

TABLE 1 TO § 165.1191—Continued
 [All coordinates referenced use datum NAD 83.]

25. Fleet Week Fireworks	
Sponsor	Various Sponsors.
Event Description	Fireworks Display.
Date	Second Friday and Saturday in October.
Location	1,000 feet off Pier 3, San Francisco, CA.
Regulated Area	100-foot radius around the fireworks launch barge during the loading of pyrotechnics aboard the fireworks barge and during the transit of the fireworks barge from the loading location to the display location. Increases to a 1000-foot radius upon commencement of the fireworks display.
26. Monte Foundation Fireworks	
Sponsor	Monte Foundation Fireworks.
Event Description	Fireworks Display.
Date	Second Saturday in October.
Location	Sea Cliff State Beach Pier in Aptos, CA.
Regulated Area	1000-foot safety zone around the navigable waters of the Sea Cliff State Beach Pier.
27. Rio Vista Bass Derby Fireworks	
Sponsor	Rio Vista Chamber of Commerce.
Event Description	Fireworks Display.
Date	Second Saturday in October.
Location	500 feet off Rio Vista, CA waterfront.
Regulated Area	100-foot radius around the fireworks launch barge during the loading of pyrotechnics aboard the fireworks barge and during the transit of the fireworks barge from the loading location to the display location. Increases to a 1000-foot radius upon commencement of the fireworks display.
28. San Francisco New Years Eve Fireworks Display	
Sponsor	City of San Francisco.
Event Description	Fireworks Display.
Date	New Years Eve, December 31st.
Location	1,000 feet off Pier 2, San Francisco, CA.
Regulated Area	100-foot radius around the fireworks launch barge during the loading of pyrotechnics aboard the fireworks barge and during the transit of the fireworks barge from the loading location to the display location. Increases to a 1000-foot radius upon commencement of the fireworks display.
29. Sacramento New Years Eve Fireworks Display	
Sponsor	Sacramento Convention and Visitors' Bureau.
Event Description	Fireworks Display.
Date	New Years Eve, December 31st.
Location	Near Tower Bridge, Sacramento River.
Regulated Area	The navigable waters of the Sacramento River surrounding the shore-based launch locations between two lines drawn 1,000 feet south of Tower Bridge, and 1,000 feet north of the I Street Bridge.

Dated: December 21, 2010.

J.R. Castillo,

*Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander,
 Eleventh Coast Guard District.*

[FR Doc. 2011-2863 Filed 2-8-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-04-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R09-OAR-2007-1073; FRL-9263-8]

Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, Imperial County Air Pollution Control District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection
 Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the Imperial County Air Pollution Control District (ICAPCD) portion of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions clarify permitting requirements, and update and revise exemptions from New Source Review (NSR) permitting requirements, for various air pollution sources. We are taking comments on this proposal and plan to follow with a final action.

DATES: Any comments must arrive by *March 11, 2011*.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments, identified by docket number EPA-R09-OAR-2007-1073, by one of the following methods:

1. *Federal eRulemaking Portal:*
<http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the on-line instructions.

2. *E-mail:* R9airpermits@epa.gov.

3. *Mail or deliver:* Gerardo Rios (Air-3), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

Instructions: All comments will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Information that you consider CBI or otherwise protected should be clearly identified as such and should not be submitted through <http://www.regulations.gov> or e-mail. <http://www.regulations.gov> is an "anonymous access" system, and EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send e-

mail directly to EPA, your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the public comment. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment.

Docket: The index to the docket for this action is available electronically at <http://www.regulations.gov> and in hard copy at EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California. While all documents in the docket are listed in the index, some information may be publicly available only at the hard copy location (e.g., copyrighted material), and

some may not be publicly available in either location (e.g., CBI). To inspect the hard copy materials, please schedule an appointment during normal business hours with the contact listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
 Laura Yannayon, EPA Region IX, (415) 972-3534, yannayon.laura@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
 Throughout this document, “we,” “us” and “our” refer to EPA.

Table of Contents

- I. The State’s Submittal
 - A. What rules did the State submit?
 - B. Are there other versions of these rules?

- C. What is the purpose of the submitted rules and rule revisions?
- II. EPA’s Evaluation and Action
 - A. How is EPA evaluating the rules?
 - B. Do the rules meet the evaluation criteria?
 - C. EPA Recommendations To Further Improve the Rules
 - D. Public Comment and Final Action
- III. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

I. The State’s Submittal

A. What rules did the State submit?

Table 1 lists the rules addressed by this proposal, including the dates they were adopted by the local air agency and submitted by the California Air Resources Board (CARB).

TABLE 1—SUBMITTED RULES

Local agency	Rule No.	Rule title	Amended	Submitted
ICAPCD	201	Permits Required	10/10/06	08/24/07
ICAPCD	202	Exemptions	10/10/06	08/24/07

On September 17, 2007, EPA determined that the submittal for ICAPCD Rules 201 and 202 met the completeness criteria in 40 CFR part 51 appendix V, which must be met before formal EPA review.

B. Are there other versions of these rules?

We approved an earlier version of Rule 201 into the SIP on January 3, 2007 (72 FR 9). There are no previous versions of Rule 202 in the SIP, but SIP approved Rule 103, also contains permit exemptions. Rule 103 was approved into the SIP on May 31, 1972 (37 FR 10842). The ICAPCD originally adopted new Rule 202 on June 1, 1977 and also adopted revisions on September 7, 1993 and September 14, 1999. Both of these revisions were submitted to EPA, however EPA has not taken action on either of these submittals. While we can act on only the most recently submitted version, we have reviewed materials provided with previous submittals.

C. What is the purpose of the submitted rules and rule revisions?

Section 110(a) of the CAA requires states to submit regulations that control volatile organic compounds, nitrogen oxides, particulate matter and other air pollutants which harm human health and the environment. Permitting rules were developed as part of the local air district’s programs to control these pollutants.

The purpose of District Rule 201 (Permits Required) is to identify when, and what permits, a source must obtain prior to construction and operation.

The purpose of District Rule 202 (Exemptions) is to identify processes, articles, machines, equipment, or other contrivances for which an Authority to Construct (ATC) or Permit to Operate (PTO) is not required. Rule 202 also requires recordkeeping to verify and maintain any exemption.

II. EPA’s Evaluation and Action

A. How is EPA evaluating the rules?

The relevant statutory provisions for our review of the submitted rules include CAA section 110(a) and section 110(l). Section 110(a) requires that SIP rules be enforceable, while section 110(l) precludes EPA approval of SIP revisions that would interfere with any applicable requirement concerning attainment and reasonable further progress or any other applicable requirement of the Act. In addition, we have reviewed the submitted rules for compliance with EPA implementing regulations for NSR, including 40 CFR 51.160 through 40 CFR 51.165.

B. Do the rules meet the evaluation criteria?

EPA has reviewed the submitted rules in accordance with the Rule Evaluation criteria described above. Consistent with the requirements of 40 CFR subpart I, all major stationary sources are required to obtain an ATC permit prior to construction. For minor sources, EPA believes that emissions from the types and sizes of equipment and operations exempted from NSR permit requirements are consistent with the flexibility afforded to states to regulate only those sources as necessary to

assure attainment and maintenance of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). While several new provisions have been added to Rule 202 that could be seen as a relaxation of the SIP because more sources are specifically exempted from Rule 207 permit requirements, in practice most of these sources have never been required to obtain a NSR ATC permit due to their small size or state law prohibitions. Because these rules will not actually worsen air quality, and will in fact require many agricultural sources to obtain permits and be subject to control requirements for the first time, EPA believes that these revisions are a long overdue update to the SIP which will provide an overall strengthening of the SIP without interfering with the District’s ability to attain and maintain the NAAQS, and are therefore approvable under 110(l) of the CAA.

The TSD has more information on our evaluation.

C. EPA Recommendations To Further Improve the Rules

The TSD describes additional rule revisions that we recommend for the next time the local agency modifies the rules.

D. Public Comment and Final Action

Because EPA believes the submitted rules fulfill all relevant requirements, we are proposing to fully approve them as described in section 110(k)(3) of the Act. We will accept comments from the public on this proposal for the next 30 days. Unless we receive convincing new information during the comment period, we intend to publish a final approval

action that will incorporate these rules into the federally enforceable SIP.

III. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the Clean Air Act, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve State choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. Accordingly, this action merely approves State law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by State law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a "significant regulatory action" subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993);
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4);
- Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- Is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the Clean Air Act; and
- Does not interfere with Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629 (Feb. 16,

1994)) because EPA lacks the discretionary authority to address environmental justice in this rulemaking.

In addition, this rule does not have tribal implications as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), because the SIP is not approved to apply in Indian country located in the State, and EPA notes that it will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Intergovernmental relations, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: January 28, 2011.

Jared Blumenfeld,

Regional Administrator, Region IX.

[FR Doc. 2011-2862 Filed 2-8-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P