 5:5,13, 18,25 **6**:25 **7**:3,4,14 **9**:2,6,8 **12**:7,8 **17**:16,19 **18**:6,9,12,24 **19**:12 **24**: 12 25:8,15,19 26:2 27:19 30:11 32:1,3,11 33:3,7,17,21 39:24 40:3, 6,8,11,13 41:8,10 44:2,3,16,19 53: 20 **54**:2,22 **58**:16,17 **61**:1,6,12,17, set [4] 14:7 27:8.16 51:12 settled [1] 43:15 Seventh [1] 20:15 several [1] 35:7 share [3] 38:16.18 52:21 shared [1] 24:21 shelf [1] 9:24 shop [3] 4:15,16 30:25 shops [1] 35:24 Shortly [1] 63:20 shouldn't [1] 27:15 show [1] 20:2 showing [1] 4:18 shows [3] 9:4 22:14 26:19 shrink [1] 19:14 side [5] 4:13 21:23 22:9 59:16 64: significant [1] 16:10 silly [1] 23:1 siloed [1] 9:6 Simms [1] 57:20 since [7] 6:13 21:17 27:13 47:23, 24 62:19 64:1 site [1] 39:5 sitting [1] 11:23 situated [1] 17:22 situations [1] 31:16 Sixth [1] 63:21 sizable [1] 22:5 skills [2] 17:18.23 sleep [2] 27:9,14 SmithKline [1] 20:7 sold [1] 9:9 Solicitor [1] 50:11 somebody [10] 7:23,25 9:23 25: 17 **26**:1 **34**:1 **35**:11 **43**:9 **52**:3,5 somebody's [2] 34:5,10 someone [3] 21:6 44:5 58:17 sometimes [10] 24:1.2 31:6.7.10. 25 **32**:9.10 **41**:16 **52**:18

somewhere [2] 37:10 62:21

sort [11] 4:6 7:14 9:7 11:24 14:8.

SOTOMAYOR [6] 4:25 60:1,15,18,

14 **18**:9 **28**:16 **35**:6.14 **36**:22

sorry [2] 14:25 43:20

source [1] 55:19 spare [1] 15:8 spark [1] 10:16 speaking [1] 36:21 specific [5] 8:2,19,19 57:1 61:23 specifically [4] 4:3 6:14 17:10 21: spend [1] 41:14 spent [1] 46:3 stand [2] 6:24 7:9 standard [1] 51:20 standards [1] 51:12 stands [1] 57:17 start [3] 37:17 41:17 59:4 started [2] 17:13 63:21 starts [1] 63:14 STATES [2] 1:1.13 status [3] 60:16 62:3.15 statute [48] 3:24 4:23 6:22 7:7,16 8:4.9.18.21 9:14.17 10:3 11:8.12 **18**:3,20 **19**:9,11,18 **20**:3,10 **26**:22 **27**:3.15 **28**:12 **29**:8.10.14.21.21 **36**:15.17 **38**:20 **42**:4.22 **44**:1 **47**: 22 50:20 53:5 54:4 55:8.12.17.25 **56**:5.25 **61**:7 **64**:5 statutes [3] 5:25 18:15 28:20 statutory [2] 18:16 28:13 stay [1] 61:15 steps [3] 40:15,16,16 still [5] 6:21 7:8 11:24 31:12 39:11 stock [1] 10:17 stocking [1] 6:16 stood [1] 55:23 stop [3] 48:20 50:3.4 stopped [1] 59:9 strain [1] 58:4 stress [1] 15:21 stretch [3] 15:21 17:15 18:19 stretching [6] 15:18 16:3,4,9,11, strip [1] 9:21 structure [3] 8:10 11:13 18:3 structured [1] 24:17 struggle [1] 58:3 student [3] 12:24 13:3 14:10 stuff [1] 23:7 subject [2] 21:21 60:11 submitted [2] 64:22.24 substantially [1] 8:22 subsumed [1] 4:4 suggested [1] 56:4 suggesting [4] 6:21 13:19 23:14 28:10 suit [1] 59:11 sum [1] 41:6 supervise [4] 33:3,6,9 34:23 supervising [3] 34:16,19,19 **supply** [1] **5**:8 supplying [1] 6:5 suppose [8] 27:25 31:19 32:25 36: 8 37:4.5.5.17 supposed [1] 35:8 **SUPREME** [2] 1:1,13

swallow [1] 28:14 symptoms [1] 41:21 talked [2] 19:18 44:21 talks [8] 6:14 9:12 21:15 23:25 28: 3 **42**:4 **43**:6 **59**:22 teaches [2] 12:24 13:2 teaching [1] 14:15 team [1] 60:16 technical [2] 36:15 37:7 technicians [2] 38:8 51:18 telephone [1] 30:23 term [11] 6:7 12:7.8 15:19.22.22 18:16 19:11 42:18 43:14.18 terms [9] 9:7 16:23 17:22 19:8 20: 21 43:18,22 48:22 64:4 test [4] 17:5 28:7 29:9 39:14 tether [1] 19:11 tethered [1] 14:12 text [3] 3:18 10:3 19:17 themselves [2] 9:10 33:25 theory [1] 22:12 there's [40] 8:8 10:1 13:6,18 19:23, 25 21:23 22:2 25:2.4.4 27:16.17 **33:**14.20.23 **38:**3.6.8.8.10.10.11. 25 **39**:3 **43**:7.25 **44**:19 **45**:8.11 **47**: 21 48:2 49:18.20.22 50:13 51:5 52:20 57:8 62:16 thereafter [1] 63:20 therefore [1] 31:2 they've [1] 19:19 thinking [1] 36:20 though [3] 5:14 31:6 46:5 three [14] 3:24 22:24 24:16 26:8 37:25 38:13.14.16 50:20.23 56:12. 23 57:22 61:10 three-to-three [1] 4:21 three-to-two [3] 13:22 15:12,16 throughout [2] 43:24 45:12 thumb [1] 29:23 tie-breaker [1] 62:21 timing [1] 34:15 tire [1] 54:25 title [2] 8:5,7 today [1] 39:12 together [2] 24:18 61:7 took [1] 6:22 tools [1] 30:23 tours [1] 54:6 track [3] 46:2.5 51:1 traditional [2] 59:15 62:4 travel [1] 54:5 treated [5] 4:10,14 19:19 59:19 61: treating [1] 42:7 treatment [4] 41:23 42:1,5 59:18 treatments [2] 54:25,25 tried [1] 49:21 truck [1] 8:12 trucks [1] 3:21 true [3] 33:17 34:3 45:25 try [6] 5:23 9:20 11:10 16:7 41:1

6:5.6 7:2.5 10:24 16:11 17:6.15.

17,21 19:15,16 22:20,24 23:1,11,

58:5 wall [1] 40:17 yourself [1] 6:3 wanted [10] 19:21 39:7,10,23 51: trying [4] 4:22 36:13 41:15 60:17 turn [2] 17:24 54:1 11 **52**:2,4,6 **57**:13 **61**:22 turning [3] 6:2,10 17:3 wants [5] 24:7,9 34:25 43:17,18 turns [1] 32:17 warranties [1] 38:4 twist [1] 58:4 warranty [1] 51:17 two [25] 9:6 10:25 12:16 13:8,9 17: Washington [2] 1:9,17 14 **20**:24 **34**:4 **40**:15 **47**:4.16.24 way [20] 4:17 18:14 19:20 24:12,17 **25**:22 **26**:21 **27**:2,7 **28**:19 **29**:22 **48:**3.8 **49:**18.22 **52:**18 **53:**18.19 **30**:21 **36**:7 **46**:14 **50**:9 **52**:18.21 **54**:3 **57**:18,22 **63**:6,10 **64**:10 two-to-two [2] 13:20 15:14 **55:**11 **58:**6 **64:**16 ways [1] 23:9 two-year [1] 47:22 typically [2] 11:4 31:19 weaker [1] 7:8 wears [3] 12:19,22,23 Wednesday [1] 1:10 U.S [2] 42:19 43:24 week [5] 51:10,14,20,21 52:25 U.S.C [1] 44:11 weeks [2] 64:8,10 ultimately [1] 59:8 weight [1] 49:24 unalloyed [1] 29:1 well-recognized [1] 57:3 under [13] 4:2,4 6:10 9:20 10:11 Western [2] 48:5,6 17:5,23 31:6 43:12 45:21 48:25 whatever [2] 32:16 52:15 **56**:5 **62**:3 whenever [2] 35:8 9 underbody [2] 38:6 54:24 whereas [1] 23:10 underestimate [1] 24:15 Whereupon [1] 64:23 underpaid [1] 64:17 whether [14] 8:14 24:7,8 31:12 37: underscores [1] 62:14 12 46:17,19 48:20,22 49:6 50:13 understand [4] 18:14 20:24 24:19 **55**:24 **56**:19 **63**:4 49:5 who's [6] 17:16 19:4 25:7,9 54:6,7 understanding [2] 5:12 35:7 whoever [1] 35:2 understood [2] 4:7 63:3 whole [2] 39:25 40:18 undoubtedly [1] 57:13 whom [1] 48:21 unexplained [1] 59:13 wide [1] 19:14 UNITED [2] 1:1,13 will [6] 21:24 34:1 39:13 41:14 60: university [2] 12:25 13:1 5.6 unmistakable [3] 28:7,18 29:8 win [1] 17:6 unmistakably [1] 28:4 within [11] 3:17 17:16 19:17 28:4. until 3 46:1 49:13 62:13 18 **30**:13 **40**:10 **48**:16 **50**:5 **53**:2 unusual [1] 8:15 **63:**8 up [23] 7:21.23 10:8 11:1 15:7 19:1 without [2] 8:13 59:7 **20**:24 **28**:17 **29**:17 **31**:8.14 **32**:22 woken [1] 7:20 35:1 40:16 43:9 46:1 49:13 57:19. word [8] 13:4 15:24 36:8 40:9 42: 23 58:1.11.15.16 23 44:2 57:25 63:1 upholsterer [1] 25:24 words [5] 13:8,9,14 23:1 58:4 upholsterers [1] 60:8 work [24] 5:10 7:25 8:14 19:7 24: upholstery [2] 38:9 54:25 22 **25**:4 **38**:9,11,12 **39**:18,19 **40**: upset [1] 43:15 25 **44**:22,23 **50**:24 **51**:4,4,6,10,15, urged [1] 28:8 19 52:21 22 60:8 uses [5] 8:4 43:18,21,22,23 worked [4] 39:4 52:19 64:7.9 workers [3] 22:24 36:17 64:13 working [5] 31:1 35:2,2 40:15 51: valid [1] 62:23 various [1] 29:16 works [4] 36:4 39:20 40:24 61:6 vast [1] 48:15 world [1] 13:24 vehicle-facing [1] 23:21 worry [1] 22:10 verbs [1] 13:9 worthwhile [1] 56:6 version [1] 56:9 worthy [1] 29:12 versus [1] 3:5 wrenches [4] 6:2,10 17:3,24 violation [1] 20:18 written [1] 44:2 vis-à-vis [2] 26:23.25 visiting [1] 14:14 years [8] 35:7 47:4,24 56:12 59:10 **60:**10 **63:**3.15 wage [4] 29:16,19 45:20 49:3 Yi [1] 20:16 wages [1] 60:14 York [1] 48:6 walks [1] 40:15