

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

NATIONAL PARKS CONSERVATION)
ASSOCIATION, MONTANA ENVIRONMENTAL)
INFORMATION CENTER, GRAND CANYON)
TRUST, SAN JUAN CITIZENS ALLIANCE,)
OUR CHILDREN'S EARTH FOUNDATION,)
PLAINS JUSTICE, POWDER RIVER BASIN)
RESOURCE COUNCIL, SIERRA CLUB,)
AND ENVIRONMENTAL DEFENSE FUND)
))
Plaintiffs,)
))
v.)
))
LISA JACKSON, in her official capacity as)
Administrator, United States Environmental)
Protection Agency,)
))
Defendant.)
_____)

CIVIL ACTION NO.
1: 11-cv-01548 (ABJ)

NOTICE OF LODGING

Defendant Lisa Jackson, Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”), with this notice lodges with the Court a proposed consent decree. The proposed consent decree should not be signed or entered by the Court at this time. Pursuant to section 113(g) of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7413(g), the consent decree is not final and cannot be entered by the Court until the EPA Administrator provides “a reasonable opportunity by notice in the Federal Register to persons who are not named as parties or intervenors to the action” to comment in writing upon the proposed decree. After a reasonable public comment period, the EPA Administrator and the Attorney General, as appropriate, must promptly consider any written comments received. Id. If the federal government elects not to withdraw or

withhold consent to the proposed consent decree, EPA will promptly file a motion that requests the Court to enter this Consent Decree.

Respectfully submitted,

IGNACIA S. MORENO
Assistant Attorney General
Environment and Natural Resources
Division

/s/ EILEEN T. MCDONOUGH
Environmental Defense Section
U.S. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 23986
Washington, D.C. 20026-3986
(202) 514-3126
eileen.mcdonough@usdoj.gov

Of Counsel:

M. LEA ANDERSON
Office of General Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N. W.
Washington, DC 20460
(202) 564-5571
anderson.lea@epa.gov

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

NATIONAL PARKS CONSERVATION)
ASSOCIATION, MONTANA ENVIRONMENTAL)
INFORMATION CENTER, GRAND CANYON)
TRUST, SAN JUAN CITIZENS ALLIANCE,)
OUR CHILDREN'S EARTH FOUNDATION,)
PLAINS JUSTICE, POWDER RIVER BASIN)
RESOURCE COUNCIL, SIERRA CLUB,)
AND ENVIRONMENTAL DEFENSE FUND)
))
Plaintiffs,)
))
v.)
))
LISA JACKSON, in her official capacity as)
Administrator, United States Environmental)
Protection Agency,)
))
Defendant.)
_____)

CIVIL ACTION NO.
1: 11-cv-01548 (ABJ)

CONSENT DECREE

This Consent Decree is entered into by Plaintiffs National Parks Conservation Association, Montana Environmental Information Center, Grand Canyon Trust, San Juan Citizens Alliance, Our Children's Earth Foundation, Plains Justice, Powder River Basin Resource Council, Sierra Club, and Environmental Defense Fund ("Plaintiffs"), and by Defendant Lisa Jackson, in her official capacity as Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA" or "the Administrator").

WHEREAS, Section 110(c) of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7410(c), requires the Administrator of EPA to promulgate a federal implementation plan ("FIP") within two years of a finding that a state has failed to make a required state implementation plan ("SIP") submittal.

The pertinent provision of Section 110(c) states:

(1) The Administrator shall promulgate a Federal implementation plan at any time within 2 years after the Administrator—

(A) finds that a State has failed to make a required submission or finds that the plan or plan revision submitted by the State does not satisfy the minimum criteria established under section 110(k)(1)(A).

WHEREAS, on January 15, 2009, EPA found that the following 34 States¹ had failed to submit Clean Air Act SIPs addressing any of the required regional haze SIP elements of 40 C.F.R. § 51.308: Alaska, California, Connecticut, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Texas, Vermont, U.S. Virgin Islands, Virginia, Washington, and Wisconsin. 74 Fed. Reg. 2392, 2393 (Jan. 15, 2009);

WHEREAS, on January 15, 2009 EPA also found that the following five states had submitted some, but not all, of the required regional haze SIP elements set forth at 40 C.F.R. §§ 51.308 and 51.309: Arizona—40 C.F.R. § 51.309(g) and 40 C.F.R. § 51.309(d)(4); Colorado—40 C.F.R. § 51.308(d) and 40 C.F.R. § 51.308(e) for two sources; Michigan—40 C.F.R. § 51.308(d) and 40 C.F.R. § 51.308(e) for six sources; New Mexico—40 C.F.R. § 51.309(g) and 40 C.F.R. § 51.309(d)(4); Wyoming—40 C.F.R. § 51.309(g). 74 Fed. Reg. at 2393;

WHEREAS, on January 15, 2009, EPA stated that its finding “starts the two-year clock for the promulgation by EPA of a FIP. EPA is not required to promulgate a FIP if the state makes the required SIP submittal and EPA takes final action to approve the submittal within two years of EPA’s finding.” 74 Fed. Reg. at 2393;

WHEREAS, EPA did not, by January 15, 2011, promulgate regional haze FIPs or approve regional haze SIPs for any of the 34 states for which it found on January 15, 2009 a

¹ Throughout this Consent Decree, the term “state” or “State” has the meaning provided in 42 U.S.C. § 7602(d).

failure to submit SIPs addressing any of the required regional haze SIP elements, and EPA also did not, by January 15, 2011, promulgate regional haze FIPs or approve regional haze SIPs correcting the non-submittal deficiencies that EPA found on January 15, 2009 with respect to the regional haze SIP requirements for Arizona, Colorado, Michigan, New Mexico and Wyoming;

WHEREAS to meet the regional haze implementation plan requirements that were due by December 17, 2007 under EPA's regional haze regulations the following states (and one region) submitted regional haze SIPs to EPA prior to January 15, 2009 (hereinafter, "regional haze SIP submittals"), and whereas EPA has yet to take final action on such submittals pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 7410(k): Alabama; Albuquerque, NM; Iowa; Louisiana; Mississippi; Missouri; North Carolina; South Carolina; Tennessee; and West Virginia;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs served prior notice on the Administrator alleging that her failure to promulgate regional haze FIPs and take final action on regional haze SIPs as described above constituted failure to perform duties that are not discretionary under the Act, and of Plaintiffs' intent to initiate the present action. This notice was provided via certified letters, posted January 19, 2011, and addressed to the Administrator;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed a complaint pursuant to CAA section 304(a)(2), 42 U.S.C. § 7604(a)(2), alleging failure by the Administrator to perform nondiscretionary duties as referenced above;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs and EPA (collectively, the "Parties") wish to effectuate a settlement of the above-captioned case without expensive and protracted litigation, and without a litigated resolution of any issue of law or fact;

WHEREAS, the Parties consider this Consent Decree to be an adequate and equitable resolution of the claims in the above-captioned case and consent to entry of this Consent Decree; and

WHEREAS, the Court, by entering this Consent Decree, finds that this Consent Decree is fair, reasonable, in the public interest, and consistent with the CAA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 7401 *et seq.*

NOW THEREFORE, before the taking of testimony, without trial or determination of any issue of fact or law, and upon the consent of the Parties, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that:

1. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the claims set forth in the Complaint and to order the relief contained in this Consent Decree.
2. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia.

Resolution of Claims

3. By the “Proposed Promulgation Deadlines” set forth in Table A below EPA shall sign a notice(s) of proposed rulemaking in which it proposes approval of a SIP, promulgation of a FIP, partial approval of a SIP and promulgation of a partial FIP, or approval of a SIP or promulgation of a FIP in the alternative, for each State therein, that collectively meet the regional haze implementation plan requirements that were due by December 17, 2007 under EPA’s regional haze regulations.
4. By the “Final Promulgation Deadlines” set forth in Table A below, EPA shall sign a notice(s) of final rulemaking promulgating a FIP for each State therein to meet the regional haze implementation plan requirements that were due by December 17, 2007 under EPA’s regional haze regulations, except where, by such deadline EPA has for a State therein signed a notice of final rulemaking unconditionally approving a SIP, or

promulgating a partial FIP and unconditional approval of a portion of a SIP, that collectively meet the regional haze implementation plan requirements that were due by December 17, 2007 under EPA's regional haze regulations.

TABLE A

Deadlines for EPA to Sign Notice of Promulgation for Proposed and Final Regional Haze FIPs and/or Approval of SIPs ("RH" = Regional Haze)

Proposed Promulgation Deadlines	Final Promulgation Deadlines	State
	December 13, 2011	Nevada Oklahoma (all BART elements)
	December 15, 2011	Kansas New Jersey
November 15, 2011	March 15, 2012	District of Columbia Maine
November 29, 2011	March 29, 2012	South Dakota
January 17, 2012	May 15, 2012	Minnesota Illinois Indiana New York Ohio Pennsylvania Virginia
February 15, 2012	June 15, 2012	Alaska (all BART elements) Georgia Maryland Nebraska New Hampshire New Mexico(all remaining RH SIP elements) Rhode Island Vermont Wisconsin
March 15, 2012	July 13, 2012	Connecticut Massachusetts
May 14, 2012	September 14, 2012	Hawaii Virgin Islands
May 15, 2012	November 15, 2012	Alaska (all remaining RH SIP elements) Arizona Idaho (all remaining RH SIP elements) Florida Michigan Oklahoma (all remaining RH SIP elements) Oregon (all remaining RH SIP elements) Texas Washington

5. By the “Proposed Promulgation Deadlines” set forth in Table B below EPA shall sign a notice of proposed rulemaking in which it proposes to approve or disapprove, in accordance with 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k), the regional haze SIP submittals for each state or area indicated.
6. By the “Final Promulgation Deadlines” set forth in Table B below, EPA shall sign a notice of final rulemaking in which it approves or disapproves, in accordance with 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k), the regional haze SIP submittals for each state or area indicated.

TABLE B

Deadlines for EPA to Sign Notices of Promulgation for Proposed and Final Approval or Disapproval of Regional Haze SIP Submissions

Proposed Promulgation Deadlines	Final Promulgation Deadlines	State or Area
	March 15, 2012	Tennessee West Virginia
February 15, 2012	June 15, 2012	Alabama Albuquerque, NM Iowa Louisiana Mississippi Missouri North Carolina South Carolina

General Provisions

7. The deadlines in Table A or B may be extended for a period of 60 days or less by written stipulation executed by counsel for EPA and Plaintiffs and filed with the Court. Any other extension of a deadline in Table A or B may be approved by the Court upon motion made pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure by EPA and upon consideration of any response by Plaintiffs and reply by EPA.
8. EPA agrees that Plaintiffs are entitled to recover their costs of litigation (including attorneys’ fees) (“litigation costs”) incurred in this matter pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §

7604(d). The deadline for the filing of any motion for litigation costs for activities performed prior to the lodging of this decree with the Court is hereby extended for a period of 120 days. During this time the Parties shall seek to resolve informally any claim for litigation costs, and if they cannot reach a resolution, Plaintiffs may seek such litigation costs from the Court. The Court shall retain jurisdiction to resolve any request for litigation costs. Plaintiffs reserve their right to seek litigation costs for any work performed after the lodging of this Consent Decree. EPA does not concede that Plaintiffs will be entitled to fees for any work performed after the lodging of the Consent Decree, and the parties reserve all claims and defenses with respect to any future costs of litigation claim.

9. No later than ten business days following signature by the Administrator or her delegatee of the notice of any proposed or final rulemaking referenced above, EPA shall deliver the notice to the Office of the Federal Register for review and prompt publication. Following such delivery to the Office of the Federal Register, EPA shall not take any action (other than is necessary to correct any typographical errors or other errors in form) to delay or otherwise interfere with publication of such notice in the Federal Register. EPA shall make available to Plaintiffs copies of the notices referenced herein within five business days following signature by the Administrator or her delegatee.
10. Plaintiffs and EPA shall not challenge the terms of this Consent Decree or this Court's jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Decree.
11. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to limit or modify any discretion accorded EPA by the CAA or by general principles of administrative law in taking

the actions which are the subject of this Consent Decree, including the discretion to alter, amend, or revise any responses or final actions contemplated by this Consent Decree. EPA's obligation to perform the actions specified by Paragraphs 3 through 6 does not constitute a limitation or modification of EPA's discretion within the meaning of this paragraph.

12. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed as an admission of any issue of fact or law or to waive or limit any claim or defense, on any grounds, related to any final action EPA may take with respect to the SIPs or FIPs identified in paragraphs 3 through 6 of this Consent Decree.
13. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to confer upon the district court jurisdiction to review any final decision made by EPA pursuant to this Consent Decree. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to confer upon the district court jurisdiction to review any issues that are within the exclusive jurisdiction of the United States Court of Appeals pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 7607(b)(1) and 7661d. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to waive any remedies or defenses the Parties may have under 42 U.S.C. § 7607(b)(1).
14. The Parties recognize and acknowledge that the obligations imposed upon EPA under this Consent Decree can only be undertaken using appropriated funds legally available for such purpose. No provision of this Consent Decree shall be interpreted as or constitute a commitment or requirement that EPA obligate or pay funds in contravention of the Anti-Deficiency Act, 31 U.S.C. § 1341, or any other applicable provision of law.

15. Any notices required or provided for by this Consent Decree shall be made in writing and sent via e-mail to the following:

For Plaintiffs:

David Baron
dbaron@earthjustice.org

Reed Zars
rzars@lariat.org

For Defendant:

Eileen T. McDonough
eileen.mcdonough@usdoj.gov

Lea Anderson
anderson.lea@epa.gov

16. In the event of a dispute among the Parties concerning the interpretation or implementation of any aspect of this Consent Decree, the disputing Party shall provide the other Party with a written notice outlining the nature of the dispute and requesting informal negotiations. If the Parties cannot reach an agreed-upon resolution, any Party may move the Court to resolve the dispute.

17. No motion or other proceeding seeking to enforce this Consent Decree or for contempt of court shall be properly filed unless the Party seeking to enforce this Consent Decree has followed the procedure set forth in Paragraph 16.

18. The Court shall retain jurisdiction to determine and effectuate compliance with this Consent Decree, to resolve any disputes thereunder, and to consider any requests for costs of litigation (including reasonable attorneys' fees). After EPA's obligations under Paragraphs 3 through 6 have been completed, EPA may move to have this

consent decree terminated. Plaintiffs shall have 14 days in which to respond to such motion.

19. The Parties agree and acknowledge that before this Consent Decree can be finalized and entered by the Court, EPA must provide notice in the Federal Register and an opportunity for comment pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 7413(g). EPA will deliver a public notice of this Consent Decree to the Federal Register for publication and public comment within 10 business days after lodging this Consent Decree with the Court. After this Consent Decree has undergone an opportunity for notice and comment, EPA's Administrator and the Attorney General, as appropriate, will promptly consider any such written comments in determining whether to withdraw or withhold consent to this Consent Decree, in accordance with section 113(g) of the Clean Air Act. If the Administrator or the Attorney General elects not to withdraw or withhold consent to this Consent Decree, the Parties will promptly file a motion that requests the Court to enter this Consent Decree. If a motion to enter the Consent Decree is not filed within 60 days after the notice is published in the Federal Register, any party may file dispositive motions in this matter.
20. It is hereby expressly understood and agreed that this Consent Decree was jointly drafted by the Parties and that any and all rules of construction to the effect that ambiguity is construed against the drafting party shall be inapplicable in any dispute concerning the terms, meaning, or interpretation of this Consent Decree.

21. The undersigned certify that they are fully authorized by the Party or Parties they represent to bind that Party or those Parties to the terms of this Consent Decree.

SO ORDERED this ___ day of _____ 2011.

HON. AMY BERMAN JACKSON
United States District Judge

SO AGREED:

FOR PLAINTIFFS

/s/ REED ZARS
Attorney at Law
910 Kearney Street
Laramie, WY 82070
307-745-7979
rzars@lariat.org

/s/ DAVID BARON
Earthjustice
1625 Massachusetts Ave., NW, #702
Washington, DC 20036
202-667-4500 ext.203
dbaron@earthjustice.org

FOR DEFENDANT

IGNACIA S. MORENO
Assistant Attorney General
Environment and Natural Resources Division

/s/ EILEEN T. MCDONOUGH
Environmental Defense Section
U.S. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 23986
Washington, D.C. 20026-3986
(202) 514-3126

Of Counsel:

M. LEA ANDERSON
Office of General Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N. W.
Washington, DC 20460
(202) 564-5571
anderson.lea@epa.gov

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing notice of lodging of consent decree was served on
November 9, 2011, via the Court's ECF system on the following counsel:

REED ZARS
Attorney at Law
910 Kearney Street
Laramie, WY 82070
307-745-7979
rzars@lariat.org

DAVID BARON
Earthjustice
1625 Massachusetts Ave., NW, #702
Washington, DC 20036
202-667-4500 ext.203
dbaron@earthjustice.org

/s/ Eileen T. McDonough